

NUNC COGNOSCO EX PARTE



## TRENT UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

PRESENTED BY

Mrs. H.H. Graham



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2019 with funding from Kahle/Austin Foundation

### ESSAYS-CLASSICAL



MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED LONDON · BOMBAY · CALCUTTA MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK - BOSTON - CHICAGO
ATLANTA - SAN FRANCISCO

# ESSAYS

 ${\tt CLASSICAL}^{\prime\prime}$ 

"PA 27 .M8 1908"

рv

F. W. H. MYERS

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

PART M8 1208

First printed (Crown 8vo) 1883 Reprinted 1888. `Reprinted (Globe 8vo) 1897, 1901, 1904, 1908

4- 7756

#### PREFATORY NOTE.

In reprinting this Essay from Hellenica, I have thought it needless to repeat my original list of authorities consulted. Since the Essay was written M. Bouché-Leclercq has published his Histoire de la Divination dans l'Antiquité, where the bibliography of the subject is given with exhaustive fulness. The chief resources to oracles in classical authors have been long ago collected, and are now the common property of scholars. The last considerable addition to the list was made by G. Wolff, and they have been judiciously arranged by Maury and others. What is needed is a true comprehension of them, towards which less progress has been made than the ordinary reader may suppose. Even Bouché-Leclercq, whose accuracy and completeness within his self-proposed limits deserve high admiration, expressly excludes from his purview the lessons and methods of comparative ethnology, and hardly

cares to consider what those phenomena in reality were whose history he is recounting. I can claim little more of insight into their true nature than suffices to make me conscious of ignorance, but I have at least tried to indicate where the problems lie, and in what general directions we must look for their solution.

It is indeed true (as was remarked by several critics when this Essay first appeared) that I have kept but inadequately my implied promise of illustrating ancient mysteries by the light of modern discovery. But my difficulty lay not in the defect but in the excess of parallelism between ancient and modern phenomena. I found that each explicit reference of this kind would raise so many questions that the sequence of the narrative would soon have been destroyed. I was obliged, therefore, to content myself with suggestions and allusions — allusions necessarily obscure to the general reader in the absence of any satisfactory treatise on similar phenomena to which he could be referred. I am not without hope that this blank may before long be filled up by a research conducted on a wider and sounder basis than heretofore; and, should the sway of recognised law extend itself farther over that shadowy land, I shall be well content if this Essay shall be thought to have aimed, however imperfectly, at that "true interrogation" which is "the half of science."

#### POSTSCRIPT, 1887.

Since the above words were written in 1883, some beginning of the suggested inquiries has been recorded in the *Proceedings* of the Society for Psychical Research. Some discussions on human automatism which will there be found are not without bearing on the subject of the present essay.

#### POSTSCRIPT, 1897.

The work of the Society for Psychical Research has now been pushed much further; and its *Proceedings* (Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co.) are indispensable for persons interested in the inquiries above referred to.



### CONTENTS.

Greek	ORACLES	•	9		1
VIRGIL					106
Marcus	Aurelius	Antonii	NUS		177



#### GREEK ORACLES.

Οὐ μέν πως νῦν ἔστιν ἀπὸ δρυὸς οὐδ' ἀπὸ πέτρης τῷ ὀαριζέμεναι, ἄ τε παρθένος ἤΐθεός τε, παρθένος ἤΐθεός τ' ὀαρίζετον ἀλλήλοιιν.

#### I.

It is not only in the domain of physical inquiry that the advance of knowledge is self-accelerated at every step, and the very excellence of any given work insures its own speedier supersession. All those studies which bear upon the past of mankind are every year more fully satisfying this test of the genuinely scientific character of the plan on which they are pursued. The old conception of the world's history as a collection of stories, each admitting of a complete and definitive recital, is giving way to a conception which would compare it rather with a series of imperfectly-read inscriptions, the sense of each of which is modified by the interpretations which we gradually find for its predecessors.

And of no department is this truer than of the comparative history of religions. The very idea of

VOL. I. S

B

such a study is of recent growth, and no sooner is the attempt made to colligate by general laws the enormous mass of the religious phenomena of the world than we find that the growing science is in danger of being choked by its own luxuriance—that each conflicting hypothesis in turn seems to draw superabundant proof from the myriad beliefs and practices of men. We may, indeed, smile at the extravagances of one-sided upholders of each successive system. We need not believe with Bishop Huet<sup>1</sup> that Moses was the archetype both of Adonis and of Priapus. Nor, on the other hand, need we suppose with Pierson<sup>2</sup> that Abraham himself was originally a stone god. We may leave Dozy3 to pursue his own conjecture, and deduce the strange story of the Hebrew race from their worship of the planet Saturn. Nor need the authority of Anonymus de Rebus Incredibilibus4 constrain us to accept his view that Paris was a young man who wrote essays on goddesses, and Phaethon an unsuccessful astronomer.

But it is far from easy to determine the relative validity of the theories of which these are exaggerated expressions,—to decide (for instance) what place is to be given to the direct transference of

Demonstr. Evang. iii. 3, viii. 5.
 Ap. Kuenen, Religion of Israel, i. 390.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Ibid.* i. 262.

<sup>\*</sup> Opuscula Mythologica (Amst. 1688).

beliefs from nation to nation, to fetish-worship, to the worship of the heavenly bodies, to the deification of dead men. In an essay like the present, dealing only with a fragment of this great inquiry, it will be safest to take the most general view, and to say that man's fear and wonder invest every object, real or imaginary, which strongly impresses him, -beasts or stones, or souls and spirits, or fire and the sun in heaven,—with an intelligence and a power darkly resembling his own; and, moreover, that certain phenomena, real or supposed,—dreams and epilepsy, eclipse and thunder, sorceries and the uprising of the dead,—recur from time to time to supply him with apparent proof of the validity of his beliefs, and to modify those beliefs according to the nature of his country and his daily life. Equally natural is it that, as his social instincts develop and his power of generalisation begins, he will form such conceptions as those of a moral government of the world, of a retributory hereafter, of a single Power from which all others emanate, or into which they disappear.

Avoiding, therefore, any attempt to take a side among conflicting theories, I will draw from the considerations which follow no further moral than one which is well-nigh a truism, though too often forgotten in the heat of debate, namely, that we are assuredly not as yet in a position to pass a final judgment on the forms which religion has assumed

in the past; we have traversed too small a part of the curve of human progress to determine its true eharacter; even yet, in fact, "we are ancients of the earth, and in the morning of the times." The diffieulty of bearing this clearly in mind, great in every age, becomes greater as each age advances more rapidly in knowledge and critical power. In this respect the eighteenth century teaches us an obvious lesson. That century witnessed a marked rise in the standard of historical evidence, a marked enlightenment in dealing with the falsities and superstitions of the past. The consequence was that all things seemed explicable; that whatever could not be reduced to ordinary rules seemed only worthy of being brushed aside. Since that day the standard of evidence in history has not deelined, -it has become strieter still; but at the same time need of sympathy and insight, if we would comprehend the past, has become strongly felt, and has modified or suspended countless judgments which the philosophers of the last century delivered without misgiving. The difference between the two great critics and philosophers of France, at that day and in our own, shows at a glanee the whole gulf between the two points of view. How-little eould the readers of Voltaire have anticipated Renan! How little eould they have imagined that their master's trenchant arguments would so soon have fallen to the level of half-educated elasses and halfcivilised nations,—would have been formidable only in sixpenny editions, or when translated into Hindostani for the confutation of missionary zeal!

What philosophical enlightenment was in the last century, science, physical or historical, is in our own. Science is the power to which we make our first and undoubting appeal, and we run a corresponding risk of assuming that she can already solve problems wholly, which as yet she can solve only in part,—of adopting under her supposed guidance explanations which may hereafter be seen to have the crudity and one-sidedness of Voltaire's treatment of Biblical history.

The old school of theologians were apt to assume that because all men—or all men whom they chose to count—had held a certain belief, that belief must be true. Our danger lies rather in being too ready to take for granted that when we have explained how a belief arose we have done with it altogether; that because a tenet is of savage parentage it hardly needs formal disproof. In this view the wide diffusion of a belief serves only to stamp its connection with uncivilised thought, and "quod semper, quod ubique, quod ab omnibus," has become to many minds rather the badge of superstition than the test of catholic truth. That any one but ourselves should have held a creed seems to lower the average intelligence of its adherents.

Yet, on behalf of savages, and our ancestors in

general, there may be room for some apology. If we reflect how large a part of human knowledge consists of human emotion, we may even say that they possessed some forms of knowledge which we have since lost. The mind of man (it has been well said), like the earth on which he walks, undergoes perpetual processes of denudation as well as of deposit. We ourselves, as children, did in a sense know much which we know no more; our picture of the universe, incomplete and erroneous as it was, wore some true colours which we cannot now recall. The child's vivid sensibility, reflected in his vivifying imagination, is as veritably an inlet of truth as if it were an added clearness of physical vision; and though the child himself has not judgment enough to use his sensibilities aright, yet if the man is to discern the poetic truth about Nature, he will need to recall to memory his impressions as a child.

Now, in this way too, the savage is a kind of child; his beliefs are not always to be summarily referred to his ignorance; there may be something in them which we must realise in imagination before we venture to explain it away. Ethnologists have recognised the need of this difficult self-identification with the remote past, and have sometimes remarked, with a kind of envy, how much nearer the poet is than the philosopher to the savage habit of mind.

There is, however, one ancient people in whose case much of this difficulty disappears, whose re-

ligion may be traced backwards through many phases into primitive forms, while yet it is easy to study its records with a fellow-feeling which grows with our knowledge till it may approach almost to an identity of spirit. Such is the ascendency which the great works of the Greek imagination have established over the mind of man, that it is no paradox to say that the student's danger lies often in excess. rather than in defect of sympathy. He is tempted to ignore the real superiority of our own religion, morality, civilisation, and to re-shape in faney an adult world on an adolescent ideal. But the remedy for over-estimates, as well as for under-estimates, lies in an increased definiteness of knowledge, an ever-elearer perception of the exact place in the ehain of development which Greek thought and worship hold. The whole story of Greek mythology must ere long be retold in a form as deeply modified by eomparative ethnology as our existing treatises have been modified by comparative philology. Such a task would be beyond my powers; but while awaiting some more comprehensive treatment of the subject by a better-qualified hand, I have in this Essay endeavoured to trace,—by suggestion rather than in detail, but with constant reference to the results of recent science, - the development and eareer in Greece of one remarkable class of religious phenomena which admits to some extent of separate treatment.

Greek oracles reflect for a thousand years 1 the spiritual needs of a great people. They draw their origin from an Animism 2 which almost all races share, and in their early and inarticulate forms they contain a record of most of the main currents in which primitive beliefs are wont to run. Afterwards-closely connected both with the idea of supernatural possession and with the name of the sun-god Apollo—they exhibit a singular fusion of nature-worship with Shahmanism or sorcery. Then, as the non-moral and naturalistic eonception of the deity yields to the moral conception of him as an idealised man, the oracles reflect the change, and the Delphian god becomes in a certain sense the conseience of Greece.

A period of decline follows; due, as it would seem, partly to the depopulation and political ruin of Greece, but partly also to the indifference or scepticism of her dominant schools of philosophy. But this decline is followed by a revival which forms one of the most singular of those apparent cheeks which complicate the onward movement of thought by ever new modifications of the beliefs of the remote past. So far as this complex movement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roughly speaking, from 700 B.c. to 300 A.D., but the earliest oracles probably date much farther back.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is hardly necessary to say that by Animism is meant a belief in the existence around us of souls or spirits, whether disembodied, as ghosts, or embodied in fetishes, animals, etc. Shahmanism is a word derived from the title of the Siberian wizards, who procure by agitated trance some manifestation from their gods.

can be at present understood, it seems to have been connected among the mass of the people with the wide-spread religious upheaval of the first Christian centuries, and to have been at last put an end to by Christian baptism or sword. Among the higher minds it seems to have rested partly on a perplexed admission of certain phenomena, partly on the strongly-felt need of a permanent and elevated revelation, which yet should draw its origin from the Hellenic rather than the Hebrew past. And the story-reaches a typical conclusion in the ultimate disengagement of the highest natures of declining Greece from mythology and ceremonial, and the absorption of definite dogma into an overwhelming ecstasy.

#### II.

The attempt to define the word "oracle" confronts us at once with the difficulties of the subject. The Latin term, indeed, which we are forced to employ, points specially to cases where the voice of God or spirit was actually heard, whether directly or through some human intermediary. But the corresponding Greek term  $(\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} o \nu)$  merely signifies a seat of soothsaying, a place where divinations are obtained by whatever means. And we must not regard the oracles of Greece as rare and majestic phenomena, shrines founded by a full-grown mythology for the direct habitation of a god. Rather they

are the products of a long process of evolution, the modified survivals from among countless holy places of a primitive race.

Greek literature has preserved to us abundant traces of the various causes which led to the ascription of sanctity to some particular locality. Oftenest it is some chasm or cleft in the ground, filled, perhaps, with mephitic vapours, or with the mist of a subterranean stream, or merely opening in its dark obscurity an inlet into the mysteries of the underworld. Such was the chasm of the Clarian, the Delian, the Delphian Apollo; and such the oracle of the prophesying nymphs on Cithæron. Such was Trophonius' cave, and his own name perhaps is only a synonym for the Mother Earth, in many names the one identity, who nourishes at once and reveals.

Sometimes—as for instance at Megara,<sup>6</sup> Sicyon, Orchomenus, Laodicea—the sanctity gathers around some  $\beta a i \tau \nu \lambda o s$  or fetish-stone, fashioned, it may be,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Iambl. de Myst. p. 74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lebègue, Recherches sur Délos, p. 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Paus. ix. 3. Sec also Paus. v. 14, for a legend of an oracle of Earth herself at Olympia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Paus. ix. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Τροφώνιος from τρέφω. The visitor, who lay a long time, οὐ μάλα συμφρονῶν ἐναργῶς εἴτ' ἐγρήγορεν εἰτ' ἀνειροπόλει (Plut. de Genio Socratis, 22), had doubtless been partially asphyxiated. St. Patriek's Purgatory was perhaps conducted on the same plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Paus. i. 43, and for further references on batyls see Lebègue, p. 85. See also Lubbock, *Origin of Civilisation*, p. 225.

into a column or pyramid, and probably in most cases identified at first with the god himself, though, after the invention of statuary, its significance might be obscured or forgotten. Such stones outlast all religions, and remain for us in their rude shapelessness, the oldest memorial of the aspirations or the fears of man.

Sometimes the sacred place was merely some favourite post of observation of the flight of birds, or of lightning, like Teiresias' "ancient seat of augury," or the hearth from which, before the sacred embassy might start for Delphi, the Pythaists watched above the crest of Parnes for the summons of the heavenly flame.

Or it might be merely some spot where the divination from burnt-offerings seemed unusually true and plain,—at Olympia, for instance, where, as Pindar tells us, "soothsayers divining from sacrifice make trial of Zeus who lightens clear." It is needless to speak at length of groves and streams and mountain-summits, which in every region of the world have seemed to bring the unseen close to man by waving mystery, or by rushing murmur, or by nearness to the height of heaven. It is enough to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Soph. Ant. 1001; Paus. ix. 16; and cf. Eur. Phoen. 841.

<sup>2</sup> Strabo, ix. p. 619. They watched ἀπὸ τῆς ἐσχάρας τοῦ ἀστραπαίου Διός. See also Eur. Ion. 295. Even a place where lots were customarily drawn might become a scat of oracle.—Paus. vii. 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There is little trace in Greece of "weather-oracles,"—such as the Blocksberg,—hills deriving a prophetic reputation from the

understand that in Greece, as in other countries over which successive waves of immigration have passed, the sacred places were for the most part selected for primitive reasons, and in primitive times; then as more civilised races succeeded and Apollo came,—whence or in what guise cannot here be discussed,—the old shrines were dedicated to new divinities, the old symbols were metamorphosed or disappeared. The fetish-stones were crowned by statues, or replaced by statues and buried in the earth.¹ The Sibyls died in the temples, and the sun-god's island holds the sepulchre of the moon-maidens of the northern sky.²

It is impossible to arrange in quite logical order phenomena which touch each other at so many points, but in making our transition from these impersonal or hardly personal oracles of divination to the "voice-oracles" of classical times, we may

indications of coming rain, etc., drawn from clouds on their summits. The sanctity of Olympus, as is well known, is connected with a supposed elevation above all elemental disturbances.

<sup>1</sup> Pind. Ol. viii. 3, and for further references see Hermann, Griech. Ant. ii. 247. Maury (ii. 447) seems to deny this localisation on insufficient grounds.

<sup>2</sup> The Hyperboreæ, see rest. ap. Lebègue, p. 69. M. Bouché-Leelereq's discussion (vol. ii.) of the Sibylline legends is more satisfactory than that of Klausen (Aeneas und die Penaten, p. 107, foll.) He describes the Sibylline type as "une personnification gracieuse de la mantique intuitive, intermédiaire entre le babil inconscient de la nymphe Écho et la sagacité inhumaine de la Sphinx."

<sup>3</sup> Χρησμοί φθεγματικοί.

first mention the well-known Voice or Rumour which as early as Homer runs heaven-sent through the multitude of men, or sometimes prompts to revolution by "the word of Zeus."<sup>1</sup>

To this we may add the belief that words spoken at some critical and culminant, or even at some arbitrarily-chosen moment, have a divine significance. We find some trace of this in the oracle of Teiresias,2 and it appears in a strange form in an old oracle said to have been given to Homer, which tells him to beware of the moment when some young children shall ask him a riddle which he is unable to answer.3 Cases of omens given by a chance word in classical times are too familiar to need further reference.4 What we have to notice here is, that this casual method of learning the will of heaven was systematised into a practice at certain oracular temples, where the applicant made his sacrifice, stopped his ears, went into the market-place, and accepted the first words

<sup>1</sup> ὅσσα, φήμη, κληδών, ὀμφή—Il. ii. 93; Herod. ix. 100; Od. iii. 215, etc. These words are probably used sometimes for regular oracular communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Od. xi. 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ἀλλὰ νέων παίδων αἴνιγμα φύλαξαι. Paus. x. 24; Anth. Pal. xiv. 66. This conundrum, when it was at length put to Homer, was of so vulgar a character that no real discredit is reflected on the Father of Poetry by his perplexity as to its solution. (Homeri et Hesiodi certamen, ad fin.) Heraclitus, however, used the fact to illustrate the limitation of even the highest human powers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Herodotus ix. 91, may be selected as an example of a happy chance in *forcing* an omen.

he happened to hear as a divine intimation. We hear of oracles on this pattern at Memphis, and at Pharæ in Achæa.2

From these voices, which, though clearly audible, are, as it were, unowned and impersonal, we may pass to voices which have a distinct personality, but are heard only by the sleeping ear. Dreams of departed friends are likely to be the first phenomenon which inspires mankind with the idea that they can hold converse with a spiritual world. We find dreams at the very threshold of the theology of almost all nations, and accordingly it does not surprise us to find Homer asserting that dreams come from Zeus,3 or painting, with a pathos which later literature has never surpassed, the strange vividness and agonising insufficiency of these fugitive visions of the night.4

And throughout Greek literature presaging dreams which form, as Plutarch says, "an unfixed and wandering oracle of Night and Moou"5 are

Dio Chrys. ad Alex. 32, 13, παίδες ἀπαγγέλλουσι παίζοντες τδ δοκούν τῷ θεῷ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Paus. vii. 22,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Il. i. 63. Or from Hermes, or earth, or the gods below.

<sup>4</sup> Il. xxiii. 97. If we accept the theory of an older Achilleid we find the importance of augury proper decreasing, of dreams increasing, in the Homerie poems themselves. Geddes, Hom. Probl. p. 186; cf. Mure, Hist. Gr. Lit. i. 492. Similarly Apollo's darts grow more gentle, and his visitations more benign. - Geddes, p. 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Plut. Ser. Num. Vind. 22.

abundant in every form, from the high behest laid on Bellerophon "when in the dark of night stood by him the shadowy-shielded maid, and from a dream, suddenly, a waking vision she became," down to the dreams in the temples of Serapis or of Aesculapius which Aristides the Rhetorician has embalmed for us in his Sacred Orations,—the dream which "seemed to indicate a bath, yet not without a certain ambiguity," or the dream which left him in distressing uncertainty whether he were to take an emetic or no.<sup>2</sup>

And just as we have seen that the custom of observing birds, or of noting the omens of casual speech, tended to fix itself permanently in certain shrines, so also dream-oracles, or temples where the inquirer slept in the hope of obtaining an answer from the god seen in vision, or from some other vision sent by him, were one of the oldest forms of oracular seats. Brizo, a dream-prophetess, preceded Apollo at Delos.<sup>3</sup> A similar legend contrasts "the divination of darkness" at Delphi with Apollo's clear prophetic song.<sup>4</sup> Night herself was believed to send visions at Megara,<sup>5</sup> and coins of Commodus still show us her erect and shrouded figure, the torches that glimmer in her shade. Amphilaraus,<sup>6</sup> Amphilo-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pind. Ol. xiii. 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ar. Rhet. vol. i. p. 275 (Dind.), έχον μέν τινα έννοιαν λούτρου, οὐ μέντοι χωρίς γε ὑπονοίας, and i. 285.

Athen. viii. 2, and see Lebègue, p. 218; comp. Aesch. Ag. 275.
 Eur. Iph. Taur. 1234 foll.
 Paus. i. 40.
 Paus. i. 34.

chus,¹ Charon,² Pasiphae,³ Herakles,⁴ Dionysus,⁵ and above all Asklepios,⁶ gave answers after this fashion, mainly, but not entirely, in cases of sickness. The prevalence of heroes, rather than gods, as the givers of oracles in dreams seems still further to indicate the immediate derivation of this form of revelation from the accustomed appearance of departed friends in sleep.

The next step takes us to the most celebrated class of oracles,—those in which the prophetess, or more rarely the prophet, gives vent in agitated trance to the words which she is inspired to utter. We encounter here the phenomena of possession, so familiar to us in the Bible, and of which theology still maintains the genuineness, while science would explain them by delirium, hysteria, or epilepsy. It

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dio Cass. lxxii. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eustath. Schol. ad Dionys. Pericg. 1153.

<sup>3</sup> Cie. de Div. i. 43; Plut. Agis 9, and ef. Maury, ii. 453.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Paus. ix. 24, comp. inser. ap. G. Wolff, de Noviss. p. 29, and see Plut. de Malign. Herod. 31, for the dream of Leonidas in Herakles' temple.

<sup>5</sup> Paus. x. 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ar. Rhet. passim; Iambl. Myst. 3, 3, etc. See also Val. Max. i. 7; Diod. Sie. v. 62; Ar. Rhet. Sacr. Serm. iii. 311, for dreams sent by Athene, the Soteres, Hemithea. Further references will be found in Maury, iii. 456, and for the relation of Apollo to dreams see Bouché-Leelereq, i. 204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Pindar's phrase (for the prophecy of Iamus), φωνὰν ἀκδυειν ψευδέων ἄγνωστον, Ol. vi. 66, reminds us of Socrates' inward monitor. The expressions used about the Pythia vary from this conception of mere clairaudicnce to the idea of an absolute possession, which for the time holds the individuality of the prophetess entirely in abeyance.

was this phenomenon, connected first, as Pausanias tells us,1 with the Apolline oracles, which gave a wholly new impressiveness to oracular replies. No longer confined to simple affirmation and negation, or to the subjective and ill-remembered utterances of a dream, they were now capable of embracing all topics, and of being preserved in writing as a revelation of general applicability. These oracles of inspiration, — taken in connection with the oracles uttered by visible phantoms, which become prominent at a later era,-may be considered as marking the highest point of development to which Greek oracles attained. It will be convenient to defer our consideration of some of these phenomena till we come to the great controversy between Porphyry and Eusebius, in which they were for the first time fully discussed. But there is one early oracle of the dead, different in some respects from any that succeeded it,2 which presents so many points for notice that a

<sup>1</sup> Paus. i. 34. We should have expected this prophetic frenzy to have been connected with Bacchus or the Nymphs rather than with Apollo, and it is possible that there may have been some transference of the phenomena from the one worship to the other. The causes which have determined the attributes of the Greek deities are often too fanciful to admit of explanation now.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The distinction drawn by Nägelsbach between this and other "Todtenorakeln" (Nachhom. Theologie, p. 189) is surely exaggerated. See Klausen, Aeneas und die Penaten, p. 129 foll., for other legends connecting Odysseus with early necromancy, and on this general subject see Herod. v. 92; Eur. Ale. 1131; Plat. Leg. x. 909; Plut. Cim. 6, de Ser. Num. Vind. 17; Tylor, Prim. Cult. ii. 41. The fact, on which Nägelsbach dwells, that Odysseus, after

few reflections on the state of belief which it indicates will assist us in comprehending the nature of the elevation of Greek faith which was afterwards effected under the influence of Delphi.

For this,—the first oracle of which we have a full account, - the descent of Odysseus to the underworld, "to consult the soul of the Theban Teiresias," shows in a way which it would be hard to parallel elsewhere the possible co-existence in the same mind of the creed and practices of the lowest races with a majesty, a pathos, a power, which human genius has never yet overpassed. The eleventh book of the Odyssey is steeped in the Animism of barbarous peoples. The Cimmerian entrance to the world of souls is the close parallel (to take one instance among many) of the extreme western cape of Vanua Levi, a ealm and solcmn place of cliff and forest, where the souls of the Fijian dead embark for the judgment - seat of Ndengci, and whither the living come on pilgrimage, thinking to see ghosts and gods. Homer's ghosts cheep and twitter precisely as the shadow-

consulting Teiresias, satisfied his affection and his curiosity by interviews with other ghosts in no way alters the original injunction laid on him, the purport of his journey— $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon v \sigma v O \eta \beta a lov T \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma l a o$ . Nägelsbaeh's other argument, that in later times we hear only of a dream-oracle, not an apparition-oracle, of Teiresias seems to me equally weak. Readers of Pausanias must surely feel what a chance it is which has determined the oracles of which we have heard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prim. Cult. i. 408.

souls of the Algonquin Indians chirp like crickets, and Polynesian spirits speak in squeaking tones, and the accent of the ancestral Zulu, when he reappears on earth, has earned for him the name of Whistler.1 The expedition of Odysseus is itself paralleled by the exploit of Ojibwa, the eponymous hero of the Ojibbeways, of the Finnish hero Wainamoinen, and of many another savage chief. The revival of the . ghosts with blood, itself closely paralleled in old Teutonic mythologies,<sup>2</sup> speaks of the time when the soul is conceived as feeding on the fumes and shadows of earthly food, as when the Chinese beat the drum which summons ancestral souls to supper, and provide a pail of gruel and a spoon for the greater convenience of any ancestor who may unfortunately have been deprived of his head.3

Nay, even the inhabitants of that underworld are only the semblances of once living men. "They themselves," in the terrible words of the opening sentence of the Iliad, "have been left a prey to dogs and every Human thought has not yet reached a point at which spirit could be conceived of as more than the shadow of matter.

And if further evidence were needed, the oracle of Teiresias himself—opening like a chasm into Hades through the sunlit soil of Greece—reveals unwittingly all the sadness which underlies that freshness and power, the misgiving which so often

<sup>1</sup> Prim. Cult. ii. 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.* ii. 346. <sup>3</sup> *Ibid.* ii. 30.

unites the savage and the philosopher, the man who eomes before religions and the man who eomes after them, in the gloom of the same despair. Himself alone in his wisdom among the ineffectual shades, Teiresias offers to Odysseus, in the face of all his unjust afflictions, no prevention and no eure; "of honey-sweet return thou askest, but by God's will bitter shall it be;"—for life's struggle he has no remedy but to struggle to the end, and for the wandering here he has no deeper promise than the serenity of a gentle death.

And yet Homer "made the theogony of the Greeks."1 And Homer, through the great ages which followed him, not only retained, but deepened his hold on the Hellenie spirit. It was no mere tradition, it was the ascendency of that essential truth and greatness in Homer, which we still so strongly feel, which was the reason why he was elung to and invoked and explained and allegorised by the loftiest minds of Greece in each successive age; why he was transformed by Polygnotus, transformed by Plato, transformed by Porphyry. Nay, even in our own day,—and this is not the least significant fact in religious history,—we have seen one of the most dominant, one of the most religious intellects of our century, falling under the same spell, and extracting from Homer's almost savage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Herod. ii. 53, οὖτοι δέ (Homer and Hesiod) είσι οἱ ποιήσαντες  $\theta$ εογονίην Έλλησι, κ.τ. λ.

animism the full-grown mysterics of the Christian faith.

So dangerous would it be to assume such a congruence throughout the whole mass of the thought of any epoch, however barbarous, that the baseness or falsity of some of its tenets should be enough to condemn the rest unheard. So ancient, so innate in man is the power of apprehending by emotion and imagination aspects of reality for which a deliberate culture might often look in vain. To the dictum,—so true though apparently so paradoxical,—which asserts "that the mental condition of the lower races is the key to poetry," we may reply with another apparent paradox—that poetry is the only thing which every age is certain to recognise as truth.

Having thus briefly considered the nature of each of the main classes of oracular response, it is natural to go on to some inquiry into the history of the leading shrines where these responses were given. The scope of this essay does not admit of a detailed notice of each of the very numerous oracular seats of which some record has reached us. But before passing on to Delphi, I must dwell on two cases of special interest, where recent explorations have brought us nearer than elsewhere to what may be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of Greek oracular seats, with the Barbarian seats known to the Greeks, has been estimated at 260, or an even larger number; but of very many of these we know no more than the name.

called the private business of an oracle, or to the actual structure of an Apolline sanctuary.

The oracle of Zeus at Dodona takes the highest place among all the oracles which answered by signs rather than by inspired speech.1 It claimed to be the eldest of all, and we need not therefore wonder that its phenomena present an unusual confluence of streams of primitive belief. The first mention of Dodona,<sup>2</sup>—in that great invocation of Achilles which is one of the glimpses which Homer gives us of a world far earlier than his own,—seems to indicate that it was then a seat of dream-oracles, where the rude Selloi perhaps drew from the earth on which they slept such visions as she sends among men. But in the Odyssey<sup>3</sup> and in Hesiod<sup>4</sup> the oracle is spoken of as having its seat among the leaves, or in the hollow or base of an oak, and this is the idea which prevailed in elassical times.<sup>5</sup> The doves,<sup>6</sup> if doves there were, and not merely priestesses, whose name, Peleiades, may be derived from some other root,7—introduce another element of complexity.

Strab. viii. Fragm. ἐχρησμώδει δ' οὐ διὰ λόγων ἀλλὰ διά τινων συμβύλων, ὤσπερ τὸ ἐν Λιβύŋ 'Αμμωνιακόν. So Suid. in voc. Δωδώνη, etc.
 Il. xvi. 233.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Od. xiv. 327, xix. 296.

<sup>4</sup> Hes. Fr. 39.7, ναίδν τ' έν πυθμένι φηγού. See Plat. Phaedr. 275.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Aeseh. Prom. 832; Soph. Trach. 172 and 1167.

<sup>6</sup> See Herod. ii. 54, and comp. Od. xii. 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Herm. Griech. Antiq. ii. 250. Dr. Robertson Smith suggests "that the Dove-soothsayers were so named from their croon . . . and that the  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a$  (the Pythia) in like manner is the humming priestess."

—Journal of Philology, vol. xiv. p. 120.

23

Oracles were also given at Dodona by means of lots, and by the falling of water. Moreover, German industry has established the fact, that at Dodona it thunders on more days than anywhere else in Europe, and that no peals are louder anywhere than those which echo among the Acroceraunian It is tempting to derive the word Dodona from the sound of a thunderclap, and to. associate this old Pelasgic sanctuary with the propitiation of elemental deities in their angered hour.3 But the notices of the oracle in later days are perplexingly at variance with all these views. speak mainly of oracles given by the sound of caldrons, — struck, according to Strabo,4 by knuckle-

The dictum of Götte (Delphische Orakel, p. 13) seems to me equally unproveable: "Dodona, wohin die schwarzen aegyptischen Tauben geflogen kamen, ist wohl unbestreitbar eine aegyptische Cultstätte, die Schwesteranstalt von Ammonium, beide Thebens Töchter." The geographical position of Dodona is much against this view, the doves are very problematical, and the possible existence of a primitive priesthood in the Selloi is no proof of an

Egyptian influence.

<sup>4</sup> Strab. lib. vii. Fragm. ap. Hermann, Grieeh. Ant. ii. 251, where sec further citations.

<sup>2</sup> Serv. ad Acn. iii. 466. 1 Cic. de Div. ii. 32.

<sup>3</sup> I do not think that we can get beyond some such vague conjecture as this, and A. Mommson and Schmidt's elaborate calculations as to months of maximum frequency of thunderclaps and centres of maximum frequency of earthquakes, as determining the time of festivals or the situation of oracular temples, seem to me to be quite out of place. If a savage possessed the methodical patience of a German observer, he would be a savage no more. Savants must be content to leave Aristotle's τύχη καὶ τὸ αὐτόματον, -chance and spontaneity, -as causes of a large part of the action of primitive men.

bones attached to a wand held by a statue. The temple is even said to have been made of caldrons, or at least they were so arranged, as a certain Demon tells us, that "all in turn, when one was smitten, the caldrons of Dodona rang." The perpetual sound thus caused is alluded to in a triumphant tone by other writers, but it is the more difficult to determine in what precise way the will of Zeus was understood.

Among such a mass of traditions, it is of course easy to find analogies. The doves may be compared to the hissing ducks of the Abipones, which were connected with the souls of the dead,<sup>4</sup> or with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Steph. Byz. s. voc.  $\Delta\omega\delta\omega\nu\eta$ , quoted by Carapanos, in whose monograph on Dodona citations on all these points will be found.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Miiller, Fragm. Hist. Gr. iii. 125.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Callim.  $Hymn.\ in\ Del.\ 286$  ; Philostr. Imag. ii. 33 (a slightly different account).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prim. Cult. ii. 6. The traces of animal worship in Greece are many and interesting, but are not closely enough connected with our present subject to be discussed at length. Apollo's possible characters, as the Wolf, the Locust, or the Fieldmouse (or the Slayer of wolves, of locusts, or of fieldmice), have not perceptibly affected his oracles. Still less need we be detained by the fish-tailed Eurynome, or the horse-faced Demeter (Paus. viii. 41, 42). And although from the time when the boy-prophet Iamus lay among the wall-flowers, and "the two bright-eyed scrpents fed him with the harmless poison of the bee" (Pind. Ol. vi. 28), snakes appear frequently in connection with prophetic power, their worship falls under the head of divination rather than of oracles. The same remark may be made of ants, cats, and cows. The bull Apis occupies a more definite position, but though he was visited by Greeks, his worship was not a product of Greek thought. The nearest Greek approach, perhaps, to an animal-oracle was at the fount of Myræ in Cilicia (Plin. H.N. xxxii. 2), where fish swam up to eat or reject the food thrown to them. "Diripere eos earnes objectas

doves in Popayan, which are spared as inspired by departed souls. The tree-worship opens up lines of thought too well known for repetition. We may liken the Dodonæan "voiceful oak" to the tamarisks of Beersheba, and the oak of Sheehem,—its whisper to the "sound of a going in the tops of the mulberry-trees," whieli prompted Israel to war, and so on down the long train of memories to Joan of Are hanging with garlands the fairies' beech in the woods of Domremy, and telling her persecutors that if they would set her in a forest once more she would hear the heavenly voices plain.2 Or we may prefer, with another school, to trace this tree also back to the legendary Ygdrassil, "the celestial tree of the Aryan family," with its spreading branches of the stratified clouds of heaven. One legend at least points to the former interpretation as the more natural. For just as a part of the ship Argo, keel or prow, was made of the Dodonæan oak, and Argo's erew heard with astonishment the ship herself prophesy to them on the sea:—

laetum est consultantibus," says Pliny, "caudis abigere dirum." The complaint of a friend of Plutarch's (Quæst. conviv. iv. 4) "that it was impossible to obtain from fishes a single instructive look or sound," is thus seen to have been exaggerated. And it appears that live snakes were kept in the cave of Trophonius (Philostr. Vit. Apoll. viii. 19), in order to inspire terror in visitors, who were instructed to appease them with cakes (Suid. s. v. μελιτοῦττα).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2 Sam. v. 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Dixit quod si esset in uno nemore bene audiret voces venientes ad cam."—On Tree-worship, see Lubbock, Origin of Civilisation, p. 206 foll.

"But Jason and the builder, Argus, knew
Whereby the prow foretold things strange and new;
Nor wondered aught, but thanked the gods therefore,
As far astern they left the Mysian shore," 1—

so do we find a close parallel to this among the Siamese,<sup>2</sup> who believe that the inhabiting nymphs of trees pass into the guardian spirits of boats built with their wood, to which they continue to sacrifice.

Passing on to the answers which were given at this shrine, we find that at Dodona, as well as at Delphi, human sacrifice is to be discerned in the background. But in the form in which the legend reaches us, its horror has been sublimed into pathos. Coresus, priest of Bacchus at Calydon, loved the maiden Callirhoe in vain. Bacchus, indignant at his servant's repulse, sent madness and death on Calydon. The oracle of Dodona announced that Coresus must sacrifice Callirhoe, or some one who would die for her. No one was willing to die for her, and she stood up beside the altar to be slain. But when Coresus looked on her his love overcame his anger, and he slew himself in her stead. Then her heart turned to him, and beside the fountain to which her name was given she died by her own hand, and followed him to the underworld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Morris' Life and Death of Jason, Book iv. ad fin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prim. Cult. ii. 198. <sup>3</sup> Paus. vii. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Eus. Pr. Ev. v. 27, παρθένον Αlπυτίδαν κλήρος καλεί, etc. See also the romantic story of Melanippus and Cometho, Paus. vii. 19.

There is another legend of Dodona to which the student of oracles may turn with a certain grim satisfaction at the thought that the ambiguity of style which has so often baffled him did once at least carry its own penalty with it. Certain Beetian envoys, so the story runs, were told by Myrtile, the priestess of Dodona, "that it would be best for them to do the most impious thing possible." The Beetians immediately threw the priestess into a caldron of boiling water, remarking that they could not think of anything much more impious than that.

The ordinary business of Dodona, however, was of a less exciting character. M. Carapanos has discovered many tablets on which the inquiries of visitors to the oracle were inscribed, and these give a picture, sometimes grotesque, but oftener pathetic, of the simple faith of the rude Epirots who dwelt round about the shrine. The statuette of an acrobat hanging to a rope shows that the "Dodonæan Pelasgian Zeus" did not disdain to lend his protection to the least dignified forms of jeopardy to life and limb. A certain Agis asks "whether he has lost his blankets and pillows himself, or some one outside has stolen them." An unknown woman asks simply how she may be healed of her disease. Lysanias asks if he is indeed the father of the child which his wife Nyla is soon to bear. Evandrus and his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ephor. ad Strab. ix. 2; Herael. Pont. Fragm. Hist. Gr. ii. 198; Proclus, Chrest. ii. 248, and see Carapanos.

wife, in broken dialect, seek to know "by what prayer or worship they may fare best now and for ever." And there is something strangely pathetic in finding on a broken plate of lead the imploring inquiry of the fierce and factious Corcyreans, -made, alas! in vain,—" to what god or hero offering prayer and sacrifice they might live together in unity?" 1 "For the men of that time," says Plato,2 "since they were not wise as ye are nowadays, it was enough in their simplicity to listen to oak or rock, if only these told them true." To those rude tribes, indeed, their voiceful trees were the one influence which lifted them above barbarism and into contact with the surrounding world. Again and again Dodona was ravaged,3 but so long as the oak was standing the temple rose anew. When at last an Illyrian bandit cut down the oak4 the presence of Zeus was gone, and the desolate Thesprotian valley has known since then no other sanctity, and has found no other voice.

I proceed to another oracular seat, of great mythical celebrity, though seldom alluded to in classical times, to which a recent exploration 5 has given a striking interest, bringing us, as it were, into direct connection across so many ages with the birth and advent of a god.

<sup>1</sup> Τίνι κα θεων ἢ ἡρώων θύοντες καὶ ἀχόμενοι ὁμονοοῖεν ἐπὶ τἀγαθόν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Phaedr. 275.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Strab. vii. 6; Polyb. ix. 67, and cf. Wolff, de Noviss. p 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Serv. ad Aen. iii. 466.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Recherches sur Délos, par J. A. Lebègue, 1876.

On the slope of Cynthus, near the mid-point of the Isle of Delos, ten gigantic blocks of granite, covered with loose stones and the débris of ages, form a rude vault, half hidden in the hill. The islanders call it the "dragon's cave;" travellers had taken it for the remains of a fortress or of a reservoir. It was reserved for two French savants to show how much knowledge the most familiar texts. have yet to yield when they are meditated on by minds prepared to compare and to comprehend. A familiar passage in Homer, illustrated by much ancient learning and by many calculations of his own, suggested to M. Burnouf, Director of the French School of Archeology at Athens, that near this point had been a primitive post of observation of the heavens; nay, that prehistoric men had perhaps measured their seasons by the aid of some rude instrument in this very cave. An equally familiar line of Virgil,<sup>2</sup> supported by some expressions in a Homeric hymn, led M. Lebègue to the converging conjecture that at this spot the Delian oracle had its seat; that here it was that Leto's long wanderings ended, and Apollo and Artemis were born. Every schoolboy has learnt by heart the sounding lines which tell how Aeneas "venerated the temple built of ancient stone," and how at the god's unseen coming "threshold and laurel trembled, and all the

Od. xv. 403. Em. Burnouf, Revue Archéologique, Aug. 8, 1873.
 Aen. iii. 84; Hom. Hymn. Del. 15-18, and 79-81.

mountain round about was moved." But M. Lebègue was the first to argue hence with confidence that the oracle must have been upon the mountain and not on the coast, and that those ancient stones, like the Cyclopean treasure-house of Mycenæ, might be found and venerated still. So far as a reader can judge without personal survey, these expectations have been amply fulfilled. At each step M. Lebègue's researches revealed some characteristic of an oracular shrine. In a walled external space were the remains of a marble base on which a three-legged instrument had been fixed by metal claws. eame a transverse wall, shutting off the temple within, which looks westward, so that the worshipper, as he approaches, may face the east. The floor of this temple is reft by a chasm,—the continuation of a ravine which runs down the hill, and across which the sanetuary has been intentionally built. And in the inner recess is a rough block of granite, smoothed on the top, where a statue has stood. The statue has probably been knocked into the chasm by a rock falling through the partly-open roof. Its few fragments show that it represented a young god. The stone itself is probably a fetish, surviving, with the Cyclopean stones which make the vault above it,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Homolle (Fouilles de Délos, 1879) gives no direct opinion on the matter, but his researches indirectly confirm M. Lebègue's view, in so far as that among the numerous inscriptions, etc., which he has found among the ruins of the temple of Λpollo on the coast, there seems to be no trace of oracular response or inquiry.

from a date perhaps many centuries before the Apolline religion came. This is all, but this is enough. For we have here in narrow compass all the elements of an oracular shrine; the westward aspect, the sacred enclosure, the tripod, the sanctuary, the chasm, the fetish-stone, the statue of a youthful god. And when the situation is taken into account, the correspondence with the words both of Virgil and of the Homerid becomes so close as to be practically convincing. It is true that the smallness of scale,—the sanctuary measures some twenty feet by ten,—and the remote archaism of the structure, from which all that was beautiful, almost all that was Hellenic, has long since disappeared, cause at first a shock of disappointment like that inspired by the size of the citadel, and the character of the remains at Hissarlik. Yct, on reflection, this secming incongruity is an additional element of proof. There is something impressive in the thought that amidst all the marble splendour which made Delos like a jewel in the sea, it was this cavernous and prehistoric sanctuary, as mysterious to Greek eyes as to our own, which their imagination identified with that earliest temple which Leto promised, in her hour of trial, that Apollo's hands should build. This, the onc remaining seat of oracle out of the hundreds which Greece contained, was the one sanctuary which the Far-darter himself had wrought;no wonder that his mighty workmanship has out-

lasted the designs of men! All else is gone. The temples, the amphitheatres, the colonnades, which glittered on every crest and coign of the holy island, have sunk into decay. But he who sails among the isles of Greece may still watch around sea-girt Delos "the dark wave welling shoreward beneath the shrill and breezy air;" he may still note at sunrise, as on that sunrise when the god was born, "the whole island abloom with shafts of gold, as a hill's crested summit blooms with woodland flowers."2 thou thyself, lord of the silver bow," he may exclaim with the Homerid in that burst of exultation in which the uniting Ionian race seems to leap to the consciousness of all its glory in an hour,—"thou walkedst here in very presence, on Cynthus' leafy crown!"

"Ah, many a forest, many a peak is thine,
On many a promontory stands thy shrine,
But best and first thy love, thy home, is here;
Of all thine isles thy Delian isle most dear;
—
There the long-robed Ionians, man and maid,
Press to thy feast in all their pomp arrayed,—
To thee, to Artemis, to Leto pay
The heartfelt honour on thy natal day;
—
Immortal would he deem them, ever young,
Who then should walk the Ionian folk among,
Should those tall men, those stately wives behold,
Swift ships seafaring and long-garnered gold:—

<sup>1</sup> Hymn. Del. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid. 138-164.

But chiefliest far his eyes and ears would meet Of sights, of sounds most marvellously sweet, The Delian girls amid the thronging stir, The loved hand-maidens of the Far-darter; The Delian girls, whose chorus, long and long, Chants to the god his strange, his ancient song,—Till whose hears it deems his own voice sent Thro' the azure air that music softly blent, So close it comes to each man's heart, and so His own soul feels it and his glad tears flow."

Such was the legend of the indigenous, the Hellenic Apollo. But the sun does not rise over one horizon alone, and the glory of Delos was not left uncontested or unshared. Another hymn, of inferior poetical beauty, but of equal, if not greater, authority among the Greeks, relates how Apollo descended from the Thessalian Olympus, and sought a place where he might found his temple: how he was refused by Tilphussa, and selected Delphi; and how, in the guise of a dolphin, he led thither a crew of Cretans to be the servants of his shrine. With this hymn, so full of meaning for the comparative mythologist, we are here only concerned as introducing us to Apollo in the aspect in which we know him best, "giving his answers from the laurel-wood, beneath the hollows of Parnassus' hill." 1

At Delphi, as at Dodona, we seem to trace the relics of many a form of worship and divination which we cannot now distinctly recall. From that

<sup>1</sup> Hymn. Pyth. 214.

deep cleft "in rocky Pytho," Earth, the first prophetess, gave her earliest oracle,1 in days which were already a forgotten antiquity to the heroic age of Greece. The maddening vapour, which was supposed to rise from the chasm,2 belongs to nymph-inspiration rather than to the inspiration of Apollo. At Delphi, too, was the most famous of all fetishstones, believed in later times to be the centre of the earth.3 At Delphi divination from the sacrifice of goats reached an immemorial antiquity.4 Delphi, too, was an ancient centre of divination by fire, a tradition which survived in the name of Pyrcon,5 given to Hephaestus' minister, while Hephaestus shared with Earth the possession of the shrine, and in the mystic title of the Flame-kindlers,6 assigned in oracular utterances to the Delphian folk. At Delphi, too, in ancient days, the self-moved lots

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aesch. Eum. 2; Paus. x. 5; ef. Eur. Iph. Taur. 1225 sqq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Strabo, ix. p. 419, etc. In a paper read before the British Archæological Association, March 5, 1879, Dr. Phenè has given an interesting account of subterranean chambers at Delphi, which seem to indicate that gases from the subterranean Castalia were received in a chamber where the Pythia may have sat. But in the absence of direct experiment this whole question is physiologically very obscure. It is even possible, as M. Bouché-Leelercq urges, that the Pythia's frenzy may be a survival from a previous Dionysiae worship at Delphi, and thus originally traceable to a quite orthodox intoxicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Paus. x. 16, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Diod. Sie. xvi. 26. Pliny (*Hist. Nat.* vii. 56) ascribes the in vention of this mode of divination to Delphos, a son of Apollo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Paus. x. 5.

<sup>6</sup> Plut. Pyth. 24.

sprang in the goblet in obedience to Apollo's will.1 The waving of the Delphic laurel,2 which in later times seemed no more than a token of the wind and spiritual stirring which announced the advent of the god, was probably the relic of an ancient treeworship, like that of Dodona, and Daphne, priestess of Delphi's primeval Earth-oracle,4 is but one more of the old symbolical figures that have melted back again into impersonal nature at the appearing of the God of Day. Lastly, at Delphi is laid the scene of the sharpest conflict between the old gods and the new. Whatever may have been the meaning of the Python,—whether he were a survival of snake-worship, or a winding stream which the sun's rays dry into rotting marsh, or only an emblem of the cloud which trails across the sunlit heaven, his slaughter by Apollo was an integral part of the early legend, and at the Delphian festivals the changes of the "Pythian strain" commemorated for many a year that perilous encounter,—the god's descent into the battlefield, his shout of summons,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Suidas, iii. p. 237; ef. Callim. Hymn. in Apoll. 45, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ar. Plut. 213; Callim. Hymn. in Apoll. 1, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I eannot, however, follow M. Maury (Religions de la Grèce, ii. 442) in supposing (as he does in the case of the Delian laurel, Acn. iii. 73) that such tree-movements need indicate an ancient habit of divining from their sound. The idea of a wind accompanying divine manifestations seems more widely diffused in Greece than the Dodonæan idea of vocal trees. Cf. (for instance) Plut. De Def. orac. of the Delphian adytum, εὐωδίας ἀναπίμπλαται καὶ πνεύματος.

<sup>4</sup> Paus. x. 5.

his cry of conflict, his paean of victory, and then the gnashing of the dragon's teeth in his fury, the hiss of his despair. And the mythology of a later age has connected with this struggle the first ideas of moral conflict and expiation which the new religion had to teach; has told us that the victor needed purification after his victory; that he endured and was forgiven; and that the god himself first wore his laurel-wreath as a token of supplication, and not of song.<sup>2</sup>

With a similar ethical purpose the simple narrative of the Homerid has been transformed into a legend <sup>3</sup> of a type which meets us often in the middle ages, but which wears a deeper pathos when it occurs in the midst of Hellenic gladness and youth,—the legend of Trophonius and Agamedes, the artificers who built the god's home after his heart's desire, and whom he rewarded with the guerdon that is above all other recompense, a speedy and a gentle death.

In the new temple at any rate, as rebuilt in historic times, the moral significance of the Apolline religion was expressed in unmistakable imagery. Even as "four great zones of sculpture" girded the hall of Camelot, the centre of the faith which was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ἄμπειρα, κατακελευσμός, σάλπιγξ, δάκτυλοι, δδοντισμός, σύριγγες. See August Mommsen's Delphika on this topic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Bötticher, Baumcultus, p. 353; and see reff. ap. Herm. Griech. Ant. ii. 127. Cf. Eur. Ion, 114 sqq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cie. Tusc. i. 47; ef. Plut. De Consol. ad Apollon. 14.

civilising Britain, "with many a mystic symbol" of the victory of man, so over the portico of the Delphian god were painted or sculptured such scenes as told of the triumph of an ideal humanity over the monstrous deities which are the offspring of savage fear.<sup>1</sup>

There was "the light from the eyes of the twin faces" of Leto's children; there was Herakles with golden sickle, Iolaus with burning brand, withering the heads of the dying Hydra,—"the story," says the girl in the *Ion* who looks thereon, "which is sung beside my loom;" there was the rider of the winged steed slaying the fire-breathing Chimaera; there was the tumult of the giants' war; Pallas lifting the aegis against Enceladus; Zeus crushing Mimas with the great bolt fringed with flame, and Bacchus "with his unwarlike ivy-wand laying another of Earth's children low."

It is important thus to dwell on some of the indications,—and there are many of them,—which point to the conviction entertained in Greece as to the ethical and civilising influence of Delphi, inasmuch as the responses which have actually been preserved to us, though sufficient, when attentively considered, to support this view, are hardly such as would at once have suggested it. The set collections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The passage in the *Ion*, 190-218, no doubt describes either the portico which the Athenians dedicated at Delphi about 426 B.C. (Paus. x. 11), or (as the words of the play, if taken strictly, would indicate) the façade of the temple itself.

of oracles, which no doubt contained those of most ethical importance, have perished; of all the "darkwritten tablets, groaning with many an utterance of Loxias," 1 none remain to us except such fragments of Porphyry's treatise as Eusebius has embodied in his refutation. And many of the oracles which we do possess owe their preservation to the most trivial causes,—to their connection with some striking aneedote, or to something quaint in their phraseology which has helped to make them proverbial. The reader, therefore, who passes from the majestic descriptions of the Ion or the Eumenides to the actual study of the existing oracles will at first run much risk of disappointment. Both style and subject will often seem unworthy of these lofty claims. He will come, for instance, on such oracles as that which orders Temenus to seek as guide of the army a man with three eyes, who turns out (aeeording to different legends) to be either a one-eyed man on a two-eyed horse, or a two-eyed man on a one-eyed This oracle is composed precisely on the model of the primitive riddles of the Aztee and the Zulu, and is almost repeated in Seandinavian legend, where Odin's single eye gives point to the enigma.3 Again, the student's ear will often be offended by

Eur. Fr. 625. Collections of oracles continued to be referred to till the Turks took Constantinople, *i.e.* for about 2000 years. See reff. ap. Wolff, *de Noviss.* p. 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Apollod. ii. 8; Paus. v. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prim. Cult. i. 85.

roughnesses of rhythm which seem unworthy of the divine inventor of the hexameter. And the constantly-recurring prophecies are, for the most part, uninteresting and valueless, as the date of their composition cannot be proved, nor their genuincness in any way tested. As an illustration of the kind of difficulties which we here encounter, we may select one remarkable oracle, of immense celebrity in antiquity, which certainly suggests more questions than we can readily answer. The outline of the familiar story is as follows:—Crœsus wished to make war on Cyrus, but was afraid to do so without express sanction from heaven. It was therefore all-important to him to test the veracity of the oracles, and his character, as the most religious man of his time, enabled him to do so systematically, without risk of incurring the charge of impicty. He sent messages to the six best-known oracles then existing,—to Delphi, to Dodona, to Branchidae, to the oracles of Zens Ammon, of Trophonius, of Amphiaraus. On the hundredth day from leaving Sardis, his envoys were to ask what Crossus was at that moment doing. Four oracles failed; Amphi-

He apologises for the quantity of the first syllable of εὐρυγάστορα, but seems to think that no further remark is needed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bald though the god's style may often be, he possesses at any rate a sounder notion of metre than some of his German critics. Lobeck (*Aglaophamus*, p. 852), attempting to restore a lost response, suggests the line

στενυγρην δ'ένοεῦν εὐρυγάστορα οὐ κατὰ γαῖαν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Herod. i. 47.

araus was nearly right; Apollo at Delphi entirely succeeded. For the Pythia answered, with exact truth, that Crœsus was engaged in boiling a lamb and a tortoise together in a copper vessel with a copper lid. The messengers, who had not themselves known what Crœsus was going to do, returned to Sardis and reported, and were then once more despatched to Delphi, with gifts so splendid that in the days of Herodotus they were still the glory of the sanctuary. They now asked the practically important question as to going to war, and received a quibbling answer which, in effect, lured on Crœsus to his destruction.

Now here the two things certain are that Crossus did send these gifts to Delphi, and did go to war with Cyrus. Beyond these facts there is no sure footing. Short and pithy fragments of poetry, like the oracles on which the story hangs, are generally among the earliest and most enduring fragments of genuine history. On the other hand, they are just the utterances which later story-tellers are most eager to invent. Nor must we argue from their characteristic diction, for the pseudo-oracular is a style which has in all ages been cultivated with success. The fact which it is hardest to dispose of is the existence of the prodigious, the unrivalled offerings of Cresus at Delphi. Why were they sent there, unless for some such reason as Herodotus gives? Or are they sufficiently explained by a mere reference to that almost superstitious deference with which the Mermnadae seem to have regarded the whole religion and civilisation of Greece? With our imperfect data, we can perhaps hardly go with safety beyond the remark that, granting the genuineness of the oracle about the tortoise, and the substantial truth of Herodotus' account, there will still be no reason to suppose that the god had any foreknowledge as to the result of Crosus' war. The story itself, in fact, contains almost a proof to the contrary. We cannot suppose that the god, in saying, "Cræsus, if he cross the Halys, shall undo a mighty realm," was intentionally inciting his favoured servant to his ruin. It is obvious that he was sheltering his ignorance behind a calculated ambiguity. And the only intelligence to which he or his priestess could, on any hypothesis, fairly lay claim, would be of the kind commonly described as "second-sight," a problem with which ethnologists have already to deal all over the world, from the Hebrides to the Coppermine River.

It is obvious that the documents before us are far from enabling us to prove even this hypothesis. And we are farther still from any evidence for actual prophecy which can stand a critical investigation. Hundreds of such cases are indeed reported to us, and it was on a conviction that Apollo did indeed foretell the future that the authority of Delphi mainly depended. But when we have said

this, we have said all; no ease is so reported as to enable us altogether to exclude the possibility of eoincidence, or of the fabrication of the prophecy after the event. But, on the other hand,—and this is a more surprising circumstance,—it is equally difficult to get together any satisfactory evidence for the conjecture which the parallel between Delphi and the Papacy so readily suggests, — that the power of the oracle was due to the machinations of a priestly aristoeracy, with widely-seattered agents, who insinuated themselves into the confidence, and traded on the credulity, of mankind. We cannot but suppose that, to some extent at least, this must have been the ease; that when "the Pythia philippised" she reflected the fears of a knot of Delphian proprietors; that the unerring counsel given to private persons, on which Plutareh insists, must have rested, in part at least, on a secret acquaintance with their affairs, possibly acquired in some cases under the seal of confession. In the paucity, however, of direct evidence to this effect, our estimate of the amount of pressure exercised by a deliberate human agency in determining the policy of Delphi must rest mainly on our antecedent view of what is likely to have been the ease, where the interests involved were of such wide importance.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For this view of the subject, see Hüllmann, Würdigung des Delphischen Orakels; Götte, Das Delphische Orakel. August Mommsen (Delphika) takes a somewhat similar view, and ealls the Pythia a "blosse Figurantin," but his erudition has added little

For indeed the political influence of the Delphian oracle, however inspired or guided,—the value to Hellas of this one unquestioned centre of national counsel and national unity, - has always formed one of the most impressive topics with which the historian of Greece has had to deal. And I shall pass this part of my subject rapidly by, as already familiar to most readers, and shall not repeat at length the well-known stories,—the god's persistent command to expel the Peisistratids from Athens, his partiality for Sparta, as shown both in encouragement and warning,1 or the attempts, successful2 and unsuccessful,3 to bribe his priestess. Nor shall I do more than allude to the encouragement of colonisation, counsel of great wisdom, which the god lost no opportunity of enforcing on both the Dorian and the Ionian stocks. He sent the Cretans to Sicily,<sup>4</sup> and Alcmaeon to the Echinades;<sup>5</sup> he ordered the foundation of Byzantium 6 "over against the city of the blind;" he sent Archias to Ortygia to to the scanty store of texts on which Hüllmann, etc., depend. I

may mention here that Hendess has collected most of the existing oracles (except those quoted by Eusebius) in a tract, Oracula quae supersunt, etc., which is convenient for reference.

<sup>1</sup> Herod. vi. 52; Thuc. i. 118, 123; ii. 54. Warnings, ap. Pans. iii. 8; ix. 32; Diod. Sic. xi. 50; xv. 54. Plut. Lys. 22; Agesil. 3.

<sup>2</sup> Cleisthenes, Herod. v. 63, 66; Pleistoanax, Thuc. v. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lysander; Plut. Lys. 26; Ephor. Fr. 127; Nep. Lys. 3. See also Herod. vi. 66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Herod. vii. 170. <sup>5</sup> Thuc. ii. 102.

<sup>6</sup> Strab. vii. 320; Tac. Ann. xii. 63; but see Herod. iv. 144

found Syracuse, the Bootians to Heraclea at Pratos,<sup>2</sup> and the Spartans to Heraclea in Thessaly. And in the story which Herodotus 3 and Pindar 4 alike have made renowned, he singled out Battus,anxious merely to learn a cure for his stammer, but type of the man with a destiny higher than he knows,-to found at Cyrene "a charioteering city upon the silvern bosom of the hill." And, as has often been remarked, this function of colonisation had a religious as well as a political import. The colonists, before whose adventurous armaments Apollo, graven on many a gem, still hovers over the sea, carried with them the civilising maxims of the "just-judging" 5 sanctuary as well as the brand kindled on the world's central altar-stone from that pine-fed 6 and eternal fire. Yet more distinctly can we trace the response of the god to each successive stage of ethical progress to which the cvolution of Greek thought attains.

The moralising Hesiod is honoured at Delphi in preference to Homer himself. The Seven Wisc Men, the next examples of a deliberate effort after ethical rules, are connected closely with the Pythian shrine. Above the portal is inscribed that first condition of all moral progress, "Know Thyself";

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paus. v. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Justin. xvi. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Herod. iv. 155.

<sup>4</sup> Pyth. iv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pyth. xi. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Plut. de EI apud Delphos. Cf. Aesch. Eum. 40; Choeph. 1036.

nor does the god refuse to encourage the sages whose inferior ethical elevation suggests to them only such maxims as, "Most men are bad," or "Never go bail." 1

Solon and Lycurgus, the spiritual ancestors of the Athenian and the Spartan types of virtue, receive the emphatic approval of Delphi, and the "Theban eagle," the first great exponent of the developed faith of Greece, already siding with the spirit against the letter, and refusing to ascribe to a divinity any immoral act, already preaching the rewards and punishments of a future state in strains of impassioned revelation,—this great poet is dear above all men to Apollo during his life, and is honoured for centuries after his death by the priest's nightly summons, "Let Pindar the poet come in to the supper of the god." 2 It is from Delphi thatreverence for oaths, respect for the life of slaves, of women, of suppliants, derive in great measure their sanction and strength.3 I need only allude to the well-known story of Glaucus, who consulted the god to know whether he should deny having received the gold in deposit from his friend, and who was warned in lines which sounded from end to end of Greece of the nameless Avenger of the broken

I say nothing de EI apud Delphos, about the mystic word which five of the wise men, or perhaps all seven together, put up in wooden letters at Delphi, for their wisdom has in this instance wholly transcended our interpretation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Paus, ix. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Herod. ii. 134; vi. 139, etc.

oath,—whose wish was punished like a deed, and whose family was blotted out. The numerous responses of which this is the type brought home to men's minds the notion of right and wrong, of reward and punishment, with a force and impressiveness which was still new to the Grecian world.

More surprising, perhaps, at so early a stage of moral thought, is the catholicity of the Delphian god, his indulgence towards ceremonial differences or ceremonial offences, his reference of casuistical problems to the test of the inward rightness of the heart. It was the Pythian Apollo who replied to the inquiry, "How best are we to worship the gods?" by the philosophic answer, "After the custom of your country," and who, if those customs varied, would only bid men choose "the best." It was Apollo who rebuked the pompous sacrifice of the rich Magnesian by declaring his preference for the cake and frankincense which the pious Achæan offered in humbleness of heart. It was Apollo who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, for instance, the story of the young man and the brigands, Ael. *Hist. Var.* iii. 4. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Xen. Mem. iv. 3. ή τε γὰρ Πυθία νόμφ πόλεως ἀναιρεί ποιοῦντας εὐσεβῶς ἄν ποιεῖν. The Pythia often urged the maintenance or renewal of ancestral rites. Paus. viii. 24, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Theopomp. Fr. 283; ef. Sopater, Prolegg. in Aristid. Panath. p. 740,  $\epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \mu \omega \chi \theta \delta \delta \delta \lambda \delta \delta \lambda \delta \delta \delta \kappa \kappa \tau \lambda$ . (Wolff, de Noviss. p. 5; Lob, Agl. 1006), and compare the story of Poseidon (Plut. de Prof. in Virt. 12), who first reproached Stilpon in a dream for the cheapness of his offerings, but on learning that he could afford nothing

warned the Greeks not to make superstition an excuse for cruelty; who testified, by his commanding interference, his compassion for human infirmities, for the irresistible heaviness of sleep, for the thoughtlessness of childhood, for the bewilderment of the whirling brain.

Yet the impression which the Delphian oracles make on the modern reader will depend less on isolated anecdotes like these than on something of the style and temper which appears especially in those responses which Herodotus has preserved,—something of that delightful mingling of naïveté with greatness, which was the world's irrecoverable bloom. What scholar has not smiled over the god's answer<sup>4</sup> to the colonists who had gone to a barren island in mistake for Libya, and came back complaining that Libya was unfit to live in? He told them that "if they who had never visited the

better, smiled, and promised to send abundant anchovies. For the Delphian god's respect for honest poverty, see Plin. H. N. vii. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evenius. Herod. ix. 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Paus, viii. 23. This is the ease of the Areadian children who hung the goddess in play.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Paus. vi. 9; Plut. *Romul.* 28 (Cleomedes). For further instances of the inculcation of mercy, see Thue. ii. 102; Athen. xi. p. 504.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Herod. iv. 157. There seems some analogy between this story and the Norse legend of second-sight, which narrates how "Mgimund shut up three Finns in a hut for three nights that they might visit Iceland and inform him of the lie of the country where he was to settle. Their bodies became rigid, they sent their souls on the errand, and awakening after three days, they gave a description of the Vatnsdael."— Prim. Cult, i. 396.

sheep-bearing Libya knew it better than he who had, he greatly admired their cleverness." Who has not felt the majesty of the lines which usher in the test-oracle of Croesus with the lofty assertion of the omniscience of heaven? lines which deeply impressed the Greek mind, and whose graven record, two thousand years afterwards, was among the last relics which were found among the ruins of Delphi. 2

It is Herodotus, if any one, who has caught for us the expression on the living face of Hellas. It is Herodotus whose pencil has perpetuated that flying moment of young unconsciousness when evil itself seemed as if it could leave no stain on her expanding soul, when all her faults were reparable, and all her wounds benign; when we can still feel that in her npward progress all these and more might be forgiven and pass harmless away—

"For the time Was May-time, and as yet no sin was dreamed."

And through all this vivid and golden scene the Pythian Apollo—"the god," as he is termed with a sort of familiar affection—is the never-failing counsellor and friend. His providence is all the divinity which the growing nation needs. His wisdom is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Herod. i. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cyriae of Ancona, in the sixteenth eentury, found a slab of marble with the couplet οίδα τ' ϵγω, etc., inscribed on it. See Foucart, p. 139.

not inscrutable and absolute, but it is near and kind; it is like the counsel of a young father to his eager boy. To strip the oracles from Herodotus' history would be to deprive it of its deepest unity and its most characteristic charm.

And in that eulminating struggle with the barbarians, when the young nation rose, as it were, to knightly manhood through one great ordeal, how moving — through all its perplexities — was the attitude of the god! We may wish, indeed, that he had taken a firmer tone, that he had not trembled before the oncoming host, nor needed men's utmost supplications before he would give a word of hope. But this is a later view; it is the view of Oenomaus and Eusebius, rather than of Aeschylus or Herodotus.<sup>1</sup> To the contemporary Greeks it seemed no shame nor wonder that the national protector, benignant but not omnipotent, should tremble with the fortunes of the nation, that all his strength should searcely suffice for a conflict in which every fibre of the forces of Hellas was strained, "as though men fought upon the earth and gods in upper air."

And seldom indeed has history shown a seene so strangely dramatic, never has poetry entered so deeply into human fates, as in that council at Athens 2 when the question of absolute surrender

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Herod. vii. 139, seems hardly meant to blame the god, though it praises the Athenians for hoping against hope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Herod. vii. 143.

or desperate resistance turned on the interpretation which was to be given to the dark utterance of the god. It was an epithet which saved civilisation; it was the one word which blessed the famous islet instead of cursing it altogether, which gave courage for that most fateful battle which the world has known—

"Thou, holy Salamis, sons of men shalt slay, Or on earth's scattering or ingathering day."

After the great crisis of the Persian war Apollo is at rest.¹ In the tragedians we find him risen high above the attitude of a struggling tribal god. Worshippers surround him, as in the *Ion*, in the spirit of glad self-dedication and holy service; his priestess speaks as in the opening of the *Eumenides*, where the settled majesty of godhead breathes through the awful calm. And now, more magnificent though more transitory than the poet's song, a famous symbolical picture embodies for the remaining generations of Greeks the culminant conception of the religion of Apollo's shrine.

"Not all the treasures," as Homer has it, "which the stone threshold of the Far-darter holds safe within" would now be so precious to us as the power of looking for one hour on the greatest work of the greatest painter of antiquity, the picture by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is noticeable that the god three times defended his own shrine,—against Xerxes (Herod. viii. 36), Jason of Pherae (Xen. Hell. vi. 4), Brennus (Paus. x. 23).

Polygnotus in the Hall of the Cnidians at Delphi, of the descent of Odysseus among the dead. For as it was with the oracle of Teiresias that the roll of responses began, so it is the picture of that same scene which shows us, even through the meagre description of Pausanias, how great a space had been traversed between the horizon and the zenith of the Hellenic faith. "The ethical painter," as Aristotle calls him, the man on whose works it ennobled a city to gaze, the painter whose figures were superior to nature as the characters of Homer were greater than the greatness of men, had spent on this altar-piece, if I may so term it, of the Hellenic race his truest devotion and his utmost skill. The world to which he introduces us is Homer's shadow-world, but it reminds us also of a very different scene. It recalls the visions of that Sacred Field on whose walls an unknown painter has set down with so startling a reality the faith of mediaval Christendom as to death and the hereafter.

In place of Death with her vampire aspect and wiry wings, we have the fiend Eurynomus, "painted of the blue-black colour of flesh-flies," and battening

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For this picture see Paus. x. 28-31; also Welcker (Kleine Schriften), and W. W. Lloyd in the Classical Museum, who both give Riepenhausen's restoration. While differing from much in Welcker's view of the picture, I have followed him in supposing that a vase figured in his Alte Denkmäler, vol. iii. plate 29, represents at any rate the figure and expression of Polygnotus' Odysseus. The rest of my description can, I think, be justified from Pausanias.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ar. Pol. viii. 8; Poet. ii. 2.

on the eorpses of the slain. In place of the kings and ladies, who tell us in the rude Pisan epigraph how

> "Ischermo di savere e di richezza Di nobiltate ancora e di prodezza Vale niente ai colpi de costei,"—

it is Theseus and Sisyphus and Eriphyle who teach us that might and wealth and wisdom "against those blows are of no avail." And Tityus, whose scaree imaginable outrage in the Pythian valley upon the mother of Apollo herself earries back his crime and his penalty into an immeasurable past,— Tityus lay huge and prone upon the pietured field, but the image of him (and whether this were by chance or art Pausanius could not say) seemed melting into cloud and nothingness through the infinity of his woe. But there also were heroes and heroines of a loftier fate,-Memnon and Sarpedon, Tyro and Penthesilea, in attitudes that told that "ealm pleasures there abide, majestic pains;"—Achilles, with Patroclus at his right hand, and near Achilles Protesilaus, fit mate in valour and in constancy for that type of generous friendship and passionate woe. And there was Odysseus, still a breathing man, but with no trace of terror in his earnest and solemn gaze, demanding from Teiresias, as Dante from Virgil, all that that strange world could show; while near him a woman's figure stood, his mother Anticleia, waiting to eall to him in those words which in Homer's song seem to strike at once to the very innermost of all love and all regret. And where the mediæval painter had set hermits praying as the type of souls made safe through their piety and their knowledge of the divine, the Greek had told the same parable after another fashion. For in Polygnotus' picture it was Tellis and Cleoboia, a young man and a maid, who were erossing Acheron together with hearts at peace; and amid all those legendary heroes these figures alone were real and true, and of a youth and a maiden who not long since had passed away; and they were at peace because they had themselves been initiated, and Cleoboia had taught the mysteries of Demeter to her people and her father's house. And was there, we may ask, in that great eompany, any heathen form which we may liken, however distantly, to the Figure who, throned among the elouds on the glowing Pisan wall, marshals the blessed to their home in light? Almost in the centre, as it would seem, of Polygnotus' pieture was introduced a mysterious personality who found no place in Homer's poem,—a name round which had grown a web of hopes and emotions which no hand ean disentangle now, — "The minstrel sire of song, Orpheus the well-beloved, was there."

It may be that the myth of Orpheus was at first nothing more than another version of the worldold story of the Sun; that his descent and resurrection were but the symbols of the night and the day; that Eurydice was but an emblem of the lovely rose-elouds which sink back from his touch into the darkness of evening only to enfold him more brightly in the dawn. But be this as it may, the name of Orpheus 1 had become the centre of the most aspiring and the deepest thoughts of Greece; the lyre which he held, the willow-tree on which in the picture his hand was laid, were symbols of mystic meaning, and he himself was the type of the man "who has descended and ascended"—who walks the earth with a heart that turns continually towards his treasure in a world unseen.

When this great picture was painted, the sanetuary and the religion of Delphi might well seem indestructible and eternal. But the name of Orpheus, introduced here perhaps for the first time into the centre of the Apolline faith, brings with it a hint of that spirit of mysticism which has acted as a solvent,—sometimes more powerful even than criticism, as the sun in the fable of Aesop was more powerful than the wind,—upon the dogmas of every religion in turn. And it suggests a forward glance to an oracle given at Delphi on a later day,<sup>2</sup> and eited by Porphyry to illustrate the necessary evanescence and imperfection of whatsoever image

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, for instance, Maury, Religions de la Grèce, chap. xviii. Aelius Lampridius (Alex. Sev. Vita, 29) says—"In Larario et Apollonium et Christum, Abraham et Orpheum, et hujusmodi deos habebat."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eus. Pr. Ev. vi. 3.

of spiritual things can be made visible on earth. A time shall come when even Delphi's mission shall have been fulfilled; and the god himself has predicted without despair the destruction of his holiest shrine—

"Ay, if ye bear it, if ye endure to know That Delphi's self with all things gone must go, Hear with strong heart the unfaltering song divine Peal from the laurelled porch and shadowy shrine. High in Jove's home the battling winds are torn, From battling winds the bolts of Jove are born; These as he will on trees and towers he flings, And quells the heart of lions or of kings; A thousand crags those flying flames confound, A thousand navies in the deep are drowned, And ocean's roaring billows, cloven apart, Bear the bright death to Amphitrite's heart. And thus, even thus, on some long-destined day, Shall Delphi's beauty shrivel and burn away,— Shall Delphi's fame and fane from earth expire At that bright bidding of celestial fire."

The ruin has been accomplished. All is gone, save such cyclopean walls as date from days before Apollo, such ineffaceable memories as Nature herself has kept of the vanished shrine. Only the Corycian cave still shows, with its gleaming stalagmites, as though the nymphs to whom it was hallowed were sleeping there yet in stone; the Phaedriades

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Mr. Aubrey de Vere's *Picturesque Sketches in Greece and Turkey* for a striking description of Delphian scenery. Other details will be found in Foucart, pp. 113, 114; and cf. Paus. x. 33.

or Shining Crags still flash the sunlight from their streams that scatter into air; and dwellers at Castri still swear that they have heard the rushing Thyiadcs keep their rout upon Parnassus' brow.

## III.

Even while Polygnotus was painting the Lesche of the Cnidians at Delphi a man was talking in the Athenian market-place, from whose powerful individuality, the most impressive which Greece had ever known, were destined to flow streams of influence which should transform every department of belief and thought. In tracing the history of oracles we shall feel the influence of Socrates mainly in two directions; in his assertion of a personal and spiritual relation between man and the unseen world, an oracle not without us but within; and in his origination of the idea of science, of a habit of mind which should refuse to accept any explanation of phenomena which failed to confer the power of predicting those phenomena or producing them anew. We shall find that, instead of the old acceptance of the responses as heaven-sent mysteries, and the old demands for prophetic knowledge or for guidance in the affairs of life, men are more and more concerned with the questions: How can oracles be practically produced? and what relation between God and man do they imply? But first of all, the oracle which

concerned Socrates himself, which declared him to be the wisest of mankind, is certainly one of the most noticeable ever uttered at Delphi. The fact that the man on whom the god had bestowed this extreme laudation, a laudation paralleled only by the mythical words addressed to Lycurgus, should a few years afterwards have been put to death for impiety, is surely one of a deeper significance than has been often observed. It forms an overt and impressive instance of that divergence between the law and the prophets, between the letter and the spirit, which is sure to occur in the history of all religions, and on the manner of whose settlement the destiny of each religion in turn depends. In this case the conditions of the conflict are striking and unusual. Socrates is accused of failing to honour the gods of the State, and of introducing new gods under the name of demons, or spirits, as we must translate the word, since the title of demon has acquired in the mouths of the Fathers a bad signification. He replies that he does honour the gods of the State, as he understands them, and that the spirit who speaks with him is an agency which he cannot disavow.

The first count of the indictment brings into prominence an obvious defect in the Greek religion,

<sup>1</sup> On the trial of Socrates and kindred points see, besides Plato (Apol., Phaed., Euthyphr.) and Xenophon (Mem., Apol.), Diog. Laert. ii. 40, Diod. Sic. xiv. 37, Plut. De genio Socratis.

the absence of any inspired text to which the orthodox could refer. Homer and Hesiod, men like ourselves, were the acknowledged authors of the theology of Greece; and when Homer and Hesiod were respectfully received, but interpreted with rationalising freedom, it was hard to know by what canons to judge the interpreter. The second count opens questions which go deeper still. It was indeed true, though how far Anytus and Meletus perceived it we cannot now know, that the demon of Socrates indicated a recurrence to a wholly different conception of the unseen world, a conception before which Zeus and Apollo, heaven-god and sun-god, were one day to disappear. But who, except Apollo himself, was to pronounce on such a question? It was he who was for the Hellenic race the source of continuous revelation; his utterances were a sauction or a condemnation from which there was no appeal. And in this debate his verdict for the defendant had been already given. We have heard of Christian theologians who are "more orthodox than the Evangelists." In this case the Athenian jurymen showed themselves more jealous for the gods' honour than were the gods themselves.

To us, indeed, Socrates stands as the example of the truest religious conservatism, of the temper of mind which is able to cast its own original convictions in an ancestral mould, and to find the last outcome of speculation in the humility of a trustful faith. No man, as is well known, ever professed a more childlike confidence in the Delphian god than he, and many a reader through many a century has been moved to a smile which was not far from tears at his account of his own mixture of conscientious belief and blank bewilderment when the infallible deity pronounced that Socrates was the wisest of mankind.

A spirit balanced like that of Socrates could hardly recur; and the impulse given to philosophical inquiry was certain to lead to many questionings as to the true authority of the Delphic precepts. But before we enter upon such controversies, let us trace through some further phases the influence of the oracles on public and private life.

For it does not appear that Delphi ceased to give utterances on the public affairs of Greece so long as Greece had public affairs worthy the notice of a god. Oracles occur, with a less natural look than when we met them in Herodotus, inserted as a kind of unearthly evidence in the speeches of Aeschines and Demosthenes.¹ Hyperides confidently recommends his audience to check the account which a messenger had brought of an oracle of Amphiaraus by despatching another messenger with the same question to Delphi.² Oracles, as we are informed, foretold the

<sup>1</sup> e.g. Dem. Meid. 53:  $-\tau\hat{\varphi}$  δήμ $\varphi$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  'Αθηναίων  $\dot{o}$  τοῦ Διὸς σημαίνει, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hyper. Euxen. p. 8.

battle of Leuctra, the battle of Chaeronea, the destruction of Thebes by Alexander.3 Alexander himself consulted Zeus Ammon not only on his own parentage but as to the sources of the Nile, and an ingenuous author regrets that, instead of seeking information on this purely geographical problem, which divided with Homer's birthplace the curiosity of antiquity, Alexander did not employ his prestige and his opportunities to get the question of the origin of evil set at rest for ever.4 We hear of oracles given to Epaminondas, to the orator Callistratus,6 and to Philip of Macedon.7 To Cicero the god gave advice which that sensitive statesman would have done well to follow,—to take his own character and not the opinion of the multitude as his guide in life.8

Nero, too, consulted the Delphian oracle, which pleased him by telling him to "beware of seventy-three," for he supposed that he was to reign till he reached that year. The god, however, alluded to the age of his successor Galba. Afterwards Nero,—grown to an overweening presumption which could brook no rival worship, and become, as we may say, Antapollo as well as Autichrist,—murdered certain men and cast them into the cleft of Delphi, thus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pans. ix. 14. <sup>2</sup> Plut. Dem. 19. <sup>3</sup> Diod. xvii. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Max. Tyr. Diss. 25. <sup>5</sup> Paus. viii. 11. <sup>6</sup> Lycurg. Leoer. 160.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Diod. xvi. 91.

<sup>8</sup> Plut. Cic. 5.

<sup>9</sup> Suet. Nero, 38.

extinguishing for a time the oracular power.¹ Plutarch, who was a contemporary of Nero's, describes in several essays this lowest point of oracular fortunes. Not Delphi alone, but the great majority of Greek oracles, were at that time hushed, a silence which Plutarch ascribes partly to the tranquillity and depopulation of Greece, partly to a casual deficiency of Demons,—the immanent spirits who give inspiration to the shrines, but who are themselves liable to change of circumstances, or even to death.²

Whatever may have been the cause of this oracular eclipse, it was of no long duration. The oracle of Delphi seems to have been restored in the reign of Trajan; and in Hadrian's days a characteristic story shows that it had again become a centre of distant inquirers. The main preoccupation of that imperial scholar was the determination of Homer's birthplace, and he put the question in person to the Pythian priestess. The question had naturally been asked before, and an old reply, purporting to have been given to Homer himself, had already been engraved on Homer's statue in the sacred precinct.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dio Cass. lxiii. 14. Suetonius and Dio Cassius do not know why Nero destroyed Delphi; but some such view as that given in the text seems the only conceivable one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plut. dc Defect. orac. 11. We may eompare the way in which Heliogabalus put an end to the oracle of the eelestial goddess of the Carthaginians, by insisting on marrying her statue, on the ground that she was the Moon and he was the Sun.—Herodian, v. 6.

But on the inquiry of the sumptuous emperor the priestess changed her tone, described Homer as "an immortal siren," and very handsomely made him out to be the grandson both of Nestor and of Odysseus.¹ It was Hadrian, too, who dropped a laurel-leaf at Antioch into Daphne's stream, and when he drew it out there was writ thereon a promise of his imperial power. He choked up the fountain, that no man might draw from its prophecy such a hope again.² But Hadrian's strangest achievement was to found an oracle himself. The worshippers of Antinous at Antinoe were taught to expect answers from the deified boy: "They imagine," says the seornful Origen, "that there breathes from Antinous a breath divine."

For some time after Hadrian we hear little of Delphi. But, on the other hand, stories of oracles of varied character come to us from all parts of the Roman world. The bull Apis, "trampling the unshowered grass with lowings loud," refused food from the hand of Germanicus, and thus predicted his approaching death. Germanicus, too, drew the same dark presage from the oracle at Colophon of the Clarian Apollo. And few oracular answers have

<sup>·</sup> Anth. Pal. xiv. 102: - άγνωστον μ' έρέεις γενεής και πατρίδος αίης άμβροσίου Σειρήνος, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sozomen, *Hist. Eccl.* v. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Orig. ad. Cels. ap. Wolff, de Noviss. p. 43, where see other citations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Plin. viii. 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Tac. Ann. ii. 54.

been more impressively recounted than that which was given to Vespasian by the god Carmel, upon Carmel, while the Roman's dreams of empire were still hidden in his heart. "Whatsoever it be, Vespasian, that thou preparest now, whether to build a house or to enlarge thy fields, or to get thee servants for thy need, there is given unto thee a mighty home, and far-reaching borders, and a multitude of men."

The same strange mingling of classic and Hebrew memorics, which the name of Carmel in this connection suggests, meets us when we find the god Bel at Apamea,—that same Baal "by whom the prophets prophesied and walked after things that do not profit" in Jeremiah's day,—answering a Roman emperor in words drawn from Homer's song. For it was thus that the struggling Macrinus received the signal of his last and irretrievable defeat: 2—

"Ah, king outworn! young warriors press thee sore, And age is on thee, and thou thyself no more."

In the private oracles, too, of these post-classical times there is sometimes a touch of romance which reminds us how much human emotion there has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tac. Hist. ii. 78. Suetonius, Vesp. 5, speaks of Carmel's oracle, though it seems that the answer was given after a simple extispicium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dio Cass. lxxviii. 40; Hom. *Il.* viii. 103. Capitolinus, in his life of Macrinus (c. 3), shows incidentally that under the Antonines it was eustomary for the Roman proconsul of Africa to consult the oracle of the Dea Caelestis Carthaginiensium.

been in generations which we pass rapidly by; how earnest and great a thing many a man's mission has seemed to him, which to us is merged in the dulness and littleness of a declining age. There is something of this pathos in the Pythia's message to the wandering preacher, "Do as thou now doest, until thou reach the end of the world," and in the dream which came to the weary statesman in Apollo Grannus' shrine, and bade him write at the end of his life's long labour Homer's words—

"But Hector Zeus took forth and bare him far From dust, and dying, and the storm of war."

And in the records of these last centuries of paganism we notice that the established oracles, the orthodox forms of inquiry, are no longer enough to satisfy the eagerness of men. In that upheaval of the human spirit which bore to the surface so much of falsehood and so much of truth,—the religion of Mithra, the religion of Scrapis, the religion of Christ,—questions are asked from whatever source, glimpses are sought through whatsoever in nature has been deemed transparent to the influences of an encompassing Power. It was in this age 3 that at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dio Chrysostom,  $\pi\epsilon\rho l$   $\phi v\gamma\eta s$ , p. 255. This message had, perhaps, a political meaning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dio Cassius, ad fin.; Hom. Il. xi. 163.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The following examples of later oracles are not precisely synchronous. They illustrate the character of a long period, and the date at which we happen to hear of each has depended largely on accident.

Hierapolis the "clear round stone of the onyx kind," which Damascius describes, showed in its mirroring depths letters which changed and came, or sometimes emitted that "thin and thrilling sound,"1 which was interpreted into the message of a slowlyuttering Power. It was in this age that Chosroes drew his divinations from the flickering of an eternal fire.<sup>2</sup> It was in this age that the luminous meteor would fall from the temple of Uranian Venus upon Lebanon into her sacred lake beneath, and declare her presence and promise her consenting grace.3 It was in this age that sealed letters containing numbered questions were sent to the temple of the sun at Hierapolis, and answers were returned in order, while the seals remained still intact.4 It was in this age that the famous oracle which predicted the death of Valens was obtained by certain men who sat round a table and noted letters of the alphabet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Damase. ap. Phot. 348, φωνὴν λεπτοῦ συρίσματοs. See also Paus. vii. 21, and compare Spartian, Did. Jul. 7, where a child sees the images in a mirror applied to the top of his head rendered abnormally sensitive by an unexplained process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Procop. Bell. Pers. ii. 24. The practice of divining from sacrificial flame or smoke was of course an old one, though rarely connected with any regular scat of oracle. Cf. Herod. viii. 134. The  $\pi\nu\rho\epsilon\hat{i}$ ον in the  $\chi\omega\rho\hat{i}$ ον 'Αδιαρβιγάνων, which Chosroes consulted, was a fire worshipped in itself, and sought for oracular purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Zosimus, Ann. i. 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Macrob. Sat. i. 23. Fontenello's criticism (Histoire des Oracles) on the answer given to Trajan is worth reading along with the passage of Macrobius as an example of Voltairian mockery, equally incisive and unjust. Cf. Amm. Marcell. xiv. 7 for a variety of this form of response.

which were spelt out for them by some automatic agency, after a fashion which, from the description of Ammianus we cannot precisely determine.1 This oracle, construed into a menace against a Christian Emperor, gave rise to a persecution of paganism of so severe a character that, inasmuch as philosophers were believed especially to affect the forbidden practice, the very repute or aspect of a philosopher, as Sozomen tells us,2 was enough to bring a man under the notice of the police. This theological rancour will the less surprise us, if we believe with some modern criticism that St. Paul himself, under the pseudonym of Simon Magus, had not escaped the charge, at the hands of a polemical Father, of causing the furniture of his house to move without contact, in obedience to his unholy will.3

Finally, to conclude this strange list with an example which may by many minds be considered as typical of the rest, it was in this age that, at the Nymphaeum at Apollonia in Epirus, an Ignis Fatuus<sup>4</sup> gave by its waving approach and recession the re-

- <sup>1</sup> Amm. Marcell. xxix. 2, and xxxi. 1.
- <sup>2</sup> Sozomen, vi. 35.
- <sup>3</sup> Pseudo-Clemeus, Homil. ii. 32. 638, τὰ ἐν οlκία σκεύη ὡς αὐτόματα φερόμενα πρὸς ὑπηρεσίαν βλέπεσθαι ποιεί. Cf. Renan, Les Apôtres, p. 153, note, etc.
- <sup>4</sup> Thero can, I think, be little doubt that such was the true character of the flame which Dio Cassius (xli. 45) describes: πρὸς δὲ τὰς ἐπιχύσεις τῶν ὅμβρων ἐπαύξει καὶ ἐς ὕψος ἐξαίρεται, etc. Maury's explanation (ii. 446) is slightly different. The fluctuations of the flame on Etna (Pans. iii. 23) were an instance of a common volcanic phenomenon.

sponses which a credulous people sought,—except that this Will-o'-the-Wisp, with unexpected diffidence, refused to answer questions which had to do with marriage or with death.

Further examples are not needed to prove what the express statement of Tertullian and others testifies,<sup>1</sup> that the world was still "crowded with oracles" in the first centuries of our era. We must now retrace our steps and inquire with what eyes the post-Socratic philosophers <sup>2</sup> regarded a phenomenon so opposed to ordinary notions of enlightenment or progress.

Plato's theory of inspiration is too vast and farreaching for discussion here. It must be enough to say that, although oracles seemed to him to constitute but a small part of the revelation offered by God to man, he yet maintained to the full their utility, and appeared to assume their truth. In his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tertullian, de Anima, 46: Nam et oraculis hoc genus stipatus est orbis, etc. Cf. Plin. Hist. Nat. viii. 29: Nec non et hodie multifariam ab oraculis medicina petitur. Pliny's oracular remedy for hydrophobia (viii. 42) is not now pharmacopecal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a good account of pre-Socratic views on this topic, see Bonché-Leclerq, i. 29. But the fragments of the early sages tantalise even more than they instruct. A genuine page of Pythagoras would here be beyond price. But it is the singular fate of the original *Ipse* of our *Ipse Dixit* that while the fact of his having said anything is proverbially conclusive as to its truth we have no trustworthy means of knowing what he really did say. Later ages depict him as the representative of continuous inward inspiration,—as a spirit linked with the Past, the Future, the Unscen, by a vision which is presence and a commerce which is identity.

ideal polity the oracles of the Delphian god were to possess as high an authority, and to be as frequently consulted, as in conservative Lacedæmon, and the express decision of heaven was to be invoked in matters of practical<sup>1</sup> as well as of ceremonial<sup>2</sup> import.

Aristotle, who possessed, — and no man had a better right to it, — a religion all his own, and to which he never converted anybody, delivered himself on the subject of oracular dreams with all his sagacious ambiguity. "It is neither easy," he said, "to despise such things, nor yet to believe them."

The schools of philosophy which were dominant in Greece after the death of Aristotle occupied themselves only in a secondary way with the question of oracles. The Stoics and Academics were disposed to uphold their validity on conservative principles, utilising them as the most moral part of the old creed, the point from which its junction with philosophy was most easily made. Cicero's treatise on divination contains a summary of the conservative view, and it is to be remarked that Cratippus and other Peripatetics disavowed the grosser forms of divination, and believed only in dreams and in the utterances of inspired frenzy.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Leges, vi. 914. <sup>2</sup> Leges, v. 428; Epinomis, 362.

<sup>3</sup> Ar. Div. per Som. i. l. He goes on to suggest that dreams, though not θεόπεμπτα, may be δαιμόνια. Elsewhere he hints that the soul may draw her knowledge of the future from her own true nature, which she resumes in sleep. See reff. ap. Bouché-Leelercq, i. 55.
4 See Cic. de Div. i. 3.

Epicureans and Cynics, on the other hand, felt no such need of maintaining connection with the ancient orthodoxy, and allowed free play to their wit in dealing with the oracular tradition, or even considered it as a duty to disembarrass mankind of this among other superstitions. The sceptic Lucian is perhaps of too purely mocking a temper to allow us to ascribe to him much earnestness of purpose in the amusing burlesques 1 in which he depicts the difficulty which Apollo feels in composing his official hexameters, or his annoyance at being obliged to hurry to his post of inspiration whenever the priestess chooses "to chew the bay-leaf and drink of the sacred spring." 2

The indignation of Oenomaus, a cynic of Hadrian's age, is of a more genuine character, and there

1 Jupiter Tragoedus; Bis Accusatus, etc. I need not remind the reader that such scoffing treatment of oracles does not now appear for the first time. The parodies in Aristophanes hit off the pompous oracular obscurity as happily as Lucian's. A recent German writer, on the other hand (Hoffmann, Orakelwesen), maintains, by precept and example, that no style can be more appropriate to serious topics.

<sup>2</sup> Bis Accusatus, 2. I may remark that although narcotics are often used to produce abnormal utterance (Lane's Egyptians, ii. 33; Maury, ii. 479), this mastication of a laurel-leaf or bay-leaf cannot be considered as more than a symbolical survival of such a practice. See, however, Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Rescarch, vol. iv. p. 152, note, for a most remarkable effect of laurel-water on a hysterical subject. The drinking of water (Iambl. Myst. Aeg. 72; Anacreon xiii.), or even of blood (Paus. ii. 24), would be equally inoperative for occult purposes; and though Pliny says that the water in Apollo's cave at Colophon shortened the drinker's life (Hist. Nat. ii. 106), it is difficult to imagine what natural salt could produce hallucination.

is much sarcastic humour in his account of his own visit to the oracle of Apollo at Colophon; how the first response which he obtained might have been taken at random from a book of elegant extracts, and had also, to his great disgust, been delivered in the self-same words to a commercial traveller immediately before him; how, to his second question, "Who will teach me wisdom?" the god returned an answer of almost meaningless imbecility; and how, when he finally asked, "Where shall I go now?" the god told him "to draw a long bow and knock over untold green-feeding ganders." "And who in the world," exclaims the indignant philosopher, "will inform me what these untold ganders may mean?"

Anecdotes like this may seem to warn us that our subject is drawing to a close. And to students of these declining schools of Greek philosophy, it may well appear that the Greek spirit had burnt itself out; that all creeds and all speculations were being enfeebled into an eclecticism or a scepticism, both of them equally shallow and unreal. But this was not to be. It was destined that every seed which the great age of Greece had planted should germinate and grow; and a school was now to arise which should take hold, as it were, of the universe by a forgotten clew, and should give fuller

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eus. Pr. Ev. v. 23—

έκ τανυστρόφοιο λᾶας σφενδόνης lels ἀνὴρ χῆνας ἐναρίζειν βολαῖσιν, ἀσπέτους, ποιηβόρους.

meaning and wider acceptance to some of the most remarkable, though hitherto least noticed, utterances of earlier men. We must go back as far as Hesiod to understand the Neoplatonists.

For it is in Hesiod's celebrated story of the Ages of the World that we find the first Greek conception, obscure though its details be, -of a hierarchy of spiritual beings who fill the unseen world, and can discern and influence our own. The souls of heroes, he says, become happy spirits who dwell aloof from our sorrow; the souls of men of the golden age become good and guardian spirits, who flit over the earth and watch the just and unjust deeds of men; and the souls of men of the silver age become an inferior class of spirits, themselves mortal, yet deserving honour from mankind.2 The same strain of thought appears in Thales, who defines demons as spiritual existences, heroes, as the souls of men separated from the body.3 Pythagoras held much the same view, and, as we shall see below, believed that in a certain sense these spirits were occasionally to be seen or felt.4 Heraclitus held "that all things were full of souls and spirits," 5 and

<sup>1</sup> Hes. Opp. 109, sqq.

<sup>2</sup> It is uncertain where Hesiod places the abode of this class of spirits; the MSS. read ἐπιχθόνιοι, Gaisford (with Tzetzes) and Wolff, de Daemonibus, ὑποχθόνιοι.

<sup>3</sup> Athenag. Legat. pro Christo, 21; ef. Plut. de Plac. Phil. i. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Porph. vit. Pyth. 384; reff. ap. Wolff. For obsession, see Pseudo-Zaleueus, ap. Stob. Flor. xliv. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Diog. Laert. ix. 6.

Empedocles has described in lines of startling power<sup>1</sup> the wanderings through the universe of a lost and homeless soul. Lastly, Plato, in the *Epinomis*,<sup>2</sup> brings these theories into direct connection with our subject by asserting that some of these spirits can read the minds of living men, and are still liable to be grieved by our wrong-doing,<sup>3</sup> while many of them appear to us in sleep by visions, and are made known by voices and oracles, in our health or sickness, and are about us at our dying hour. Some are even visible occasionally in waking reality, and then again disappear, and cause perplexity by their obscure self-manifestation.<sup>4</sup>

Opinions like these, existing in a corner of the vast structure of Platonic thought, passed, as it seems, for centuries with little notice. Almost as unnoticed was the gradual development of the creed known as Orphic, which seems to have begun with making itself master of the ancient mysteries, and

<sup>1</sup> Plut. de Iside, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I believe, with Grote, etc., that the *Epinomis* is Plato's; at any rate it was generally accepted as such in antiquity, which is enough for the present purpose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Epinomis, 361. μετέχοντα δὲ φρονήσεως θαυμαστῆς, ἄτε γένους ὅντα εὐμαθοῦς τε καὶ μνήμονος, γιγνώσκειν μὲν ξύμπασαν τὴν ἡμετέραν αὐτὰ διάνοιαν λέγωμεν, καὶ τόν τε καλὸν ἡμῶν καὶ ἀγαθὸν ἄμα θαυμαστῶς ἀσπάζεσθαι καὶ τὸν σφόδρα κακὸν μισεῖν, ἄπε λύπης μετέχοντα ήδη, κ.τ.λ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> καὶ τοῦτ' εἶναι τότε μὲν ὁρώμενον ἄλλοτε δὲ ἀποκρυφθὲν ἄδηλον γιγνόμενον, θαῦμα κατ' ἀμυδρὰν ὅψιν παρεχόμενον. The precise meaning of ἀμυδρὰ ὅψιν is not elear without further knowledge of the phenomena which Plato had in his mind. Comp. the ἀλαμπῆ καὶ ἀμυδρὰν ζωὴν, ιόσπερ ἀναθυμίασιν, which is all that reincarnated demons can look for (Plut. de Defect. 10).

only slowly spread through the profane world its doctrine that this life is a purgation, that this body is a sepulchre, and that the Divinity, who surrounds us like an ocean, is the hope and home of the soul. But a time came when, under the impulse of a great religious movement, these currents of belief, which had so long run underground, broke into sight again in an unlooked-for direction. These tenets, and many more, were dwelt upon and expanded with new conviction by that remarkable series of men who furnish to the history of Greek thought so singular a concluding chapter. And no part, perhaps, of the Neoplatonic system shows more clearly than their treatment of oracles how profound a change the Greek religion has undergone beneath all its apparent continuity. It so happens that the Neoplatonic philosopher who has written most on our present subject, was also a man whose spiritual history affords a striking, perhaps unique, epitome of the several stages through which the faith of Greece had up to that time passed. A Syrian of noble descent, 2 powerful intelligence, and

<sup>1</sup> See, for instance, Plato, Crat. 264. δοκοῦσι μέντοι μοι μάλιστα θέσθαι οἱ ἀμφὶ 'Ορφέα τοῦτο ὅνομα (σῶμα quasi σῆμα) ὡς δίκην διδούσης τῆς ψυχῆς ὧν δὴ ἕνεκα δίδωσι, κ.τ.λ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. Wolff, *Porph. de Phil.* etc., has collected a mass of authorities on Porphyry's life, and has ably discussed the sequence of his writings. But beyond this tract I have found hardly anything written on this part of my subject,—on which I have dwelt the more fully, inasmuch as it seems hitherto to have attracted so little attention from scholars.

upright character, Porphyry brought to the study of the Greek religion little that was distinctively Semitic, unless we so term the ardour of his religious impulses, and his profound conviction that the one thing needful for man lay in the truest knowledge attainable as to his relation to the divine. Educated by Longinus, the last representative of expiring classicism, the Syrian youth absorbed all, and probably more than all, his master's faith. Homer became to him what the Bible was to Luther; and he spent some years in producing the most perfect edition of the Iliad and Odyssey which had yet appeared, in order that no fragment of the inspired text might fail to render its full meaning. But, as it seems, in the performance of this task his faith received the same shock which had been fatal to the early piety of Greece. The behaviour of the gods in Homer was too bad to be condoned. He discerned, what is probably the truth, that there must be some explanation of these enormities which is not visible on the surface, and that nothing short of some profound mistake could claim acceptance for such legends as those of Zeus and Kronos, of Kronos and Uranus, amid so much else that is majestic and pure. Many philologists would answer

<sup>1</sup> The impossibility of extracting **a** spiritual religion from Homer is characteristically expressed by Proclus (ad. Tim. 20), who calls Homer ἀπάθειάν τε νοερὰν καὶ ζωὴν φιλόσοφον οὐχ οἶός τε παραδοῦναι.

now that the mistake, the disease of language, lay in the expression in terms of human appetite and passion of the impersonal sequences of the great phenomena of Nature; that the most monstrous tales of mythology mean nothing worse or more surprising than that day follows night, and night again succeeds to day. To Porphyry such explanations were of course impossible. In default of Sanskrit he betook himself to allegory. The truth which must be somewhere in Homer, but which plainly was not in the natural sense of the words, must therefore be discoverable in a non-natural sense. The cave of the nymphs, for instance, which Homer describes as in Ithaca, is not in Ithaca. Homer must, therefore, have meant by the cave something quite other than a cave; must have meant, in fact, to signify by its inside the temporary, by its outside the eternal world. But this stage in Porphyry's development was not of long duration. As his conscience had revolted from Homer taken literally, so his intelligence revolted from such a fashion of interpretation as this. But yet he was not prepared to abandon the Greek religion. That religion, he thought, must possess some authority, some sacred book, some standard of faith, capable of being brought into harmony with the philosophy which, equally with the religion itself, was the tradition and inheritance of the race. And such a rule of faith, if to be found anywhere, must be found in the direct communications of the gods to men. Scattered and fragmentary though these were, it must be possible to extract from them a consistent system. This is what he endeavoured to do in his work, On the Philosophy to be drawn from Oracles, a book of which large fragments remain to us imbedded in Eusebius' treatise On the Preparation for the Gospel.

Perhaps the best guarantee of the good faith in which Porphyry undertook this task lies in the fact that he afterwards recognised that he had been unsuccessful. He acknowledged, in terms on which his antagonist Eusebius has gladly seized, that the mystery as to the authors of the responses was too profound, the responses themselves were too unsatisfactory, to admit of the construction from them of a definite and lofty faith. Yet there is one point on which, though his inferences undergo much modification, his testimony remains practically the same.<sup>2</sup> This testimony, based, as he implies and his biographers assert, on personal experience, is mainly concerned with the phenomena of possession or inspiration by an unseen power. These phenomena,

<sup>1</sup> ώς ἃν ἐκ μόνου βεβαίου τὰς ἐλπίδας τοῦ σωθῆναι ἀρυόμενος (Eus.  $Pr.\ Ev.\ iv.\ 6$ ) is the strong expression which Porphyry gives to his sense of the importance of this inquiry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is one sentence in the epistle to Anebo which would suggest a contrary view, but the later *De Abstinentia*, etc., seem to me to justify the statement in the text.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See, for instance, Eus. Pr. Ev. iv. 6: μάλιστα γὰρ φιλοσόφων οὖτος τῶν καθ' ἡμῶς δοκεῖ καὶ δαίμοσι καὶ οῖς φησι θεοῖς ὡμιληκέναι.

so deeply involved in the conception of oracles, and which we must now discuss, are familiar to the ethnologist in almost every region of the globe. The savage, readily investing any unusual or striking object in nature with a spirit of its own, is likely to suppose further that a spirit's temporary presence may be the cause of any unusual act or condition of a human being. Even so slight an abnormality as the act of sneezing has generally been held to indicate the operation or the invasion of a god. And when we come to graver departures from ordinary well-being-nightmare, consumption, epilepsy, or madness—the notion that a diseasespirit has entered the sufferer becomes more and more obvious. Ravings which possess no applicability to surrounding facts are naturally held to be the utterances of some remote intelligence. Such ravings, when they have once become an object of reverence, may be artificially reproduced by drugs or other stimuli, and we may thus arrive at the belief in inspiration by an easy road.1

There are traces in Greece of something of this reverence for disease, but they are faint and few; and the Greek ideal of soundness in mind and body, the Greek reverence for beauty and strength, seem to have characterised the race from a very early

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On this subject see *Prim. Cult.* ehap. xiv.; Lubbock, *Origin of Civilisation*, pp. 252-5, etc. The Homerie phrase  $\sigma \tau \nu \gamma \epsilon \rho \delta s$  δέ οι ἔχραε δαίμων (*Od.* v. 396) seems to be the Greek expression which eomes nearest to the doctrine of disease-spirits.

period. It is possible indeed that the first tradition of

> "Blind Thamyris and blind Mæonides, And Teiresias and Phineus, prophets old,"

may have represented a primitive idea that the "celestial light shone inward" when the orbs of vision were darkened. But the legends which have reached us scarcely connect Homer's blindness with his song, and ascribe the three prophets' loss of sight to their own vanity or imprudence. nymph-possession, which, in spite of Pausanias' statement, is perhaps an older phenomenon than Apolline possession, we find delirium honoured, but it is a delirium proceeding rather from the inhalation of noxious vapours than from actual disease.1 And in the choice of the Pythian priestess-while we find that care is taken that no complication shall be introduced into the process of oracular inquiry by her youth or good looks,2—there is little evidence to show that any preference was given to epileptics.<sup>3</sup> Still less can we trace any such reason

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Seo Maury, ii. 475. Nymph-oracles were especially common in Bootia, where there were many eaves and springs.—Paus. ix. 2, etc. The passage from Hippocrates, *De Morbo Sacro*, cited by Maury, ii. 470, is interesting from its precise parallelism with savage beliefs, but cannot be pressed as an authority for primitive tradition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Diod. Sic. xvi. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Maury (ii. 514) eites Plut. de Defect. orac. 46, and Schol. Ar. Plut. 39, in defence of the view that a hysterical subject was chosen as Pythia. But Plutarch expressly says (de Defect. 50) that it was necessary that the Pythia should be free from perturbation when

of choice in other oracular sanctuaries. We find here, in fact, the same uncertainty which hangs over the principle of selection of the god's mouthpiece in other shahmanistic countries, where the medicineman or angekok is sometimes described as haggard and nervous, sometimes as in no way distinguishable from his less gifted neighbours.

Nor, on the other hand, do we find in Greece much trace of that other kind of possession of which the Hebrew prophets are our great example, where a peculiar loftiness of mind and character seem to point the prophet out as a fitting exponent of the will of heaven, and a sudden impulse gives vent in words, almost unconscious, to thoughts which seem no less than divine, The majestic picture of Amphiaraus in the Seven against Thebes, the tragic personality of Cassandra in the Agamemnon, are the nearest parallels which Greece offers to an Elijah or a Jeremiah. These, however, are mythi-

called on to prophesy, and the Scholion on Aristophanes is equally indecent and unphysiological. Moreover, Plutarch speaks of the custom of pouring cold water over the priestess in order to ascertain by her healthy way of shuddering that she was sound in body and mind. This same test was applied to goats, etc., when about to be sacrificed. There is no doubt evidence (cf. Maury, ii. 461) that the faculty of divination was supposed to be hereditary in certain families (perhaps even in certain localities, Herod. i. 78), but I cannot find that members of such families were sought for as priests in oracular seats.

<sup>1</sup> The exclamation of Helen (Od. xv. 172) κλῦτέ μευ, αὐτὰρ ἐγὼ μαντεύσομαι, ὡς ἐνὶ θυμῷ ἀθάνατοι βάλλουσι καὶ ὡς τελέεσθαι ὅτω—

cal eharaeters; and so little was the gift of prophecy associated with moral greatness in later days, that while Plato attributes it to the action of the divinity, Aristotle feels at liberty to refer it to bile.<sup>1</sup>

It were much to be wished that some systematic discussion of the subject had reached us from classical times. But none seems to have been composed, at any rate none has eome down to us, till Plutarch's inquiry as to the causes of the general cessation of oracles in his age.<sup>2</sup> Plutareh's temper is conservative and orthodox, but we find, nevertheless, that he has begun to doubt whether Apollo is in every ease the inspiring spirit. On the contrary, he thinks that sometimes this is plainly not the case, as in one instance where the Pythia, forced to prophesy while under the possession of a dumb and evil spirit, went into convulsions and soon afterwards died. And he recurs to a doctrine, rendered orthodox, as we have already seen, by its appearance in Hesiod, but little dwelt on in classical times, a doetrine which peoples the invisible world with a hierarehy of spirits of differing character and power. These spirits, he believes, give oracles, whose cha-

is as it were a naïve introduction to the art of prophecy. Menclaus, when appealed to as to the meaning of the portent observed, is perplexed: the more confident Helen volunteers an explanation, and impassioned rhetoric melts into inspired prediction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plat. Ion. 5.—Ar. Probl. xxx.—I cannot dwell here on Plat. Phaedr. 153, and similar passages, which suggest a theory of inspiration which would carry us far beyond the present topic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plut. de Defect. orac.; de Pyth.; de EI apud Delphos.

racter therefore varies with the character and condition of the inspiring spirit; and of this it is hard to judge except inferentially, since spirits are apt to assume the names of gods on whom they in some way depend, though they may by no means resemble them in character or power. Nay, spirits are not necessarily immortal, and the death of a resident spirit may have the effect of closing an oracular shrine. The death of Pan himself was announced by a flying voice to Thamus, a sailor, "about the isles Echinades;" he was told to tell it at Palodes, and when the ship reached Palodes there was a dead calm. He cried out that Pan was dead, and there was a wailing in all the air.

In Plutarch, too, we perceive a growing disposition to dwell on a class of manifestations of which we have heard little since Homer's time,—evocations of the visible spirits of the dead.<sup>2</sup> Certain places, it seems, were consecrated by immemorial belief to this solemn ceremony. At Cumae,<sup>3</sup> at Phigalea,<sup>4</sup> at Heraclea,<sup>5</sup> on the river Acheron, by the lake Avcr-

G

VOL. I.

<sup>1</sup> This quasi-human character of Pan (Herod. ii. 146; Pind. Fr. 68; Hyg. Fab. 224), coupled with the indefinite majesty which his name suggested, seems to have been very impressive to the later Greeks. An oracle quoted by Porphyry (ap. Eus. Pr. Ev.) εὔχομαι βροτὸς γεγώς Πανὶ σύμφυτος ਖεξῶ κ.τ.λ., is curiously parallel to some Christian hymns in its triumphant sense of human kinship with the divinity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quacst. Rom.; de Defect. Orac.; de Ser. Num. Vind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Diod. Sic. iv. 22; Ephor. ap. Strab. v. 244.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Paus. iii. 17. <sup>5</sup> Plut. Cim. 6.

nus, men strove to recall for a moment the souls who had passed away, sometimes, as Periander sought Melissa, in need of the accustomed wifely counsel; sometimes, as Pausanias sought Cleonice, goaded by passionate remorse; or sometimes with no care to question, with no need to confess or to be forgiven, but as, in one form of the legend, Orpheus sought Eurydice, travelling to the Thesprotian Aornus, in the hope that her spirit would rise and look on him once again, and waiting for one who came not, and dying in a vain appeal.

But on such stories as these Plutarch will not dogmatically judge; he remarks only, and the remark was more novel then than now, that we know as yet no limit to the communications of soul with soul.

This transitional position of Plutarch may prepare us for the still wider divergence from ancient orthodoxy which we find in Porphyry. Porphyry is indeed anxious to claim for oracular utterances as high an authority as possible; and he continues to ascribe many of them to Apollo himself. But he no longer restricts the phenomena of possession and inspiration within the traditional limits as regards either their time, their place, or their author. He maintains that these phenomena may be reproduced

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Liv. xxiv. 12, etc. The origin of this νεκυομαντείον was probably Greek. See reff. ap. Maury, ii. 467.

<sup>Diod. iv. 22; Herod. v. 92, gives a rather different story.
Plut. Cim. 6. Paus. iii. 17.
Paus. ix. 30.</sup> 

according to certain rules at almost any place and time, and that the spirits who cause them are of very multifarious character. I shall give his view at some length, as it forms by far the most careful inquiry into the nature of Greek oracles which has come down to us from an age in which they existed still; and it happens also that while the grace of Plutarch's style has made his essays on the same subject familiar to all, the post-classical date and style of Porphyry and Eusebius have prevented their more serious treatises from attracting much attention from English scholars.

According to Porphyry, then, the oracular or communicating demon or spirit,—we must adopt spirit as the word of wider meaning,—manifests himself in several ways. Sometimes he speaks through the mouth of the entranced "recipient," sometimes he shows himself in an immaterial, or even in a material form, apparently according to his own rank in the invisible world. The recipient

<sup>1</sup> δοχεὐs, from δέχομαι, is the word generally used for the human intermediary between the god or spirit and the inquirers. See Lob. Agl. p. 108, on the corresponding word καταβολικός for the spirit who is thus received for a time into a human being's organism. Cf. also Firmicus Maternus De errore prof. relig. 13: "Serapis vocatus et intra corpus hominis conlatus talia respondit;" and the phrase ἐγκατοχήσας τῷ Σαράπιδι (Inscr. Smyrn. 3163, ap. Wolff, de Nov.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Porphyry calls these inferior spirits δαιμόνια ὑλικά, and Proclus (ad Tim. 142) defines the distinction thus:  $\tau$ ων δαιμόνων οἱ μὲν ἐν τŷ συστάσει πλέον τὸ πύριον ἔχοντες ὁρατοὶ ὅντες οὐδὲν ἔχουσιν ἀντιτύπως, οἱ δὲ καὶ γῆς μετειληφότες ὑποπίπτουσι τŷ ἀφŷ. It is only the spirits

falls into a state of trance, mixed sometimes with exhausting agitation or struggle,1 as in the case of the Pythia. And the importance attached to a right choice of time and circumstances for the induction of this trance reminds us of Plutarch's story, already mentioned, of the death of a Pythian priestess compelled to prophesy when possessed by an evil spirit. Another inconvenience in choosing a wrong time seems to have been that false answers were then given by the spirit, who, however, would warn the auditors that he could not give information,2 or even that he would certainly tell falsehoods,3 on that particular occasion. Porphyry attributes this occasional falsity to some defect in the surrounding conditions,4 which confuses the spirit, and prevents him from speaking truly. For on descending into our atmosphere the spirits become subject to the laws and influences which rule mankind, and

who partake of earthly nature who are capable of being touched. These spirits may be of a rank inferior to mankind; Proclus, ad Tim. 24, calls them ψυχὰς ἀποτύχουσας μὲν τοῦ ἀνθρωπικοῦ νοῦ, πρὸς δὲ τὰ ζῷα ἐχούσας διάθεσιν.

<sup>1</sup> οὐ φέρει με τοῦ δοχῆος ἡ τάλαινα καρδία (Procl. ad Rempublicam, 380) is the exclamation of a spirit whose recipient can no longer sustain his presence.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Eus. Pr. Ev. vi. 5, σήμερον οὐκ ἐπέοικε λέγειν ἄστρων ὁδὸν ἰρήν.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. κλεῖε βίην κάρτος τε λόγων ψευδήγορα λέξω: "Try no longer to cuchain me with your words; I shall tell you falsehoods."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>  $\dot{\eta}$  καταστάσις τοῦ περιέχοντος. Eus. Pr. Ev. iv. 5, καὶ τὸ περιέχον ἀναγκάζον ψευδῆ γίνεσθαι τὰ μαντεῖα, οὐ τοὺς παρόντας έκδντας προστιθέναι τὸ ψεῦδος. . . . πέφηνεν ἄρα, adds Porphyry with satisfaction, πόθεν πολλάκις τὸ ψεῦδος συνίσταται.

are not therefore entirely free agents.<sup>1</sup> When a confusion of this kind occurs, the prudent inquirer should defer his researches,—a rule with which inexperienced investigators fail to comply.<sup>2</sup>

Let us suppose, however, that a favourable day has been secured, and also, not less important, a "guileless intermediary." Some confined space would then be selected for the expected manifestations, "so that the influence should not be too widely diffused." This place seems sometimes to have been made dark, — a circumstance which has not escaped the satire of the Christian controversialist, whose derision is still further excited by the "barbarous yells and singing" with which the unseen visitant was allured,—a characteristic, it may be noticed in passing, of shahmanistic practices, whereever they have been found to prevail. During these proceedings the human agent appears to have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Porph. ap. Philoponum, de Mundi Creat. iv. 20, with the comments of Philoponus, whose main objection to these theories lies in their interference with the freedom of the will.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Pr. Ev. vi. 5, οἱ δὲ μένουσι καὶ λέγειν ἀναγκάζουσι διὰ τὴν ἀμαθίαν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid. v. 8, κάππεσεν άμφι κάρηνον άμωμήτοιο δοχήος.

<sup>4</sup> καὶ ἄμα ἀποστηρίζοντες αὐτὸ ἐνταῦθα ἔν τινι στερέφ χωρίφ ὤστε μὴ ἐπιπολύ διαχεῖσθαι, Iamb. de Myst. iii. 14. The maxims of Iamblichus in these matters are in complete conformity with those of Porphyry.

<sup>5</sup> Eus. Pr. Ev. iv. 1, καὶ τὸ σκότος δὲ οὐ μικρὰ συνεργεῖν τη καθ' ἐαυτοὺς ὑποθέσει.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. v. 12, ἀσήμοις τε καὶ βαρβάροις ήχοις τε καὶ φωναῖς κηλουμένοι.

fallen into an abnormal slumber, which extinguished for the time his own identity, and allowed the spirit to speak through his lips,—"to contrive a voice for himself through a mortal instrument." In such speeches, of which several are preserved to us, the informing spirit alludes to the human being through whom he is speaking in the third person, as "the mortal" or "the recipient;" of himself he speaks in the first person, or occasionally in the third person, as "the god" or "the king."<sup>2</sup>

The controlling spirits do not, however, always content themselves with this vicarious utterance. They appear sometimes, as already indicated, in visible and tangible form. Of this phase of the proceedings, however, Eusebius has preserved to us but scanty notices. His mind is preoccupied with the presumption and bizarrerie of the spirits, who sometimes profess themselves to be (for instance) the sun and moon; sometimes insist on being called by barbarous names, and talking a barbarous jargon. The precise nature of such appearances had been, it seems, in dispute since the days of Pythagoras, who conjectured that the apparition was an emanation from the spirit, but not, strictly speaking, the spirit itself.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. v. 8 αὐλοῦ δ' έκ βροτέοιο φίλην ἐτεκνώσατο φωνήν.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  φῶς, βροτός, δοχεύς. Pr.~Ev.~v.~9, λύετε λοιπὸν ἄνακτα, βροτὸς θεὸν οὐκέτι χωρεῖ.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Pr. Ev. v. 10 (quoting Porph. ad Aneb.), τι δὲ καὶ τὰ ἄσημα βούλεται δυόματα καὶ τῶν ἀσήμων τὰ βάρβαρα πρὸ τῶν ἐκάστω οἰκείων, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pythag. ap. Aen. Gaz. ap. Theophr. p. 61, Boisson. πότερον

In the Neoplatonic view, these spirits entered by a process of "introduction" into a material body temporarily prepared for them; or sometimes it was said that "the pure flame was compressed into a sacred Form." Those spirits who had already been accustomed to appear were best instructed as to how to appear again; but some of them were inclined to mischief, especially if the persons present showed a careless temper.

θεοὶ ἢ δαίμονες ἢ τούτων ἀπόρροιαι, καὶ πότερον δαίμων εἶς ἄλλος εἶναι δοκῶν ἢ πολλοὶ καὶ σφῶν αὐτῶν διαφέροντες, οὶ μὲν ἤμεροι, οἱ δ' ἄγριοι, καὶ οὶ μὲν ένίοτε τὰληθῆ λέγοντες οἱ δ' ὅλως κίβδηλοι . . . . τέλος προΐεται δαίμονος ἀπόρροιαν εἶναι τὸ φάσμα.

1 εἴσκρισις. See Lob. Agl. p. 730.

<sup>2</sup> Pr. Ev. v. 8:— leροῖσι τύποις

συνθλιβομένου πυρός άγνοῦ.

I may just notice here the connection between this idea of the entrance of a spirit into a quasi-human form built up for the oceasion, and that recrudescence of idol-worship which marks one phase of Neoplatonism. In an age when such primitive practices as "earrying the dried corpse of a parent round the fields that he might see the state of the crops" (Spencer's Sociology, § 154), were no longer possible, this new method of giving temporary materiality to disembodied intelligences suggested afresh that it might be practicable so to prepare an image as that a spirit would be content to live there permanently. An oracle in Pausanias (ix. 38) euriously illustrates this view of statues. The land of the Orehomenians was infested by a spirit which sat on a stone. The Pythia ordered them to make a brazen image of the spectre and fasten it with iron to the stone. The spirit would still be there, but he would now be permanently fixed down, and, being enclosed in a statue, he would no longer form an obnoxious spectacle.

<sup>3</sup> Pr. Ev. v. 8, ἔθος ποιησάμενοι τῆς ἐαυτῶν παρουσίας εὐμαθέστερον φοιτῶσι καὶ μάλιστα ἐὰν καὶ φύσει ἀγαθοὶ τυγχάνωσιν, οὶ δὲ, κὰν ἔθος ἔχωσι τοῦ παραγίνεσθαι, βλάβην τινὰ προθυμοῦνται ποιεῖν, καὶ μάλιστα ἐὰν ἀμελέστερὸν τις δοκῷ ἀναστρέφεσθαι ἐν τοῖς πράγμασι. This notion

After a time the spirit becomes anxious to depart; but is not always able to quit the intermediary as promptly as it desires. We possess several oracles uttered under these circumstances, and giving directions which we can but imperfectly understand. It appears that the recipient, for what reason we are left to conjecture, was in some way bound with withes and enveloped in fine linen, which had to be cut and unwrapped at the end of the ceremony.1 The human agent had then to be set on his feet and taken from the corner where he had been outstretched, and a singular collaboration seems to have taken place, the spirit giving his orders to the bystanders by a voice issuing from the recipient's still senseless form.2 At last the spirit departs, and the recipient is set free.

Eusebius, in a passage marked by strong common sense,3 has pointed out some obvious objections to oracles obtained in this fashion. Some of these so-

of a congruity between the inquirer and the responding spirit is euriously illustrated by a story of Caracalla (Dio Cass. lxxvii.), who έψυχαγώγησε μὲν ἄλλας τέ τινας καὶ τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ τε Κομμόδου ψυχήν εἶπε δ' οὖν οὐδεὶς αὐτῷ οὐδὲν, πλην τοῦ Κομμόδου. "Εφη γὰρ ταθτα· βαίνε δίκης ἄσσον, θεοί ήν αίτοθσι Σεβήρφ. No ghost would address Caracalla except the ghost of Commodus, who spoke to denounce to him his doom.

And again, when the bystanders delay the release, the spirit σίνδονος άμπέτασον νεφέλην, λῦσόν τε δοχῆα.

<sup>1</sup> Pr. Ev. v. 8:—παύεο δη περίφρων δάρων, ἀνάπαυε δὲ φῶτα, θάμνων έκλύων πολιον τύπον, ήδ' άπο γυίων Νειλαίην έθουην χερσίν στιβαρώς ἀπάειρας.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Pr.~Ev. v. 8;  $-\dot{v}\psi$ ίπρωρον αξρε ταρσόν, ζοχε βάξιν ἐκ μυχῶν. Λης again, άρατε φωτα γαίηθεν αναστήσαντες έταιροι, etc. 3 Pr. Ev. iv. 2.

called "recipients," it appears, had been put to the torture and had made damaging confessions. Further penalties had induced them to explain how their fraud was carried out. The darkness and seereey of the proceedings were in any case suspicious; and the futility of many of the answers obtained, or their evident adaptation to the wishes of the inquirers, pointed too plainly to their human origin. The actual method of producing eertain phenomena has exercised the ingenuity of other Fathers. Thus figures could be shown in a bowl of water by using a moveable bottom, or lights could be made to fly about in a dark room by releasing a vulture with flaming tow tied to its claws.

But in spite of these contemptuous criticisms the Christian Fathers, as is well known, were disposed to believe in the genuineness of some at least of these communications, and showed much anxiety to induce the oracles, which often admitted the greatness and wisdom, to acknowledge also the divinity, of Christ.<sup>2</sup>

Eusebius himself, in another work,<sup>3</sup> adduces a letter of Constantine's describing an oracle said to have been uttered directly by Apollo "from a eertain dark hole," in which the god asserted that he could no longer speak the truth on account of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pseudo-Origen, Philosophumena, p. 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pr. Ev. iv. iii. 7. Aug. de Civit. Dei, xix. 23. Lact. Instit. iv. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Vit. Const. ii. 50; cf. Wolff, de Noviss. p. 4.

the number of saints who were now on the earth. But this has so little the air of an Apolline manifestation that it is suspected that a Christian man had crept into a cave and delivered this unauthorised response with a polemical object.<sup>1</sup>

Into so obscure, so undignified a region of mingled fraud and mystery does it seem that, by the admission of friends and foes alike, the oracles of Greece had by this time fallen. Compared with what had been stripped away, that which was left may seem to us like the narrow vault of the Delian sanctuary compared with the ruined glories of that templecovered isle. There was not, indeed, in Porphyry's view anything inconsistent with the occasional presence and counsel of a lofty and a guardian spirit. There was nothing which need make him doubt that the Greeks had been led upwards through their long history by some providential power. Nay, he himself cites, as we shall see, recent oracles higher in tone than any which have preceded them. as compared with the early ardour of that imaginative belief which peopled heaven with gods and earth with heroes, we feel that we are now sent back to "beggarly elements;" that the task of sifting truth from falsehood amid so much deception and incompetency on the part both of visible and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The well-known story, Γρηγόριος  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  Σαταν $\hat{\varphi}$  Εἴσελθε—Greg. Nyss. 548 (and to be found in all lives of Gregory Thaumaturgus), illustrates this Christian rivalry with pagan oracles or apparitions.

invisible agencies, of erecting a consistent creed on such mean and shifting foundations, might well rebut even the patient ardour of this most untiring of seekers after God. And when we see him recognising all this with painful clearness, giving vent, in that letter to Anebo which is so striking an example of absolute candour in an unscrupulous and polemic age, to his despair at the obscurity which seems to deepen as he proceeds, we cannot but wonder that we do not see him turn to take refuge in the new religion with its offers of certainty and peace.

Why, we shall often ask, should men so much in earnest as the Neoplatonists have taken, with the gospel before them, the side they took? Why should they have preferred to infuse another allegory into the old myths which had endured so much? to force the Pythian Apollo, so simple-hearted through all his official ambiguity, to strain his hexameters into the ineffable yearnings of a theosophic age? For we seem to see the issues so clearly! when we take up Augustine instead of Proclus we feel so instantly that we have changed to the winning side! But to Greek minds—and the glory of the Syrian Porphyry was that, of all barbarians, he became the most intensely Greek—the struggle

<sup>1</sup> The disappointing falsity of the manifesting spirits who pretended to be the souls of departed friends, etc., is often alluded to; e.g. in the ad Anebonem: οἱ δὲ εἶναι μὲν ἔξωθεν τίθενται τὸ ὑπήκοον γένος ἀπατηλῆς φυσεως, παντόμορφόν τε καὶ πολύτροπον, ὑποκρινόμενον καὶ θεοὺς καὶ δαίμονας καὶ ψυχὰς τεθνηκότων, etc.

presented itself in a very different fashion. were fighting not for an effete mythology, but for the whole Past of Greece; nay, as it seemed in a certain sense, for the civilisation of the world. The repulse of Xerxes had stirred in the Greeks the conseiousness of their uniqueness as eompared with the barbarism on every side. And now, when Hellenism was visibly dying away, there awoke in the remaining Greeks a still more momentous conception, the conception of the uniqueness and preciousness of Greek life not only in space but in duration, as compared not only with its barbarian compeers, but with the probable future of the world. It was no longer against the Great King, but against Time itself, that the unequal battle must be waged. And while Time's impersonal touch was slowly laid upon all the glory which had been, a more personal foe was seen advancing from the same East from whose onset Greece had already escaped, "but so as by fire." Christ, like Xerxes, came against the Greek spirit Συριήγενες άρμα διώκων, driving a Syrian car; the tide of conquest was rolling back again, and the East was claiming an empire such as the West had never won.

We, indeed, knowing all the flower of European Christianity in Dante's age, all its ripening fruit in our own, may see that this time from the East light came; we may trust and claim that we are living now among the scattered forerunners of

such types of beauty and of goodness as Athens never knew. But if so much even of our own ideal is in the future still, how must it have been to those whose longest outlook could not overpass the dreary centuries of barbarism and decay? So vast a. spiritual revolution must needs bring to souls of differing temper very different fates. Happy were they who, like Augustine and Origen, could frankly desert the old things and rejoice that all things were become new. Happy, too, were those few saintly souls—an Antoninus or a Plotinus—whose lofty calm no spiritual revolution seemed able to reach or mar. But the pathetic destiny was that of men like Julian or Porphyry, men who were disqualified from leading the race onward into a noble future merely because they so well knew and loved an only less noble past.

And yet it is not for long that we can take Porphyry as an example of a man wandering in the twilight between "dying lights and dawning," between an outworn and an untried faith. The last chapter in the history of oracles is strangely connected with the last stage of the spiritual history of this upward-striving man.

For it was now that Porphyry was to encounter an influence, a doctrine, an aim, more enchanting than Homer's mythology, profounder than Apollo's oracles, more Christian, I had almost written, than Christianity itself. More Christian at least than such Christianity as had chiefly met Porphyry's eyes; more Christian than the violence of bishops, the wrangles of heretics, the fanaticism of slaves, was that single-hearted and endless effort after the union of the soul with God which filled every moment of the life of Plotinus, and which gave to his living example a potency and a charm which his writings never can renew.1 "Without father, without mother, without descent," a figure appearing solitary as Melchisedek on the scene of history, charged with a single blessing and lost in the unknown, we may yet see in this chief of mystics the heir of Plato, and affirm that it is he who has completed the cycle of Greek civilisation by adding to that long gallery of types of artist and warrior, philosopher and poet, the stainless image of the saint.

It may be that the holiness which he aimed at is not for man. It may be that ecstasy comes best unsought, and that the still small voice is heard seldomer in the silence of the wilderness than through the thunder of human toil and amid human passion's fire.

But those were days of untried capacities, of unbounded hopes. In the Neoplatonist lecture-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eunapius (vit. Porph.) manages to touch the heart, in spite of his affectations, when he describes the friendship between Porphyry and Plotinus. Of Porphyry's first visit to Rome he says:— $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$  μεγίστην 'Ρώμην ἰδεῖν ἐπιθυμήσαs . . . ἐπειδὴ τάχιστα εἰς αὐτὴν ἀφίκετο καὶ τῷ μεγίστῳ Πλωτίνῳ συνῆλθεν εἰς ὀμιλίαν, πάντων ἐπελάθετο τῶν ἄλλων, κ.τ.λ.

room, as at the Christian love-feast, it seemed that religion had no need to compromise, that all this complex human spirit could be absorbed and transfigured in one desire.

Counsels of perfection are the aliment of strenuous souls, and henceforth, in each successive book of Porphyry's, we see him rising higher, resting more confidently in those joys and aspirations which are the heritage of all high religions, and the substance of the communion of saints.

And gradually, as he dwells more habitually in the thought of the supreme and ineffable Deity, the idea of a visible or tangible communion with any Being less august becomes repugnant to his mind. For what purpose should he draw to him those unknown intelligences from the ocean of environing souls? "For on those things which he desires to know there is no prophet nor diviner who can declare to him the truth, but himself only, by communion with God, who is enshrined indeed in his heart." "By a sacred silence we do Him honour, and by pure thoughts of what He is." "Holding Him fast, and being made like unto Him, let us present ourselves, a holy sacrifice, for our offering unto God." "

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> De Abstin. ii. 54.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. ii. 34, διὰ δὲ σιγῆς καθαρᾶς καὶ τῶν  $\pi$ ερὶ αὐτοῦ καθαρῶν  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ννοιῶν θρησκεύομεν αὐτῷ.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. ii. 34, δεῖ ἄρα συναφθέντας καὶ δμοιωθέντας αὐτῷ τὴν αὐτῶν άναγωγὴν θυσίαν ἰερὰν προσαγαγεῖν τω θεῷ.

And in his letter to the well-loved wife of his old age,-than which we find no higher expression of the true Platonic love (so often degraded and misnamed)—no nobler charge and counsel of man to woman in all the stores which antiquity has bequeathed, - in this last utterance we find him risen above all doubt and controversy, and rapt in the contemplation of that Being whom "no prayers can move and no sacrifice honour, nor the abundance of offerings find favour in His sight; only the inspired thought fixed firmly on Him has cognisance of God indeed."1 It may seem that as we enter on this region we have left oracles behind. But it is not so. The two last oracles which I shall cite, and which are among the most remarkable of all, are closely connected with this last period of Porphyry's life. The first of them is found, by no chance we may be sure, on a leaf of the manuscript which contains his letter to Marcella. It is introduced to us by an unknown writer as "an oracle concerning the Eternal God." 2

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  τὸ ἔνθεον φρόνημα καλῶς ἡδρασμένον συνάπτεται τῷ θεῷ.—See the Ad Marcellam passim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This oracle was very probably actually delivered in a shrine, as the utterances of this period were often tinged with Neoplatonism. I have followed Wolff's emendations, and must refer the reader to his Porph. Fragm. p. 144, and especially his Addit. IV. de Daemonibus, p. 225, in support of the substantial accuracy of my rendering. It is impossible to reproduce all the theology which this hymn contains; I have tried to bring out the force of the most central and weighty expressions, such as ἀενάοις ὀχετοῖσι τιθηνῶν νοῦν ἀτάλαντον. The oracle will also be found in Steuchus, de Perenni Philosophia,

"O God ineffable, eternal Sire,
Throned on the whirling spheres, the astral fire,
Hid in whose heart thy whole creation lies,—
The whole world's wonder mirrored in thine eyes,—
List thou thy children's voice, who draw anear,
Thou hast begotten us, thou too must hear!
Each life thy life her Fount, her Ocean knows,
Fed while it fosters, filling as it flows;
Wrapt in thy light the star-set cycles roll,
And worlds within thee stir into a soul;
But stars and souls shall keep their watch and way,
Nor change the going of thy lonely day.

Some sons of thine, our Father, King of kings,
Rest in the sheen and shelter of thy wings,—
Some to strange hearts the unspoken message bear,
Sped on thy strength through the haunts and homes of
air.—

Some where thine honour dwelleth hope and wait, Sigh for thy courts and gather at thy gate; These from afar to thee their praises bring, Of thee, albeit they have not seen thee, sing; Of thee the Father wise, the Mother mild, Thee in all children the eternal Child, Thee the first Number and harmonious Whole, Form in all forms, and of all souls the Soul."

The second oracle above alluded to, the last which I shall quote, was given, as Porphyry tells us, at Delphi to his friend Amelius, who inquired, "Where was now Plotinus' soul?" 1

iii. 14; Orelli, Opusc. gr. vett. sentent. i. 319; and Mai's edition of the Ad Marcellam.

Porph. vit. Plot. 22. It is seldom that the genuineness of an VOL, I.

Whatever be the source of this poem, it stands out to us as one of the most earnest utterances of antiquity, though it has little of classical perfection of form. Nowhere, indeed, is the contest more apparent between the intensity of the emotions which are struggling for utterance and the narrow limits of human speech, which was composed to deal with the things that are known and visible, and not with those that are inconceivable and unseen.

Little, in truth, it is which the author of this oracle could express, less which the translator can render; but there is enough to show once more the potency of an elect soul, what a train of light she may leave behind her as she departs on her unknown way; when for those who have lived in her presence, but can scarcely mourn her translation, the rapture of love fades into the rapture of worship. Plotinus was "the eagle soaring above the tomb of Plato;" no wonder that the eyes which followed his flight must soon be blinded with the sun.

"Pure spirit—once a man—pure spirits now Greet thee rejoieing, and of these art thou;

oracle can be established on grounds which would satisfy the critical historian. But this oracle has better external evidence than most others. Of Porphyry's own good faith there is no question, and though we know less of the character of his fellow-philosopher Amelius, it seems unlikely that he would have wished to deceive Porphyry on an occasion so solemn as the death of their beloved master, or even that he could have deceived him as to so considerable an undertaking as a journey to Delphi.

Not vainly was thy whole soul alway bent With one same battle and one the same intent Through eddying cloud and earth's bewildering roar To win her bright way to that stainless shore, Ay, 'mid the salt spume of this troublous sea, This death in life, this sick perplexity, Oft on thy struggle through the obscure unrest A revelation opened from the Blest— Showed close at hand the goal thy hope would win, Heaven's kingdom round thee and thy God within.1 So sure a help the eternal Guardians gave, From life's confusion so were strong to save, Upheld thy wandering steps that sought the day And set them steadfast on the heavenly way. Nor quite even here on thy broad brows was shed The sleep which shrouds the living, who are dead; Once by God's grace was from thine eyes unfurled This veil that screens the immense and whirling world, Once, while the spheres around thee in music ran, Was very Beauty manifest to man;— Ah, once to have seen her, once to have known her there, For speech too sweet, for earth too heavenly fair! But now the tomb where long thy soul had lain Bursts, and thy tabernacle is rent in twain; Now from about thee, in thy new home above, Has perished all but life, and all but love,-And on all lives and on all loves outpoured Free grace and full, a Spirit from the Lord,

<sup>1</sup> ἐφάνη γοῦν τῷ Πλωτίνῳ σκοπὸς ἐγγύθι ναίων τέλος γὰρ αὐτῷ καὶ σκοπὸς ἢν τὸ ἐνωθῆναι καὶ πελάσαι τῷ ἐπὶ πᾶσι θεῷ. Ετυχε δὲ τετράκις που, ὅτε συνήμην αὐτῷ, τοῦ σκοποῦ τούτου ἐνεργεία ἀβρήτω καὶ οὐ δυνάμει.—(Porph. vit. Plot.)

High in that heaven whose windless vaults enfold
Just men made perfect, and an age all gold.
Thine own Pythagoras is with thee there,
And sacred Plato in that sacred air,
And whoso followed, and all high hearts that knew
In death's despite what deathless Love can do.
To God's right hand they have scaled the starry way—
Pure spirits these, thy spirit pure as they.
Ah saint! how many and many an anguish past,
To how fair haven art thou come at last!
On thy meek head what Powers their blessing pour,
Filled full with life, and rich for evermore!"

This, so far as we know, was the last utterance of the Pythian priestess. Once more, indeed, a century afterwards, a voice was heard at Delphi. But that voice seems rather to have been, in Plutarch's phrase, "a cry floating of itself over solitary places," than the deliverance of any recognised priestess, or from any abiding shrine. For no shrine was standing more. The words which answered the Emperor Julian's search were but the whisper of desolation, the last and lovelicst expression of a sanctity that had passed away. A strange coincidence! that from that Delphian valley, whence, as the legend ran, had sounded the first of all hexameters,1—the call, as in the childhood of the world, to "birds to bring their feathers and bees their wax" to build by Castaly the nest-like habitation

<sup>1</sup> ξυμφέρετε πτερά τ' οίωνοι κηρόν τε μέλιτται.—Plut. de Pyth. xvii.; and reff. ap. Hendess, Orac. Grace. p. 36.

of the young new-entering god, — from that same ruined place where "to earth had fallen the glorious dwelling," from the dry channel where "the watersprings that spake were quenched and dead," — should issue in unknown fashion the last fragment of Greek poetry which has moved the hearts of men, the last Greek hexameters which retain the ancient cadence, the majestic melancholy flow! 1

Stranger still, and of deeper meaning, is the fate which has ordained that Delphi, born with the birth of Greece, symbolising in her teaching such light and truth as the ancient world might know, silenced once only in her long career, and silenced not by Christ, but by Antichrist, should have proclaimed in her last triumphant oracle the canonisation of the last of the Greeks, should have responded with her last sigh and echo to the appeal of the last of the Romans.

And here I shall leave the story of Greek oracles. It may be, indeed, that some strange and solitary divinities—the god Jaribolus at Palmyra,<sup>2</sup> the god Marnas at Gaza,<sup>3</sup> the god Besa at

<sup>1</sup> εἴπατε τῷ βασιλῆι, χαμαὶ πέσε δαίδαλος αὐλά\* οὐκέτι Φοῖβος ἔχει καλύβαν, οὐ μάντιδα δάφνην, οὐ παγὰν λαλέουσαν: ἀπέσβετο καὶ λάλον ὕδωρ.

<sup>—</sup>Ge. Cedren. *Hist. Comp.* i. 304; and see Mr. Swinburne's poem, "The Last Oracle."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Inscr. Gr. 4483 ap. Wolff, de Noviss. p. 27. There is, however, no proof of Jaribolian utterance later than A.D. 242.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Marc. Diac. vit. Porph. Episc. ap. Acta Sanctorum, and Wolff, dc Noviss. p. 26. Circ. A.D. 400.

Abydos 1—still uttered from time to time some perishing prophecy, some despairing protest against the new victorious faith. But that such oracles there still were is proved rather from Christian legislation than from heathen records. On these laws I will dwell, nor recount how far the Christian not emperors fell from their divine ideal when they punished by pillage,2 by torture,3 and by death4 the poor unlearned "villagers," whose only crime it was that they still found in the faith of their fathers the substance of things hoped for, and an evidence of things not seen. Such stains will mar the noblest revolutions, but must not blind us to the fact that a spiritual revolution follows only on a spiritual need. The end of the Greek oracles was determined not from without, but from within. They had passed through all their stages. Fetishism, Shahmanism, Nature-worship, Polytheism, even Monotheism and Mysticism, had found in turn a home in their immemorial shrines. Their utterances had reflected every method in which man has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amm. Marc. xix. 12 (A.D. 359).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cod. Theod. xvi. 10 (Theodosius I.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Amm. Mare. xxi. 12 (Constantius).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cod. Justin. ix. 18 (Constantius); Theod. leg. Novell. iii. (Theodosius II.) These laws identify paganism as far as possible with magic, and, by a singular inversion, Augustine quotes Virgil's authority (Acn. iv. 492) in defence of the persecution of his own faith. See Maury, Magic, etc., p. 127. The last struggle of expiring paganism was in defence of the oracular temple of Scrapis at Alexandria, A.D. 389

sought communion with the Unseen, from systematic experiment to intuitive ecstasy. They had completed the cycle of their scripture from its Theogony to its Apocalypse; it was time that a stronger wave of revelation should roll over the world, and that what was best and truest in the old religion should be absorbed into and identified with the new.<sup>1</sup>

And if there be some who feel that the youth, the naïveté, the unquestioning conviction, must perish not from one religion only, but from all; that the more truly we conceive of God, the more unimaginable He becomes to us, and the more infinite, and the more withdrawn; that we can no longer "commune with Him from oak or rock as a young man communes with a maid;"—to such men the story of the many pathways by which mankind has striven to become cognisant of the Unseen may have an aspect of hope as well as of despondency.

For before we despair of a question as unanswerable we must know that it has been rightly asked. And there are problems which can become clearly

I need hardly remind the reader that the Church continued till the Renaissance to believe in the reality of the Greek oracles, though condemning the "demons" who inspired them. To refer them, in fact, entirely to illusion and imposture is an argument not without danger for the advocate of any revealed religion. "Celui," says M. Bouché-Leclercq, "qui croit à la Providence et à l'efficacité de la prière doit se rappeler qu'il accepte tous les principes sur lesquels repose la divination antique."

defined to us only by the aid of premature and imperfect solutions. There are many things which we should never have known had not inquiring men before us so often deemed vainly that they knew.

Suspense of judgment, indeed, in matters of such moment, is so irksome an attitude of mind, that we need not wonder if confidence of view on the one side is met by a corresponding confidence on the other; if the trust felt by the mass of mankind in the adequacy of one or other of the answers to these problems which have been already obtained is rebutted by the decisive assertion that all these answers have been proved futile and that it is idle to look for more.

Yet such was not the temper of those among the Greeks who felt, as profoundly perhaps as we, the darkness and the mystery of human fates. To them it seemed no useless or unworthy thing to ponder on these chief concerns of man with that patient earnestness which has unlocked so many problems whose solution once seemed destined to be for ever unknown. "For thus will God," as Sophoeles says in one of those passages (Fr. 707) whose high serenity seems to answer our perplexities as well as his own—

<sup>&</sup>quot;Thus then will God to wise men riddling show Such hidden lore as not the wise can know; Fools in a moment deem his meaning plain, His lessons lightly learn, and learn in vain."

And even now, in the face of philosophies of materialism and of negation so far more powerful than any which Sophocles had to meet, there are yet some minds into which, after all, a doubt may steal,—whether we have indeed so fully explained away the beliefs of the world's past, whether we can indeed so assuredly define the beliefs of its future,—or whether it may not still befit us to track with fresh feet the ancient mazes, to renew the world-old desire, and to set no despairing limit to the knowledge or the hopes of man.

## VIRGIL.

'Light among the vanished ages; star that gildest yet this phantom shore;

Golden branch amid the shadows, kings and realms that set to

rise no more."

In literature, as in life, affection and reverence may reach a point which disposes to silence rather than to praise. The same ardour of worship which prompts to missions or to martyrdom when a saving knowledge of the beloved object can be communicated so, will shrink from all public expression when the beauty which it reveres is such as can be made manifest to each man only from within. A sense of desecration mingles with the sense of incapacity in describing what is so mysterious, so glorious, and so dear.

Perhaps the admirer may hear the object of his reverence ignorantly misapprehended, unwisely judged. Still he will shrink from speech; he will be unwilling to seem to proffer his own poor and disputable opinion on matters which lie so far above any support which he can give. Yet, possibly, if his admiration has notoriously been shared for nineteen centuries by all whose admiration was best worth having, he may venture to attempt to prove the world right where others have attempted the bolder task of proving it mistaken; or rather, if the matter in question be one by its very nature incapable of proof, he may without presumption restate in terms adapted to modern readers the traditional judgment of sixty generations of men.<sup>1</sup>

The set which the German criticism of this century has made against Virgil is a perfectly explicable, and in one sense a perfectly justifiable thing. It is one among many results which have followed from the application of the historical faculty, pure and simple, to the judgment of Art. Since every work of art is a historical product, it can be used to illus-

In writing on an author who has been so constantly discussed for many centuries it is impossible to refer each fragment of criticism to its original source. Most of the sounder reflections on Virgil have occurred to many minds and long ago, and form an anonymous—almost an œcumenical—tradition. Among modern writers on Virgil, I have consulted Bernhardy, Boissier, Canth, Comparetti, Conington, Gladstone, Henry, Heyne, Keble, Long, Nettleship, Ribbeck, Sainte-Beuve, Sellar, Teuffel, Wagner, etc.; some of them with mere dissent and surprise, others—especially Boissier and Conington—with great interest and profit. But next to Virgil's own poems, I think that the Divina Commedia is the most important aid to his right apprehension. The exquisite truth and delicacy of Dante's conception of his great master become more and more apparent if the works of the two are studied in connection.

Since this essay was first published, the greatest poet of our times has offered to Virgil a crowning homage,—in accents that

rccall his own.

trate the growth of the national life from which it springs; it can be represented as the necessary result of its epoch and its environment. The several arts, however, offer very different facility to the scientific historian. Music, the most unmixedly imaginative of the arts, has baffled all efforts to correlate her growth with the general march of society. Painting bears a more intimate relation to life, and in much of the preference which has been lately shown for early naïveté over self-conscious excellence we may detect a mixture of the historical with the purely æsthetic instinct. The historic instinct, indeed, works in admirably with the tastes of an elaborate civilisation. For the impulse of historic science is naturally towards the Origines or sources of things; it seeks to track styles and processes to their fountain-head, and to find them exhibiting themselves without self-consciousness or foreign admixture; it would even wish to climinate the idiosyncrasies of individual artists from its generalised estimate of the genius of a nation. And in highly-cultivated societics there is a somewhat similar craving—a wish to escape from all that speaks of effort or preparation, into the refreshing simplicity of a spontaneous age. This craving was strongly felt under the Roman Empire; it is potent among ourselves; it is wholly natural and innocent so long as it is not allowed to sway us in our estimate of the highest art.

But if the historical spirit can thus modify the judgments passed upon painting, much more is this the case with regard to poetry. For poetry is the most condensed and pregnant of all historical phenomena; it is a kind of crystallised deposit of the human spirit. It is most necessary that the historian and the philologer should be allowed free range over this rich domain. And there is no doubt a sense in which poems, as they become more remote from us, are fuller of the rough reality of things. There is a sense in which the song of the Fratres Arvales is of more value than the Fourth Eclogue. And there is a sense—and this is a point on which the Germans have especially dwelt —in which the whole Latin literature of the Augustan age, whose outer form, at least, is so confessedly derived from Greek models, is of less interest than those models themselves. If we wish to understand the native type, the original essence of epic or lyric poetry, we must go to Homer and not to Virgil, to Sappho and not to Horace. Yet this test, like all sweeping and à priori methods of estimating works of art, requires in practice so many limitations as to be almost valueless. It is impossible to judge a literature by its originality alone, without condemning much that is best in our modern literatures more severely than we condemn the Augustan poets. Imitation is very much a matter of chronology; it may be conscious or un-

conscious,—ostentatious or concealed,—but as the world goes on, it tends irresistibly to form a larger and larger element in all new productions. And yet each new production may be in essentials superior to its type or forerunner. Its relative merit can be determined by experience alone—can only be judged, for instance, in the case of poetry by the uncertain and difficult process of comparing the amount of delight and elevation received from each work by the consensus of duly qualified men. For, in the face of some recent German criticism, it seems important to repeat that in order to judge poetry it is before all things necessary to enjoy it. We may all desire that historical and philological science should push her dominion into every recess of human action and human speech. But we must utter some protest when the very heights of Parnassus are invaded by a spirit which surely is not Science, but her unmeaning shadow; - a spirit which would degrade every masterpiece of human genius into the mere pabulum of hungry professors, and which values a poet's text only as a field for the rivalries of sterile pedantry and arbitrary conjecture.

It is sometimes said, àpropos of the new unction with which physical science has assumed the office of the preacher, that men of the world must be preached to either by men of the world or by saints—not by persons, however eminent and right-

minded, whose emotions have been confined to the laboratory. There is something of a similar incongruity in the attitude of a German commentator laboriously endeavouring to throw a new light on some point of delicate feeling or poetic propriety. Thus one of them objects to Dido's "auburn tress" on the ground that a widow's hair should be of a darker colour. Another questions whether a broken heart can be properly termed "a fresh wound," if a lady has been suffering from it for more than a week. A third bitterly accuses Virgil of exaggerating the felicity of the Golden Age. And Ribbeck alters the text of Virgil, in defiance of all the manuscripts, because the poet's picture (A. xii. 55) of Amata, "self-doomed to die, clasping for the last time her impetuous son-in-law," seems to him tame and unsatisfactory. By the alteration of moritura into monitura he is able to represent Amata as clinging to Turnus, not "with the intention of killing herself," but "with the intention of giving advice," which he considers as the more impressive and fitting attitude for a mother-in-law.1

It seems somewhat doubtful whither this lofty à priori road may lead us. And yet it is impossible to criticise any form of art without the introduction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A single instance will give an idea of Ribbeck's fitness to deal with metrical questions. In A. ix. 67, "qua temptet ratione aditus, ct quae via clausos," he reads (against all the MSS.) et qua vi clausos, and proves at some length the elegance of his trispondaic termination.

of subjective impressions of some kind. It would be in vain to attempt to give any such general exposition of poetical excellence as should carry conviction to all minds. Some obvious shortcomings may be pointed out, some obvious merits insisted on; but when a higher region is reached we find that a susceptibility to the specific power of poetry is no more communicable than an ear for music. To most readers the subtle, the unexpressed, the infinite element in poetry such as Virgil's will remain for ever unacknowledged and unknown. Like the golden bough which unlocked the secrets of the underworld—

"Itself will follow, and scarce thy touch await,
If thou be chosen, and if this be fate;
Else for no force shalt thou its coming feel,
Nor shear it from the stem with shattering steel."

<sup>1</sup> A. vi. 146. The translations from Virgil which I have given in this essay, though faithful to his meaning, as I apprehend it, are not verbally exact; while, like all my predecessors, I have failed to convey any adequate notion of his music or his dignity, and may well fear the fate of Salmoneus, "who thought to rival with flash of lamps and tramp of horses the inimitable thunderbolt and storm." But to reproduce a great poet in another language is as impossible as to reproduce Nature on canvas; and the same controversy between a literal and an impressional rendering divides landscape-painters and translators of poetry. In the case of an author so complex and profound as Virgil, every student will naturally discern a different phase of his significance, and it seems almost a necessary element in any attempt to criticise him that the critic should try to show the view which he takes of a few well-known passages. Mr. Morris' brilliant and accurate version

A few general considerations, however, may at any rate serve to indicate the kinds of achievement at which Virgil aimed — the kinds of merit which are or are not to be looked for in his poems.

The range of human thoughts and emotions greatly transcends the range of such symbols as man has invented to express them; and it becomes therefore, the business of Art to use these symbols in a double way. They must be used for the direct representation of thought and feeling; but they must also be combined by so subtle an imagination as to suggest much which there is no means of directly expressing. And this can be done; for experience shows that it is possible so to arrange forms, colours, and sounds as to stimulate the imagination in a new and inexplicable way. This power makes the painter's art an imaginative as well as an imitative one; and gives birth to the art of the musician, whose symbols are hardly imitative at all, but express emotions which, till music suggests them, have been not only unknown but unimaginable. Poetry is both an imitative and an imaginative art. As a choice and condensed form of emotional speech, it possesses the reality which depends on its directly recalling our previous thoughts and feelings. But as a system of rhythmical and melodious effects — not indebted for their potency

VOL. I.

represents a view so different from mine (though quite equally legitimate), that it would hardly have served my present purpose.

to their associated ideas alone — it appeals also to that mysterious power by which mere arrangements of sound can convey an emotion which no one could have predicted beforehand, and which no known laws can explain.

It is true that the limits of melody within which poetry works are very narrow. Between an exquisite and a worthless line there is no difference of sound in any way noticeable to an unintelligent For the mere volume of sound — the actual sonority of the passage — is a quite subordinate element in the effect, which is produced mainly by relations and sequences of vowels and consonants, too varying and delicate to be reproducible by rule, although far more widely similar, among European languages at least, than is commonly perceived.1 But this limitation of the means employed, which may itself be an added source of pleasure from the sense which it may give of difficulty overcome, is by no means without analogies in other forms of art. The poet thrills us with delight by a collocation of consonants, much as the etcher suggests infinity by a scratch of the needle.

An interesting confirmation of this statement may be obtained by reading some passage of Latin poetry first according to the English and then according to the Italian or the revived Latin pronunciation. The effects observed in the first case are not altered—are merely enriched—by the transference of the vowel sounds to another seale. But this natural music of language (if we may so term it) is too complex a subject to be more than touched on here.

And, indeed, in poetry of the first order, almost every word (to use a mathematical metaphor) is raised to a higher power. It continues to be an articulate sound and a logical step in the argument; but it becomes also a musical sound and a centre of emotional force. It becomes a musical sound;that is to say, its consonants and vowels are arranged to bear a relation to the consonants and vowels near it, - a relation of which accent, quantity, rhyme, assonance, and alliteration are specialised forms, but which may be of a character more subtle than any of these. And it becomes a centre of emotional force; that is to say, the complex associations which it evokes modify the associations evoked by other words in the same passage in a way quite distinct from grammatical or logical connection. The poet, therefore, must avoid two opposite dangers. If he thinks too exclusively of the music and the colouring of his verse - of the imaginative means of suggesting thought and feeling—what he writes will lack reality and sense. But if he cares only to communicate definite thought and feeling according to the ordinary laws of eloquent speech, his verse is likely to be deficient in magical and suggestive power.

And what is meant by the vague praise so often bestowed on Virgil's unequalled style is practically this, that he has been, perhaps, more successful than any other poet in fusing together the expressed and the suggested emotion; that he has discovered the hidden music which can give to every shade of

feeling its distinction, its permanence, and its charm; that his thoughts seem to come to us on the wings of melodies prepared for them from the foundation of the world. But in treating of so airy and abstract a matter it is well to have frequent recourse to concrete illustration. Before we attempt further description of Virgil's style, or his habitual mood of mind, let us clear our conceptions by a careful examination of some few passages from his poems. As we turn the leaves of the book we find it hard to know on what passages it were best to dwell. What varied memories are stirred by one line after another as we read! What associations of all dates, from Virgil's own lifetime down to the political debates of to-day! On this line 1 the poet's own voice faltered as he read. At this 2 Augustus and Octavia melted into passionate weeping. Here is the verse 3 which Augustine quotes as typical in its majestic rhythm of all the pathos and the glory of pagan art, from which the Christian was bound to flee. This is the couplet 4 which Fénelon could never read without admiring tears. This line Filippo Strozzi scrawled on his prisonwall, when he slew himself to avoid worse ill.5 These are the words 6 which, like a trumpet-call,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hoc solum nomen quoniam de conjuge restat. A. iv. 324.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tu Marcellus eris, etc. A. vi. 883.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Infelix simulacrum atque ipsius umbra Creusae. A. ii. 772.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Aude, hospes, contemnere opcs, et te quoque dignum Finge deo, rebusque veni non asper egcnis. A. viii. 364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Exoriare aliquis nostris ex ossibus ultor. A. iv. 625.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Heu! fuge crudelis terras, fuge litus avarum. A. iii. 44.

roused Savonarola to seek the things that are above. And this line <sup>1</sup> Dante heard on the lips of the Church Triumphant, at the opening of the Paradise of God. Here, too, are the long roll of prophecies, sought tremblingly in the monk's secret cell, or echoing in the ears of emperors <sup>2</sup> from Apollo's shrine, which have answered the appeal made by so many an eager heart to the Virgilian Lots—that strange invocation which has been addressed, I believe, to Homer, Virgil, and the Bible alone; the offspring of men's passionate desire to bring to bear on their own lives the wisdom and the beauty which they revered in the past, to make their prophets in such wise as they might—

"Speak from those lips of immemorial speech, If but one word for each."

Such references might be multiplied indefinitely. But there is not at any rate need to prove the estimation in which Virgil has been held in the past. The force of that tradition would only be weakened by specification. "The chastest poet," in Bacon's words, "and royalest, Virgilius Maro, that to the memory of man is known," has lacked in no age until our own the concordant testimony of the civilised world. No poet has lain so close to so many hearts; no words so often as his have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Manibus date lilia plenis. A. vi. 884.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Claudius, Hadrian, Severus, etc., "in templo Apollinis Cumani."

sprung to mcn's lips in moments of excitement and self-revelation, from the one fierce line retained and chanted by the untameable boy who was to be Emperor of Rome,<sup>1</sup> to the impassioned prophecy of the great English statesman<sup>2</sup> as he pleaded till morning's light for the freedom of a continent of slaves.

And those who have followed by more secret ways the influence which these utterances have exercised on mankind know well, perhaps themselves have shared, the mass of emotion which has slowly gathered round certain lines of Virgil's as it has round certain texts of the Bible, till they come to us charged with more than an individual passion and with a meaning wider than their own—with the cry of the despair of all generations,<sup>3</sup> with the yearning of all loves unappeased,<sup>4</sup> with the anguish of all partings,<sup>5</sup> "beneath the pressure of separate eternities."

Perhaps there will be no better way of forming an intimate conception of the poet's own nature than by analysing his treatment of two or three of

Nosque ubi primus equis Oriens adflavit anhelis, Illie sera rubens accendit lumina Vesper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Clodius Albinus. Arma amens capio; nee sat rationis in armis. A. ii. 314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pitt. G. i. 250.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Quo res summa loeo, Panthu ? quam prendimus areem ? A. ii. 322.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Illum absens absentem auditque videtque. A. iv. 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Quem fugis? extremum fato, quod te adloquor, hoe est. A vi. 466.

his principal characters, and especially of his hero, so often considered as forming the weakest element and rigid beside the eager and spontaneous warriors of the Homeric epoch, and, so far as the Æneid is a poem of action and adventure, he is not a stirring or an appropriate hero. But we must not forget that there was a special difficulty in making his character at once consistent and attractive. a man who has survived his strongest passion, his deepest sorrow; who has seen his "Ilium settle into flame," and from "Creusa's melancholy shade," and the great ghost of Hector fallen in vain, has heard the words which sum the last disaster and close the tale of Troy. It is no fault of his that he is left alive; and the poem opens with the cry of his regret that he too has not been able to fall dead upon the Trojan plain, "where Hector lies, and huge Sarpedon, and Simois rolls so many warriors' corses to the sea." But it is not always at a man's crowning moment that his destiny and his duty close; and for those who fain had perished with what they held most dear, fate may reserve a more tedious trial, and the sad triumphs of a life whose sun has set. It is to this note that all the adventures of Æneas respond. We find him when he lands at Carthage at once absorbed in the pictures which show the story of Priam and of his city's fall"What realm of earth, he answered, doth not know,
O friend, our sad pre-eminence of woe?
Tears waken tears, and honour honour brings,
And mortal hearts are moved by mortal things." 1

Then he himself tells that tale, with an intensity of pathos too well known to need further allusion. And when his story brings him to calmer scenes to his meeting with "Hector's Andromache" on the Chaonian shore — those who have loved and lost will recognise in their colloquy the touches that paint the fond illusion of the heart which clings, with a half smile at its own sad persistency, to the very name and semblance of the places by love made dear,2 which seeks in the eyes or movements of surviving kindred some glanee or gesture of the dead.3 Take one more instance only—the meeting of Æneas with Deiphobus in the underworld—and note how the same cry breaks from him 4 as that with which he greeted the vision of Heetor, 5 — a cry of reverence heightened by compassion — that

<sup>1</sup> Quis jam locus, inquit, Achate, Quao regio in terris nostri non plena laboris? En Priamus! sunt hie otiam sua pracmia laudi; Sunt lacrimae rerum, et mentem mortalia tangunt. A.i. 459.

Procedo, et parvam Troiam simulataque magnis
 Pergama et arcutem Xanthi cognomine rivum
 Adgnosco, Scaeaeque amplector limina portae.
 A. iii. 349.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cape dona extrema tuorum
O mihi sola mci super Astyanactis imago!
Sic oeulos, sic ille manus, sic ora ferebat;
Et nune acquali tccum pubcseeret aevo. A. iii. 488.

<sup>4</sup> A. vi. 502.

<sup>5</sup> A. ii. 285.

mingling of emotions which makes the utmost ardour of worship and of love—a cry of indignation such as rends the generous heart at the sight of an exalted spirit on which vileness and treachery have been allowed to work their will. How delicately does the "anima cortese Mantovana" stand revealed in the lofty reverence with which Æneas addresses the maimed Deiphobus, even while he "hardly knows him, as he trembles and strives to hide his ghastly wounds!" How strangely sweet the cadence in which the living friend laments that he could not see that other, as he lay in death,<sup>2</sup> could only invoke his spirit with a threefold salutation, and rear an empty tomb! In such sad converse Æneas loses the brief time granted for his visit to the underworld, till the Sibyl warns him that it is being spent in vain—

> "The night is going, Trojan; shall it go Lost in an aimless memory of woe?" 3

But he does not part from his murdered friend till he has given the assurance that all that could be done has been done; that he has paid the uttermost honour and satisfied the unforgetful shade.

Yet once more; perhaps the deepest note of all is struck when the old love is encountered by a new, and yet both that memory and that fresh joy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deiphobe armipotens, genus alto a sanguine Teucri. A. vi. 500.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A. vi. 507.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Nox ruit, Aenea, nos flendo ducimus horas. A. vi. 539.

must give place to an over-ruling eall. When Dido implores Æneas to remain in Carthage, after the messenger of Jove has bidden him depart, he answers in words whose solemn movement reveals a long-unuttered pain, and shows that neither in Carthage, nor yet in Italy, ean his heart expect a home <sup>1</sup>—

"Me had the fates allowed my woes to still,— Take my sad life, and shape it at my will,— First had I sought my buried home and joy, Loves unforgotten, and the last of Troy;— Ay, Priam's palace had re-risen then, A ghost of Ilium for heart-broken men."

It is thus that the solemn appeal evokes the unlooked-for avowal; once and for all he makes it known that the memory which to others is growing dim and half-forgotten in the past, is to him ever present and ever guiding, and always and unalterably dear.

No doubt it is probable that Virgil would have been ill able to describe a more buoyant and adventurous hero. No doubt it is true that such a nature as that of Æneas is ill fitted to fill the leading rôle in a poem of action. But granting that we have him here in the wrong place, and should have preferred a character whom the poet could not draw, we yet surely cannot say, when we remember Æneas' story, that the picture given of him is meaningless or untrue; we cannot call it unnatural that we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. iv. 340.

should find in all his conduct something predetermined, hieratic, austere; we cannot wonder if the only occasion on which he rises to passionate excitement is where he implores the Sibyl for pity's sake to bring him to the sight and presence of the soul he holds so dear; or if, when from that soul in Paradise he has learnt the secrets of the dead, his temper thenceforth is rather that of the Christian saint than of the Pagan warrior, and he becomes the type of those mediæval heroes, those Galahads and Percivals, whose fiercest exploits are performed with a certain remoteness of spirit — who look beyond blood and victory to a concourse of unseen spectators and a sanction that is not of men.

It is, however, on another character that the personal interest of the Æneid has been generally felt to turn. The story of Dido has been said to mark the dawn of romance. It is no doubt the case, though how far this is accidental it is hard to say, that the ancients have dealt oftener with the tragedies resulting from the passion of love, than with the delineation of that passion itself. Sappho, in her early world, had written, as it were, the epigraph over love's temple-door in letters of fire. Catullus had caught the langhing glory of Septimius and Acme—of amorous girl and boy; Lucretius had painted, with all the mastering force of Rome, the pangs of passion baffled by its own intensity and

festering unsated in a heart at war. But once only, perhaps, do we find the joy of love's appearing, the desolation of his flight, sung of before Virgil's days with a majesty and a pathos like his own. No one who has read has forgotten how "once to Ilion's towers there seemed to come the spirit of a windless calm—a gentle darling of wealth, soft dart of answering eyes, love's soul-subduing flower." Few have heard unmoved of the "semblances of mournful dreams" which brought to that deserted husband "an empty joy; for all in vain, when his delight he seemed to see, forth gliding from his arms the vision vanished far, on swift wings following the ways of sleep." In Æschylus, as in Virgil, the story derives its pathos from the severing of happy loves. Æschylus they are separated by the woman's misdoing; in Virgil by a higher obligation which the man is bidden to fulfil, yet an obligation which the woman bitterly denies, and which we are ourselves half unwilling to allow. Neither of these plots is quite satisfactory. For in the atmosphere of noble poetry we cannot readily endure that love should either be marred by sin or unreconciled with duty; and no cause of lovers' separation is in harmony with our highest mood, unless it be the touch of death, whose power is but a momentary thing, or so high a call of honour as can give to the parting death's promise and not only his pain.

The power with which Dido is drawn is unques-

tionable. Her transitions of feeling, her ardent soliloquies, reveal a dramatic force in Virgil of a very unexpected kind—an insight into the female heart which is seldom gained by the exercise of imagination alone. But when we compare the Fourth Æneid with later poems on the same lofty level with the Vita Nuova, for instance, or with Laodamia —we feel how far our whole conception of womanhood has advanced since Virgil's day under the influence of Christianity, chivalry, civilisation. A nature like Dido's will now repel as much as it attracts us. For we have learnt that a woman may be childlike as well as impassioned, and soft as well as strong; that she may glow with all love's fire and yet be delicately obedient to the lightest whisper of honour. The most characteristic factor in Dido's story is of a more external kind. It is the contrast between the queen's stately majesty and the subduing power of love which is most effectively used to intensify the dramatic situation. And the picture suggests a few reflections as to the way in which the wealth and magnificence of Roman society affected the poets of the age.

It happens that three great Latin poets, in strikingly similar passages, have drawn the contrast between a simple and a splendid life. Horace, here, as elsewhere, shows himself the ideal poet of society; more cultivated, sensitive, affectionate than the men

<sup>1</sup> Lucr. ii. 24. Virg. G. ii. 468. Hor. Carm. iii. 1, 41.

and women among whom he moves, yet not so far above them or aloof from them but that he can delight, even more keenly than they, in their luxury and splendour - can enjoy it without envy, as he can dispense with it without regret. Lucretius is the aristocrat with a mission; to him the lampbearing images, and the blaze of midnight banquets, and the harp that echoes beneath the ceiling's fretted gold—all these are but a vain and bitter jest which cannot drive superstition from the soul, nor kill those fears of death which "mingle unabashed amongst kings and kesars," awed not at all by golden glitter or by purple sheen. Virgil is the rustic of genius, well educated, of delicately refined nature, wholly free from base admirations or desires, but "reared amid the woods and copses," and retaining to the last some touch of shyness in the presence of this world's grandeur; ever eager to escape from the palace-halls into his realm of solitude and song. The well-known passage in the Georgies depicts, as we may well imagine, in its vein of dignified irony, his own sensations when he mixed with the society which so eagerly sought him at Rome. We have his embarrassment at the crowd of visitors coming and going as he calls on Pollio or Mæcenas at the fashionable hour of 7 A.M.; his ennui as he accompanies over the house a party of virtuosi, openmouthed at the æsthetic furniture; and even his disgust at the uncomfortable magnificence of his bedchamber, and at the scented oil which is served to him with his salad at dinner. And what a soaring change when from the stately metrical roll which reflects the pomp and luxury of the imperial city, he mounts without an effort into that airy rush which blends together all "the glory of the divine country," its caverns, and its living lakes, and haunts of wild things in the glade, its "life that never disappoints," its life-long affections, and its faith in God! <sup>2</sup>

Yet Virgil's familiarity with the statelier life of Rome was not unfruitful. It has given to him in his Æneid an added touch of dignity, as of one who has seen face to face such greatness as earth can offer, and paints without misgiving the commerce of potentates and kings. And thus it is that he has filled every scene of Dido's story with a sense of royal scope and unchartered power; as of an existence where all honours are secure already, and all else that is wished for won, only the heart demands an inner sanctuary, and life's magnificence still lacks its crowning joy. First we have the banquet, when love is as yet unacknowledged and unknown, but the "signs of his coming and sounds of his feet"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Si non ingentem foribus domus alta superbis Mane salutantum totis vomit aedibus undam, Nec varios inhiant pulchra testudine postis, Inlusasque auro vestes, Ephyreiaque æra, Alba neque Assyrio fueatur lana veneno, Nee easia liquidi corrumpitur usus olivi. G. ii. 461.

have begun to raise all things to an intenser glow; when the singer's song rises more glorious, and all voices ring more full and free, and aneestral eeremonies are kindled into life by the ungovernable gladness of the soul. Then comes the secluded colloquy between queen and princess, as they discuss the guest who made the night so strange and new; and then the rush of Dido's gathering passion among the majestic symbols of her sway.

"With him the queen the long ways wanders down, And shows him Sidon's wealth and Carthage town, And oft would speak, but as the words begin Fails her breath caught by mastering Love within;—Once more in feast must she the night employ, Must hear once more her Trojan tell of Troy, Hang on his kingly voice, and shuddering see The imagined scenes where every scene is he. Then guests are gone and night and morn are met, Far off in heaven the solemn stars have set,—Thro' the empty halls alone she mourns again, Lies on the couch where hath her hero lain, Sees in the dark his kingly face, and hears His voice imagined in her amorous ears."

And through all the scenes that follow, the same royal accent runs till the last words that lift our imagination from the tumultuous grief around the dying Dido, to the scarce more terrible tragedy of a great nation's fall.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Λ. i. 725. 
<sup>2</sup> Λ. i. 738. 
<sup>3</sup> Λ. iv. 10. 
<sup>4</sup> Λ. iv. 74. 
<sup>5</sup> Λ. iv. 669.

"Not else than thus, when foes have forced a way, On Tyre or Carthage falls the fatal day;— 'Mid such wild woe crash down in roaring fire Temples and towers of Carthage or of Tyre."

And assuredly the "Deeds of the Roman People," the title which many men gave to the Æneid when it first appeared, would not have been complete without some such chapter as this. The prophecy of Anchises, the shield of Vulcan, record for us the imperial city's early virtue, her world-wide sway; but it is in this tale of Carthage that the poet has written in a burning parable the passion and the pomp of Rome.

And yet in spite of all the force and splendour with which Dido is described, we feel instinctively that she is not drawn by a lover's hand. We have in her no indication of the poet's own ideal and inward dream. If that is to be sought at all, it must be sought elsewhere. And, perhaps, if the fancy be permitted, we may imagine that we discern it best in the strange and yearning beauty of the passages which speak of the glorious girlhood of Camilla, the maid unwon; Camilla, whose death a nymph avenges, and whose tale Diana tells; Camilla, whose name leapt first of all to Virgil's lips as he spoke to Dante of their Italy in the underworld.<sup>2</sup> Surely there is something more than a mere poetic fervour in the lines which describe the love which lit on

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Gesta populi Romani."

the girl while yet a child, and followed her till her glorious hour; the silent reverence which watched the footsteps of the maiden "whom so many mothers for their sons desired in vain; the breath caught with a wistful wonder, the long and lingering gaze, the thrill of admiration which stirs the heart with the very concord of joy and pain. Where has he more subtly mingled majesty with sweetness than in the lines which paint her happy nurture among the woodlands where her father was a banished king? her wild and supple strength enhanced by the contrasting thought of the "flowing gown and golden circlet," which might have weighted the free limbs with royal purple or wound among the tresses that were hooded with the tiger's spoil.

Thus much, at least, we may say, that while in poetry the higher and truer forms of love, as distinguished both from friendship and from passion, appear first in the Middle Ages, and in Dante above all, yet passages like these reveal to us the early stirring of conceptions which were hereafter to be so dominant and so sublime—the dawning instinct of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. xi. 537.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A. xi. 581.

<sup>3</sup> Illam omnis teetis agrisque effusa inventus
Turbaque miratur matrum et prospectat euntem,
Attonitis inhians animis, ut regius ostro
Velet honos levis humeros, ut fibula erinem
Auro internectat, Lyeiam ut gerat ipsa pharetram
Et pastoralem praefixa cuspide myrtum.—A. vii. 812.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pro crinali auro, pro longae tegmine pallae Tigridis exuviae per dorsum a vertice pendent, etc.—A. xi. 576.

a worship which should be purer and more pervading than any personal desire—of a reverence which should have power for a season to keep Love himself at bay, and to which a girl's gladness and beauty should become a part "of something far more deeply interfused," and touch the spirit with the same sense of yearning glory which descends on us from the heaven of stars.

To dwell thus on some of the passages in Virgil whose full meaning escapes a hasty perusal, may help us to realise one of his characteristic charms —his power of eoneentrating the strangeness and fervour of the romantie spirit within the severe and dignified limits of classical art. To this power in great measure we must ascribe his unique position as the only unbroken link between the ancient and the modern world. In literary style and treatment, just as in religious dogma and tendency, there has been something in him which has appealed in turn to ages the most discrepant and the most remote. He has been eited in different centuries as an authority on the worship of river-nymphs and on the inearnation of Christ. And similarly the poems which were accepted as soon as published as the standard of Latin elassicality, became afterwards the direct or indirect original of half the Renaissance epies of adventure and love.

We feel, however, that considerations like these leave us still far from any actual realisation of the

means by which the poet managed to produce this singular complex of impressions. In dealing with poetry, as with the kindred arts, criticism almost necessarily ceases to be fruitful or definite at the very point where the interest of the problems becomes the greatest. We must be content with such narrower inquiries as may give us at least a clearer conception of the nature and difficulties of the achievement at which the artist has aimed. may, for instance, discuss the capabilities of the particular language in which a poet writes, just as we may discuss the kind of effects producible on violin or pianoforte, in water-colour or oil. any estimate of the Latin, as a literary language, implies at once a comparison with the speech of that people from whose admirable productions Latin literature was avowedly derived.

No words that men can any more set side by side can ever affect the mind again like some of the great passages of Homer. For in them it seems as if all that makes life precious were in the act of being created at once and together—language itself, and the first emotions, and the inconceivable charm of song. When we hear one single sentence of Anticleia's answer, as she begins—

οὐτ' ἔμεγ' ἐν μεγαροῖσιν ἐΰσκοπος ἰοχέαιρα—
what words can express the sense which we receive

<sup>1</sup> Od. xi. 198.

of an effortless and absolute sublimity, the feeling of morning freshness and elemental power, the delight which is to all other intellectual delights what youth is to all other joys? And what a language! which has written, as it were, of itself those last two words for the poet, which offers them as the fruit of its inmost structure and the bloom of its early day! Beside speech like this Virgil's. seems elaborate, and Dante's crabbed, and Shakespeare's barbarous. There never has been, there never will be, a language like the dead Greek. For Greek had all the merits of other tongues without their accompanying defects. It had the monumental weight and brevity of the Latin without its rigid unmanageability; the copiousness and flexibility of the German without its heavy commonness and guttural superfluity; the pellucidity of the French without its jejuneness; the force and reality of the English without its structureless comminu-But it was an instrument beyond the control of any but its creators. When the great days of Greece were past, it was the language which made speeches and wrote books, and not the men. Its French brilliancy taught Isocrates to polish platitude into epigram; its German profundity enabled Lycophron to pass off nonsense as oracles; its Italian flow encouraged Apollonius Rhodius to shroud in long-drawn sweetness the langour of his inventive soul. There was nothing except the language left.

Like the golden brocade in a queen's sepulchre, its imperishable splendour was stretched stiffly across the skeleton of a life and thought which inhabited there no more.

The history of the Latin tongue was widely different. We do not meet it full-grown at the dawn of history; we see it take shape and strength beneath our eyes. We can watch, as it were, each stage in the forging of the thunderbolt; from the day when Ennius, Nævius, Paeuvius inweave their "three shafts of twisted storm," till Lucretius adds "the sound and terror," and Catullus "the west wind and the fire." It grows with the growth of the Roman people; it wins its words at the sword's point; and the "conquered nations in long array" pay tribute of their thought and speech as surely as of their blood and gold.

In the region of poetry this union of strennous effort with eager receptivity is conspicuously seen. The barbarous Saturnian lines, hovering between an accentual and a quantitative system, which were the only indigenous poetical product of Latium, rudely indicated the natural tendency of the Latin tongue towards a trochaic rhythm. Contact with Greece introduced Greek metres, and gradually established a definite quantitative system. Quantity and accent are equally eongenial to the Latin lan-

Tris imbris torti radios, tris nubis aquosae
 Addiderant, rutili tris ignis et alitis Austri. A. viii. 429.

guage, and the trochaic and iambic metres of Greece bore transplantation with little injury. The adaptations of these rhythms by early Roman authors, however uncouth, are at least quite easy and unconstrained; and so soon as the prestige of the Augustan era had passed away, we find both Pagans and Christiaus expressing in accentual iambic, and especially in accentual trochaic metres, the thoughts and feelings of the new age. Adam of S. Victor is metrically nearer to Livius Andronicus than to Virgil or Ovid; and the Litany of the Arval Brethren finds its true succession, not in the Secular Ode of Horace, but in the Dies Iræ or the Veni Creator.

For Latin poetry suffered a violent breach of continuity in the introduction from Greece of the hexameter and the elegiac couplet. The quantitative hexameter is in Latin a difficult and unnatural metre. Its prosodial structure excludes a very large proportion of Latin words from being employed at all. It narrowly limits the possible grammatical constructions, the modes of emphasis, the usages of curtailment, the forms of narration. On the other hand, when successfully managed its advantages are great. All the strength and pregnancy of Latin expression are brought out by the stately march of a metre perhaps, the most compact and majestic which has ever been invented. The words take their place like the organs in a living structure—

close packed but delicately adjusted and mutually supporting. And the very sense of difficulty overcome gives an additional charm to the sonorous beauty of the daetylic movement, its self-retarding pauses, its onward and overwhelming flow.

To the Greek the most elaborate poetical effects were as easy as the simplest. In his poetic, as in his glyptic art, he found all materials ready to his hand; he had but to choose between the marble and the sardonyx, between the ivory and the gold. The Roman hewed his conceptions out of the granite rock; oftenest its eraggy forms were rudely piled together, yet dignified and strong; but there were hands which could give it finish too, which could commit to the centurics a work splendid as well as imperishable, polished into the basalt's shimmer and fervent with the porphyry's glow.

It must not, however, be supposed that even the Æneid has wholly overcome the difficulties inseparable from the Latin poetry of the elassical age, that it is entirely free either from the frigidities of an imitation or from the constraints of a tour de force. In the first place, Virgil has not escaped the injury which has been done to subsequent poets by the example of the length and the subject-matter of Homer. An artificial dignity has been attached to poems in twelve or twenty-four books, and authors have been ineited to tell needlessly long stories in order to take rank as cpie poets. And because

Homer is full of tales of personal combat—in his day an exciting and all-important thing - later poets have thought it necessary to introduce a large element of this kind of description, which, so soon as it loses reality, becomes not only frigid but disgusting. It is as if the first novel had been written by a schoolboy of genius, and all succeeding novelists had felt bound to construct their plots mainly of matches at football. It is the later books of the Æneid that are most marred by this mistake In the earlier books there are, no doubt, some illjudged adaptations of Homerie incident,1 some laboured reproductions of Homeric formulæ, but for the most part the events are really noble and pathetic,—are such as possess permanent interest for eivilised men. The three last books, on the other hand, which have come down to us in a crude and unpruned condition, contain large tracts immediately imitated from Homer, and almost devoid of independent value.2

Besides these defects in matter, the latter part of the poem illustrates the metrical dangers to which Latin hexameters succumbed almost as soon as Virgil was gone. The types on which they could be composed were limited in number and were becoming exhausted. Many of the lines in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See especially A. v. 263-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The following passages might perhaps be omitted *en bloc* with little injury to Virgil's reputation:—Λ. x. 276-762; xi. 597-648, 868-908; xii. 266-311, 529-592.

the later books are modelled upon lines in the earlier ones. Many passages show that peculiar form of bald artificiality into which this difficult metre so readily sinks; nay, some of the *tibicines*, or stop-gaps, suggest a grotesque resemblance to the well-known style of the fourth-form boy.<sup>1</sup> Other more ambitious passages give the painful impression of just missing the effect at which they aim.<sup>2</sup>

We should, however, be much mistaken if we inferred that this accidental want of finish-due to the poet's premature death—indicated any decline of power. On the contrary, nothing, perhaps, in Latin versification is more interesting than the traces of a later manner in process of formation, which are to be found in the concluding books of the Æneid. The later manner of a painter or poet generally differs from his earlier manner in much the same way. We observe in him a certain impatience of the rules which have guided him to exeellenee, a certain desire to use materials more freely, to obtain bolder and newer effects. A tendency of this kind may be discerned in the versification of the later books, especially of the twelfth book, of the Æneid. The innovations are individually hardly perceptible, but taken together they alter the character of the hexameter line in a way more easily felt than described. Among the more definite changes we may note that there are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> с.д. Л. х. 526-9, 584-5. <sup>2</sup> е.д. Л. х. 468-471, 557-560.

more full stops in the middle of lines, there are more elisions, there is a larger proportion of short words, there are more words repeated, more assonances, and a freer use of the emphasis gained by the recurrence of verbs in the same or cognate tenses. Where passages thus characterised have come down to us still in the making, the effect is forced and fragmentary. Where they succeed they combine, as it seems to me, in a novel manner the rushing freedom of the old trochaics with the majesty which is the distinguishing feature of Virgil's style. Art has concealed its art, and the poet's last words suggest to us possibilities in the Latin tongue which no successor has been able to realise.

It is difficult to dwell long on such technical points as these without appearing arbitrary or pedantic. The important thing is to understand how deliberate, forceful, weighty, Virgil's diction is; what a mass of thought and feeling was needed to give to the elaborate structure of the Latin hexameter any convincing power; how markedly all those indications by which we instinctively judge the truth or the insincerity of an author's emotion are intensified by a form of composition in which "the style," not only of every paragraph but of every clause, is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> e.g. A. x. 597-600.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> e.g. A. xii. 48, 72, 179, 429, 615-6, 632-649, 676-680, 889-893, 903-4.

necessarily and indeed "the man." And when we have learned by long familiarity to read between the lines, to apportion the emphasis, to reproduce, it may be, in imagination some shadow of that "marvellous witchery" with which, as tradition tells us, Virgil's own reading of his poems brought out their beauty, we shall be surprised at the amount of self-revelation discernible beneath the calm of his impersonal song. And here again we shall receive the same impression which remained with us from the examination of the hero who is thought to be in some measure the unconscious portrait of the poet himself—we shall wonder most of all at the abiding sadness of his soul.

We might have thought to find him like the steersman Palinurus, in the scene from which our great English painter has taken the cadence which is to tell of an infinite repose,<sup>2</sup> communing untroubled with some heaven-descended dream, and keeping through the night's tranquillity his eyes still fixed upon the stars. How is it that he appears to us so often, like the same Palinurus, plunged in a solitary gulf of death, while the ship of human destinies drifts away unguided—trostlos auf weitem Meer? How knew he that gathering horror of midnight which presages some unspeakable ruin and the end of all? Why was it left for him, above all men, to tell of the anguish of irredeemable bereavement, and Eurydice's

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Lenociniis miris."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Turner's Datur Hora Quieti. A. v. 844.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A. iv. 460-4

appealing hands as she vanished backwards into the night? 1 What taught him the passion of those lines whose marvellous versification seems to beat with the very pulses of the heart, where the one soul calls upon the other in the many-peopled fields of death, and asks of all that company, "not less nor more, but even that word alone"? What is it that has given such a mystical intensity to every glimpse which he opens of the eternity of the impassioned soul? where sometimes the wild pathetic rhythm alone suggests an undefinable regret,3 or a single epithet will renew a world of mourning, and disclose a sorrow unassuageable in Paradise itself.<sup>4</sup> Or, for one moment, Sychaeus' generous shade, appealed to in such varying accents as the storms of passion rose or fell, deemed sometimes forgetful and distant and unregarding in the grave, is seen at last in very presence and faithful to the vows of earth, filled with a love which has forgiven inconstancy as it has outlasted death,5

These short and pregnant passages will appeal to different minds with very different power. There are some whose emotion demands a fuller expression than this, a more copious and ready flow—who choose rather, like Shelley, to pour the whole free nature into a sudden and untrammelled lay. But there are others who have learnt to recognise the last height

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. iv. 498. <sup>2</sup> A. vi. 670. <sup>3</sup> A. vi. 447. <sup>4</sup> A. vi. 480. <sup>5</sup> A. vi. 474.

of heroism, the last depth of tenderness, rather in a word than in a protest, and rather in a look than in a word; to whom all strong feeling comes as a purging fire, a disengagement from the labyrinth of things; whose passion takes a more concentrated dignity as it turns inwards and to the deep of the heart. And such men will recognise in Virgil a precursor, a master, and a friend; they will call him the Magnanimo, the Verace Duca; they will enrol themselves with eager loyalty among the spiritual progeny of a spirit so melancholy, august, and alone.

And some, too, there will always be to whom some touch of poetic gift has revealed the delight of self-expression, while yet their infertile instinct of melody has failed them at their need, and their scanty utterance has rather mocked than assuaged for them the incommunicable passion of the soul. Such men will be apt to think that not only would an added sanctity have been given to all sacred sorrow, an added glory to all unselfish joy, but that this earth's less ennobling emotions as well—the sting of unjust suspicions, and the proud resentment of stealthy injuries,2 and the bewilderment of life's unguided way3—even these would have been transmuted into spiritual strength if they could in such manner have shaped themselves into song; as the noise of bear, and wolf, and angered lion came to the Trojans with a majesty that had no touch of fear or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. i. 529.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A. vi. 502. <sup>3</sup> A. xii. 917.

pain, as they heard them across the midnight waters, mixed with the music of Circe's echoing isle.<sup>1</sup>

How was it, then, with the poet himself, to whom it was given to "sweep in ever-highering eagle-circles up" till his words became the very term and limit of human utterance in song? Quin Decios Drusosque procul;—when he was summing up in those lines like bars of gold the hero-roll of the Eternal City, conferring with every word an immortality, and, like his own Æneas, bearing on his shoulders the fortune and the fame of Rome, did he feel in that great hour that he had done all that man can do? All that we know is, that he spoke of his attempt to write the Æneid as "an act almost of insanity," and that on his deathbed he urgently begged his friends to burn the unfinished poem.

"O dignitosa coscienza e netta, Come t'è picciol fallo amaro morso!"

Yet we feel that Virgil's character would not have stood out complete to us without the record of that last desire. It was the culminating expression of a lifelong temper—of that yearning after perfection which can never rest satisfied with the things of earth—which carries always with it, as Plato would say, the haunting reminiscence of that perfect beauty on which the soul has looked aforetime in the true, which is the ideal world.— And the very stillness

and dignity of Virgil's outward existence help to make him to us an unmixed example of this mood of mind. There is no trace in him of egoistic passion, of tumult, of vanity, or of any jealous or eager love; all his emotions seem to have fused or melted into that Welt-Schmerz—that impersonal and indefinable melancholy, the sound of which since his day has grown so familiar in our ears, which invades the sanest and the strongest spirits, and seems to yield to nothing except such a love, or such a faith, as can give or promise heaven. The so-called "modern air" in Virgil's poems is in great measure the result of the constantly-felt pressure of this obscure homesickness—this infinite desire; finding vent sometimes in such appeals as forestall the sighs of Christian saints in the passion of high hopes half withdrawn, when the Divinity is shrouded and afar<sup>1</sup>—oftener perceptible only in that accent of brooding sorrow which mourns over the fate of men, and breathes a pathetic murmur into Nature's peace,<sup>2</sup> and touches with a mysterious forlornness the felicity of the underworld.3

It is the same mood which "intenerisce il cuore" in Dante's song, which looks from the unsatisfied eyes of Michael Angelo and of Tintoret,—a mood commoner, indeed, among the nations of the North,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> e.g. G. iv. 324-5. A. i. 407.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Te nemus Anguitiae, vitrea te Fueinus unda, Te liquidi flevere lacus. A. vii. 760.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Solemque suum, sua sidera nôrunt. A. vi. 641.

but felt at times by Italians who have had the power to see that all the glory round them does but add a more mysterious awfulness to the insoluble riddle of the world.

Nor is any region of Italy a fitter temple for such thoughts than the Bay of Naples, which virtually was Virgil's home. For it was not Mantua, but "sweet Parthenope," which fostered his years of silent toil; his wanderings were on that southern shore where the intense and azure scene seems to carry an unknown sadness in the convergence of heaven and sea, and something of an unearthly expectancy in the still magnificence of its glow. It was there that inwardly he bled and was comforted, inwardly he suffered and was strong; it was there that what others learn in tempest he learnt in calm, and became in ardent solitude the very voice and heart of Rome.

## II.

The century which elapsed between the publication of the Fourth Eclogue and of the Epistle to the Romans witnessed an immense expansion of the human mind. So far as we can attach definite dates to the gradual growth of world-wide conceptions, we may say that in this century arose the ideas of the civil and of the religious unity of all families of men. These ideas, at first apparently hostile to one another, and associated, the one with the military supremacy

of Rome, the other with the spiritual supremacy of Jerusalem, gradually coalesced into the notion of a Holy Roman Empire, involving, as that notion does in the mind, for instance, of Dante, the concentration of both spiritual and temporal power in the Eternal City. Again the conceptions have widened; and we now imagine a brotherhood of mankind, a universal Church, without localised empire or a visible vicegerent of heaven.

Throughout all the phases which these great generalisations have traversed, the authority of Virgil has been freely invoked. And when we turn from the personal to the public aspect of his poems, we are at once obliged to discuss in what sense he may be considered as the earliest and the official exponent of the world-wide Empire of Rome, the last and the closest precursor of the world-wide commonwealth of Christ. The unanimous acceptance of Virgil in his lifetime—while the Æneid was yet unwritten—as the unique poetical representative of the Roman State is a fact quite as surprising and significant as the ready acceptance of Augustus as its single ruler. It is not, indeed, strange that a few short but levely pieces, such as the Eclogues, should have delighted literary circles and suggested to Mæcenas that this young poet's voice would be the fittest to preach the revival of antique simplicity and rural toil. astonishing thing is the success of the Georgics, the fact that an agricultural poem not twice as long as

Comus should at once have procured for its author a reputation to which the literary history of the world affords no parallel. Petrarch was crowned on the Capitol amid the applause of the literati of Europe. Voltaire was "smothered with roses" in the crowded theatres of the Paris of his old age. But the triumph of Petrarch was the manifesto of a humanistic clique. The triumph of Voltaire was the first thunderelap of a political storm. When, on the other hand, the Romans rose to their feet in the theatre on the casual quotation of some words of Virgil's on the stage when they saluted the poet as he entered the house with the same marks of reverence which they paid to Augustus Cæsar—it was plain that some cause was at work which was not of a partisan, which was not even of a purely literary character. Perhaps it was that the minds of men were agitated by the belief that a new era was impending, that "the great order of the ages was being born anew," and in the majestic and catholic tranquillity of Virgil's song they recognised instinctively the temper of an epoch no longer of struggle but of supremacy, the first-fruits of Imperial Rome. We must at least attribute some such view to the cultivated classes of the time. That the sublime poem of Lucretius should obtain only a cold succès d'estime, while the Georgics, a more exquisite work, no doubt, but a work of so much smaller range, should be hailed as raising its author to an equality with Homer, is a disproportion too great to

be accounted for by a mere literary preference. It was a deep-seated recognition of the truly national character of Virgil's work, of his unique fitness to reflect completely all the greatness of the advancing time, which led even rival poets to predict so strenuously that the Æneid, of which no one had as yet seen a paragraph, would be co-eternal with the dominion of Rome. Stranger still it is to see how tragically the event surpassed the prophecy. "Light among the vanished ages," we may exclaim with no exaggeration, in Lord Tennyson's words—

"Star that gildest yet this phantom shore! Golden branch amid the shadows, kings and realms that set to rise no more!"

When we look at the intellectual state of Rome in the fourth and fifth centuries, our complaint is not that Virgil is forgotten, but that nothing else is remembered; that the last achievement of the "togawcaring race" is to extemporise centos from the Æneid on any given theme; that the last heads seen to rise above the flood of advancing barbarism should be those of grammarians calling themselves Menalcas and parsing *Tityre*, or calling themselves Virgilius and parsing *Arma virum*.

There is something, too, of Fate's solemn irony in the way in which, as the ancient world is re-discovered, the first words borne back to us by the muffled voice of ruin or catacomb are scattered

fragments of that poem which was the last on Rome's living lips. There is something tragic in finding Virgil's line, "So great a work it was to found the race of Rome," cut in colossal characters on the monstrous ruins of the baths of Titus; Virgil's words, "Then all were silent," look strangely in a half-finished scrawl from a wall of Pompeii's hushed and solitary homes.1 But the long tradition, as has been already said; has not continued unbroken to our own day. There have of late been many critics who have denied that the Æneid is adequately representative of the Roman commonwealth, who have been struck with the unqualified support, the absolute deification bestowed on Augustus, and have urged that the laureate who indulged in so gratuitous an adulation must be styled a court, and not a national poet.

So far as Virgil's mere support of Augustus goes, this objection, however natural to the lovers of free government, will hardly stand the test of historical inquiry. For Virgil had not to choose between Augustus and the Republic, but between Augustus and Antony. The Republic was gone for ever; and not Hannibal himself, we may surely say, was a more dangerous foe than Antony to the Roman people. No battle which that people ever fought was more thoroughly national, more decisively important, than the battle of Actium. The

<sup>1</sup> CONTICVEREOM.

name of Actium, indeed, can never waken the glory and the joy which spring to the heart at the name of Salamis. Not "Leucate's promontory afire with embattled armaments," not "Actian Apollo bending from above his bow" can stir the soul like that one trump, that morning onset, that "small ill-harboured islet, oft-haunted of dance-loving Pan." But the essence of each battle was in fact the same. Whether it were against the hosts of Susa and Ecbatana, or against "the dog Anubis" and the Egyptian queen, each battle was the triumph of Western discipline, religion, virtue, over the tide of sensuality and superstition which swept onwards from the unfathomable East.

And thus we come to the point where Virgil is, in reality, closely identified with the policy of the Augustan régime. Augustus was not himself a moral hero. But partly fortune, partly wisdom, partly a certain innate preference for order and reverence for the gods, had rendered him the only available representative, not only of the constitution and the history, but of the morals and religion of Rome. The leading pre-occupation of his official life was the restoration of national virtue. It is hard to trace the success or failure of an attempt like this among a complex society's conflicting currents of good and evil. Yet it seems that to his strenuous insistance on all of morality which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aeseh. Pers. 395.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Psyttalea. Pers. 447.

legislation can achieve, we may in some measure ascribe that moonlight of Roman virtue which mingles so long its chastened gentleness with the blaze of the Empire's lurid splendour, the smoke of its foul decay. A reform like this, however, cannot be achieved by a single ruler. And sincere cooperation was hard to find. Papius and Poppeus might pass laws against celibacy. But Papius and Poppæus themselves (as Boissier reminds us) remained obstinately unmarried. Horace might sing of praying to the gods "with our wives and children." But no one was ever less than Horace of a church-goer or a family man. Virgil, on the other hand, was one of those men whose adherence seems to give reality to any project of ethical reform. The candid and serious poet, "than whom," as Horace says, "earth bore no whiter soul," was quickly recognised by Mæcenas as the one writer who could with sincerity sound the praises of antique and ingenuous virtue. The Georgics came to the Roman world somewhat as the writings of Rousseau came to the French; they might have little apparent influence upon conduct, but they made a new element in the mind of the age, they testified at least to the continued life of pure ideas, to the undying conception of a contented labour, of an unbought and guileless joy.

But this was not yet enough. The spirit of Roman virtue needed to be evoked by a sterner spell. In

the Georgics the land of Italy had for the first time been impressively presented as a living and organic whole. And the idea of Italy's lovely primacy among all other countries was destined to subsist and grow. But it was not yet towards the name of Italy that the enthusiasm of Virgil's fellow-eitizens most readily went out. However variously expressed or shrouded, the religion of the Romans was Rome. The destiny of the Eternal City is without doubt the conception which, throughout the long roll of human history, has come nearest to the unchangeable and the divine. It is an idea majestic enough to inspire worship, and to be the guide of life and death. This religion of Rome, in its strictest sense, has formed no trifling factor in the story of the Christian Church. It appears in its strongest and most unquestioning form in the De Monarchia of Dante. It formed a vital part of the ereed of the great Italian who in our own century has risen to closest communion in thought and deed with the heroes of his country's past. But nowhere, from Ennius to Mazzini, has this faith found such expression as in Virgil's Æneid. All is there. There is nothing lacking of noble reminiscence, of high exhortation, of inspiring prophecy. Roman virtue is appealed to through the channel by which alone it could be reached and could be restored; it is renewed by majestie memories and stimulated by an endless hope. The Georgies had been the psalm

of Italy, the Æneid was the sacred book of the Religion of Rome.

It appears, then, that although Virgil doubtless lent all his weight to the personal government of Augustus, he neither chose that government in preference to any attainable form of stable freedom, nor co-operated with it in an unfitting manner, nor with an unworthy aim. There remains the question of the deification of Augustus — of the impulse given by Virgil to that worship of the emperors which ultimately became so degrading and so cruel a farce. And here, no doubt, in one passage at least, Virgil's language is such as modern taste must condemn. The frigid mythology with which the first Georgic opens is absolutely bad. It is bad as Callimachus is bad, and as every other imitation of Callimachus in Latin literature is bad too. It has, indeed, little meaning; and what meaning it has would need an astrologer to decipher. What are we to make of Tethys and of Proserpine, of Thule and of Elysium, or of the Scorpion who is willing to draw in his claws to make room for Augustus in heaven? It has, indeed, been ingeniously suggested that the true point of this strange passage may consist in a veiled but emphatic warning to Augustus not to assume the title of King, 1 (a title of which, as in Caligula's case, the Romans were far more chary than of the less practical ascription of god-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. i. 36-7. The suggestion is Mr. Raper's.

head); and, moreover, that the poet himself subsequently apologises <sup>1</sup> for the unreality of the flattering exordium in which this lesson is concealed. Still, we must regret that any passage in Virgil should require such apology. We cannot help seeing more dignity in the tone of Lucretius, whose only feeling with regard to earthly potentates was vexation at their being too busy to allow him to explain his philosophy to them as fully as he could have wished.<sup>2</sup>

The passages in the Æneid in which Augustus is prospectively deified stand on a different footing. In them he is more or less closely identified with Rome herself; he is represented as we see him in the great allegorical statue of the Vatican, -"Augustus Cæsar leading the Italians on to war, with the Senate and the people and the tutelary gods of Rome," 3 the creation of that early moment in the empire's history when it seemed as if the conflicting currents of the Commonwealth might run at length in a single channel, and the State be symbolised not unworthily in the man whom she had ehosen as her chief. And, indeed, when we consider the proportions which the worship of "Rome and the genius of Augustus" gradually assumed, the earnestness with which it was pressed on by the people in face of what seems to have been the genuine disapproval of the cautious Emperor,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. ii. 45-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lucr. i. 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A. viii. 678.

the speed with which it became, without formal change or definite installation, the practical religion of the Roman world, we shall see reason to suppose that this strange form of worship, to which Virgil gave perhaps the earliest, though in part an unconscious expression, was not the birth of a merely meaningless servility, but represented what was in fact a religious reform and a return to the oldest instincts of the Roman people.

The Roman religion, as we first hear of it, shows us an Aryan tradition already strongly modified by the Roman eharacter, by a tone of mind abstract and juristic, rather than ereative or joyous. Some of the natural powers whose worship the earliest Romans, in common with the earliest Greeks, had inherited from their Aryan ancestors had already acquired a definite quasi-human personality. These the Roman necessarily accepted as persons, though he added no fresh vividness to the conception of them. But his feeble instinct of anthropomorphism hardly went farther than this; and such deities as he himself ereated,—such tutelary powers, I should rather say, as he thought might be useful if they

<sup>1</sup> See M. Boissier's Religion Romaine on all this subject, and especially for an account of the colleges of Augustales, which were the earliest trade-guilds, the earliest representative bodies, the model followed in Christian ecclesiastical organisation, and the first religious bodies on a large scale which admitted all men, without distinction of wealth or birth, to a full share in their privileges and in their control.

happened to exist,—were individualised in the most shadowy manner. They were little more than the sublimated counterparts or correspondences of aets or beings visible here on earth. These deified abstractions were of very various magnitude and dignity, ranging from Minerva, Goddess of Memory, and Janus, God of Opening, down to the crowd of divinities little heard of outside the Indigitamenta or handy-book of the Gods, the Goddess of Going Out and the Goddess of Coming In, the God of Silver Money and his father the God of Copper Money, and the God of Speaking Intelligibly, who never made more than a single remark. As the Romans eame into eontact with other nations, especially with Greece, foreign deities were introduced; but these were identified as far as possible with the Roman deities of similar functions, and did not overthrow the balance of the old régime. But as the strange Eastern gods, with their gloomy or frenzied worships, were added to the list this quiet absorption was no longer possible. The Roman Olympus eame to resemble a shifting and turbulent Convention, in which now one and now another member,—Dionysus, Isis, Cybele,—rises tumultuously into predominance, and is in turn eclipsed by some newer arrival. This inroad of furious and conflicting superstitions had begun in Virgil's time, and the battle of Actium is for him the defeat of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Iterduca, Domiduca, Argentinus, Æsculanus, Aius Locutius.

the "monstrous forms of gods of every birth," who would have made their entry with Antony into Rome. At the same time it was hard to suggest an effective antidote for these degrading worships. gods, so to speak, of the middle period—Jupiter and Juno and the like, with a Greek personality superadded to their more abstract significance—had not vitality enough to expel the intruders from their domain. It was necessary to fall back upon a more thoroughly national and primitive conception, and to deify once more the abstraction of the one earthly existence whose greatness was overwhelmingly evident—the power of Rome. The "Fortune of the City," or Roma herself enthroned with the insignia of a Goddess, was the only queen who could overrule at once the epidemic fanaticisms of Rome and the localised cults of the provinces, and be the veritable mistress of heaven.

Nor was even she enough. Through the abstractions of the old Roman religion there had always run a thread of more intimate and personal worship. Not only had each action and each object its spiritual counterpart, but each man as well. The nature of these Lares was somewhat vaguely and obscurely conceived, but the dominant idea seems to have been that they acted as the tutelary genii of men during life, and after death became identical with their immortal part. The Roman worship of an-

cestors was indeed of a different kind from the heroworship of the Greeks. It dwelt less on the idea of superhuman help than on the idea of family continuity. The Romans had not the faith which bade the Loerians leave a place always open in their battle-ranks for the Oïlean Ajax to fill unseen; but they testified by daily offering and daily prayer to their conviction of an immanent and familiar presence which turned the home itself into a nevervacant shrine. They asked no oracle from "Amphiaraus beneath the earth;" but the images of his curule ancestors gathered round about the dead Fabius in the market-place, and welcomed him in silence as he joined the majority of his kin. It is this spirit of piety which the plot of the Æneid is designed to illustrate and to foster. Æneas has no wish to eonquer Latium. He enters it merely because he is divinely instructed that it is in Italy, the original home of his race, that he must continue the worship of his own progenitor Assaraeus and of the tutelary gods of Troy. This point achieved he asks for nothing more. He introduces the worship of Assaracus; but, it must be added, Assaracus is never heard of again. So remote and legendary a personage could not become the binding link of the Roman people. Nor had the Roman commonwealth ever yet stood in such a relation to any single family as to permit the identification of their private Lares with the Lares Præstites of the city of Rome. But

the case was altered now. One family had risen to an isolated pre-eminence which no Roman had attained before. And by a singular chance this same family combined a legendary with an actual primacy. Augustus was at once the representative of Assaracus and the master of the Roman world. The Lares of Augustus were at once identical in a certain sense with Augustus himself, and with the public Penates worshipped immemorially in their chapel in the heart of the city. And if, as is no doubt the case, the worship of Roma and the Lares augusti could claim in Virgil its half-unconscious prophet, we may reply that this worship, however afterwards debased, was in its origin and essence neither novel nor servile, but national and antique; and that until the rise of Christianity, towards which Virgil stands in a yet more singular anticipatory relation, it would have been hard to say what other form of religion could at once have satisfied the ancient instincts and bound together the remote extremities of the Roman world.

The relation of Virgil to Christianity, to which we now come, is an unexpectedly complex matter. To understand it clearly, we must attempt to disentangle some of the threads of religious emotion and belief which intertwine in varying proportions throughout his successive poems.

"Reared among the woods and thickets," an Italian country child, the counterpart of Words-

worth in the union of spiritual aspiration with rustie simplicity in which his early years were spent, Virgil, like Wordsworth, seemed singled out as the poet and priest of nature. And directly imitated as his Eelogues are from Theocritus, a eloser investigation reveals the essential differences between the nature of the two poets. The idylls of Theocritus are glowing descriptions of pastoral life, written by a man who lives and enjoys that life, and cares for no other ideal. The Eclogues of Virgil have less of consistency, but they have more of purpose. They are an advoeacy, none the less impassioned because indirect, of the charm of seenery and simple pleasures addressed to a society leading a life as remote from nature as the life of the French court in the days of Rousseau. Theoeritus, delighting in everything eonnected with rural life, loves to paint with vigour even its least dignified scenes. Virgil—whom the Neapolitans called the Maid, and who shrank aside when any one looked at him—is grotesquely artificial when he attempts to render the eoarse badinage of country clowns. On the other hand, where the emotion in Theocritus is pure and worthy, Virgil is found at his side, with so delieate a reproduction of his effects, that it is sometimes hard to say whether the Greek or the Latin passage seems the more spontaneous and exquisite. And there is a whole region of higher emotions in which the Latin poet is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare E. viii. 37, with Theocr. xi. 25.

alone. All Virgil's own are those sudden touches of exalted friendship, of exquisite tenderness, of the sadness and the mystery of love, which seem to murmur amid the bright flow of his pastoral poetry of the deep source from whence it springs, as his own Eridanus had his fountain in Paradise and the underworld. All Virgil's own, too, is the comprehending vision, the inward eye which looks back through all man's wars and tumult to the new-created mountains and the primal spring, and that wise passiveness to which nature loves to offer her consolation, which fills so often the interspace between faiths decayed and faiths re-risen with a

<sup>2</sup> e.g. E. iv. 60. <sup>3</sup> e.g. E. viii. 47. <sup>4</sup> Λ. vi. 658. <sup>5</sup> E. vi. 40. <sup>6</sup> G. ii. 338.

<sup>1</sup> e.g. E. vi. 64. The whole of the tenth ecloque is an exquisite example of the half-tender, half-sportive sympathy by which one friend can best strengthen another in the heart's lesser troubles, and the blank when light loves have flown. The delicate humour of this eclogue has perplexed the German commentators, who suggest (1) either that Virgil meant it as a parody on the fifth eclogue, or (2) that Gallus was in fact dead when it was written, and that the poem,—ostensibly composed to console him for being jilted by an actress,-was, in reality, intended as a sort of funeral psalm. I may notice here the improbability of the story that Virgil altered the end of the Fourth Georgic, omitting a panegyric on Gallus after Gallus' disgrace and death. The Georgics were published B.C. 29, and Gallus died B.C. 26. It is hard to believo that a long passage, constituting the conclusion and crown of the most popular and best known poem that had ever appeared in Rome, and deriving added interest from the political seandal involved, should, after being three years before the public, have perished so utterly that not a line, not a fragment of a line, not an allusion to the passage, should anywhere remain.

tranquillised abeyance of doubt and fear. "Pan and old Silvanus and the sister nymphs;" Silenus keeping the shepherds spell-bound till twilight with his cosmic song; Proteus uttering his unwilling oracles upon the solitary shore; Clymene singing of love in the caverned water-world amid the rivers' roaring flow;—what are all these but aspects and images of that great mother who has for all her children a message which sometimes seems only the sweeter because its meaning can be so dimly known?

Peculiar to Virgil, too, is that tone of expectation which recurs again and again to the hope of some approaching union of mankind beneath a juster heaven, which bids the shepherd look no longer on the old stars with worn-out promises, but on a star new-risen and more benign; which tells in that mystical poem to which scholars know no key, how the pure and stainless shepherd dies and is raised to heaven, and begins from thence a gentle sway which forbids alike the wild beast's ravin and the hunter's crucl guile.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>quot;O great good news thro' all the woods that ran!
O psalm and praise of shepherds and of Pan!
The hills unshorn to heaven their voices fling;
Desert and wilderness rejoice and sing;

<sup>&#</sup>x27;A god he is! a god we guessed him then!

Peace on the earth he sends and joy to men.'"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E. v. 58.

But it is, of course, the Fourth, or Messianic Eclogue (known to the English reader in Pope's paraphrase, Ye nymphs of Solyma, begin the song), which has formed the principal point of union between Virgil and the new faith. In every age of Christianity, from Augustine to Abelard, from the Christmas sermon of Pope Innocent III. to the Prelectiones Academica of the late Mr. Keble, divines and fathers of the Church have asserted the inspiration, and claimed the prophecies of this marvellous poem. It was on the strength of this poem that Virgil's likeness was set among the carven seers in the Cathedral of Zamora. It was on the strength of this poem that in the Cathedrals of Limoges and Rheims the Christmas appeal was made: "O Maro, prophet of the Gentiles, bear thou thy witness unto Christ;" and the stately semblance of the Roman gave answer in the words which tell how "the new progeny has descended from heaven on high." The prophecy can claim œcumenical acceptance, regenerative efficacy. The poet Statius, the martyr Secundianus, were said to have been made Christians by its perusal. And at the supreme moment of the transference and reconstruction of the civil and spiritual authority of the earth, the Emperor Constantine in his oration, "inscribed to the Assembly of Saints and dedicated to the Church of God," commented on this poem in a Greek version, as forming a link between the old and the new faiths,

as explaining the change of form, and justifying the historical continuity, of the religion of the civilised world.

And there is nothing in this which need either surprise or shock us.<sup>1</sup> For, in reality, the link between Virgil and Christianity depended not on a

<sup>1</sup> There is, no doubt, a startling antithesis between the real and the supposed object of Virgil's prophecy. For there can surely be little doubt (as Bishop Louth, Boissier, etc., have argued) that the Fourth Eclogue was written in anticipation of the birth of the child of Augustus (then Octavianus) and Scribonia-the notorious Julia, born B.C. 39, shortly after the peace of Brandusium. The words "te consule" applied to Pollio make it most unlikely that he was the child's father. On the other hand, it would have been quite in keeping with Virgil's stately courtesy to address to Pollio. Antony's representative and Virgil's friend, a congratulatory poem on the birth in his consulship of a child to Augustus, with whom Antony had just been reconciled. Virgil was from the first one of the most ardent supporters of Augustus, and though the young heir of Cæsar was not as yet clearly the first man in Rome, still, the prestige of the Julian family alone could make the expressions of the poem seem other than extravagant. Virgil no doubt desired to associate Pollio as closely as possible with the hopes of the Roman commonwealth. But to speak of "a world at peace through Pollio's virtue" would have been no less than absurd. Moreover, the phrase, "thy Apollo is in the ascendant now," points clearly to Augustus, whose patron Apollo was. The reason why the riddle was not explained is obvious. The expected child turned out to be a girl-and a girl who perhaps gave rise to more scandal than any other member of her sex. It is singular that the embarrassing failure of the prediction at the time has been the source of its extraordinary reputation afterwards, when the horoscope composed for Julia was fulfilled in Jesus Christ. Like the arrow of Aeestes (A. v. 520), the prophecy seemed to consume away in the clouds and burn itself into empty air-

> "Till days far off its mighty meaning knew, And seers long after sang the presage true."

misapplied prediction but on a moral sequence, a spiritual conformity. There was a time when both the apologists and the adversaries of Christianity were disposed to ignore its connection with preceding faiths. Exaggerated pictures of its miraculous diffusion were met by the sneers of Gibbon at the contagious spread of superstitions among the ruins of a wiser world. The tone of both parties has altered as historical criticism has advanced. It is recognised that it is only "in the fulness of time" that a great religious change can come; that men's minds must be prepared for new convictions by a need which has been deeply felt, and a habit of thought which has been slowly acquired. And in Virgil's time, as has already been said, the old dogmas were tending to disappear. But while in the lower minds they were corrupting into superstition, in the higher they were evaporating into a clearer air. The spiritual element was beginning to assert itself over the ceremonial. Instincts of catholic charity were beginning to put to shame the tribal narrowness of the older faith. Philosophy was issuing from the lecture-room into the forum and the street.

And thus it is that Virgil's poems lie at the watershed of religions. Filled as they are with Roman rites and Roman tradition, they contain also another element, gentler, holier, till then almost unknown; a change has passed over them like the

change which passes over a Norwegian midnight when the rose of evening becomes silently the rose of dawn.

It is strange to trace the alternate attraction and repulsion which the early Christians felt towards Virgil. Sometimes they allegorised the Æneid into a kind of Siege of Man-soul, in which the fall, the temptations, the deliverance of man, are recorded in a figure. Sometimes they compiled Christianiscd centos from his poems,—works which obtained such authority that Pope Gelasius found it necessary to pronounce ex eathedrâ that they formed no part of the canon of Scripture. Sometimes, as in Augustine, we watch the conflict in a higher air; we see the ascetic absorption in the new faith at war with the truer instinct, which warns him that all noble emotions are in reality mutually supporting, and that we debase instead of ennobling our devotion to one supreme ideal if we shrink from recognising the goodness and greatness of ideals which are not to us so dear. But even in the wild legends which in the Middle Ages cluster so thickly round the name of Virgil, even in the distorted fancies of the hamlet or the eloister, we can diseern some glimmering perception of an actual truth. It is not true, as the Spanish legend tells us, that "Virgil's eyes first saw the star of Bethlehem;" but it is true that in none more fully than in him is found that temper which offers all worldly wealth, all human learning, at the feet of Purity, and for the knowledge of Truth. It is not true that Virgil was a magician; that he clove the rock; that he wrought a gigantic figure which struck a note of warning at the farseen onset of tumult or of war; but it is true that he was one of those who "like giants stand, to sentinel enchanted land," whose high thoughts have caught and reflect the radiance of some mysterious and unrisen day.

Although the interest which subsequent ages have taken in the religion of Virgil has turned mainly upon his relation to Christianity, he would himself, of course, have judged in another light the growth of his inward being. A celebrated passage in the Georgics has revealed to us his mood of mind in a decisive hour. To understand it we must refer to the strongest influence which his youth was destined to undergo. When Virgil was on the threshold of life a poem was published which, perhaps, of all single monuments of Roman genius, conveys to us the most penetrating conception of the irresistible force of Rome. There is no need to deck Lucretius with any attributes not his own. We may grant that his poetry is often uncouth, his science confused, his conception of human existence steeped in a lurid gloom. But no voice like his has ever proclaimed the nothingness of "momentary man," no prophet so convincing has ever thundered in our ears the appalling Gospel of Death. Few minds, perhaps, that were not stiffly cased in foregone conclusions have ever met the storm of his passionate eloquence without bending before the blast, without doubting for an hour of their inmost instincts, and half believing that "as we felt no woe in times long gone, when from all the earth to battle the Carthaginians came," so now it may be man's best and only hope to quench in annihilation his unsated longings and his deep despair.

On Virgil's nature, disposed at once to vague sadness and to profound inquiry, the six books on the Nature of Things produced their maximum effect. Alike in his thought and language we see the Lucretian influence mingling with that spirit of natural religion which seems to have been his own earliest bent; and at last, in the passage above referred to, he pauses between the two hypotheses, each alike ineapable of proof; that which assumes that because we see in nature an impersonal order, therefore there is no more to see, and that which assumes that because we feel within us a living spirit, the universe, too, lives around us and breathes with the divine.

"If thou thy secrets grudge me, nor assign So high a lore to such a heart as mine,— Still, Nature, let me still thy beauty know, Love the clear streams that thro' thy valleys flow,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. ii. 490. The last two lines of the version here given merely summarise a passage too long for quotation.

To many a forest lawn that love proclaim,
Breathe the full soul, and make an end of fame!
Ah me, Spercheos! oh to watch alway
On Taygeta the Spartan girls at play!
Or cool in Hæmus' gloom to feel me laid,
Deep in his branching solitudes of shade!

Happy the man whose steadfast eye surveys The whole world's truth, its hidden works and ways,— Happy, who thus beneath his feet has thrown All fears and fates, and Hell's insatiate moan!— Blest, too, were he the sister nymphs who knew, Pan, and Sylvanus, and the sylvan crew;— On kings and crowds his careless glance he flings, And scorns the treacheries of crowds and kings; Far north the leaguered hordes are hovering dim; Danube and Dacian have no dread for him; No shock of laws can fright his steadfast home, Nor realms in ruin nor all the fates of Rome. Round him no glare of envied wealth is shed, From him no piteous beggar prays for bread; Earth, Earth herself the unstinted gift will give, Her trustful children need but reap and live; She hath man's peace 'mid all the worldly stir, One with himself he is, if one with her."

And henceforth without fanatical blindness, but with a slow deliberate fervour, he elects to act upon the latter opinion; and from this time we find little trace of the influence of Lucretius in his poems, except it may be some quickening of that delight in the hidden things of nature which makes the world's creation Iopas', as it was Silenus' song;

some deepening of that mournful wonder with which he regards the contrast between the hopes and fates of men.

And is there, then, anything in Virgil's creed more definite than this vague spirituality? Is there any moral government of the world of which he can speak to us from the heart? If so, it is not in connection with the old gods of Rome, for they have lost their individual life. They are no longer like those gods of Homer's, who "sat on the brow of Callicolone," awful in their mingling of aloofness and reality, of terror and subduing charm. Jove's frowns, Cytherea's caresses, in the Æneid assume alike an air of frigid routine. And in the unfinished later books the references to the heavenly councilboard are of so curt and formal a character that they can deceive no one. It is as if the poet felt bound to say, "that the gods had taken the matter into their most serious consideration," "that it was with great regret that the gods found themselves unable to concede a longer term of existence to the Daunian hero," while all the time he was well aware that the gods had never been consulted in the matter at all.

And even that more real and comprehensive religion of Rome, the inspiring belief in the destinies of the Eternal City, lacked that which is lacking to all such religions, whether their object be one city

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. xii. 843.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A. xii. 725.

only or the whole corporate eommonwealth of men. There was no place in it for individual recompense; it left unanswered the imperious demand of the moral sense that not one sentient soul shall be created to agony that others may be blest. Such faiths may inspire ceremonial, may prompt to action, but they eannot justify the ways of God to man, nor satisfy or control the heart.

It is well known that in the central passage of the Æneid, the speech of the shade of Anchises to Æneas in Elysium, Virgil has abruptly relinquished his efforts to revive or harmonise legendary beliefs, and has propounded an answer to the riddle of the universe in an unexpectedly definite form. It would be interesting to trace the elements of Stoic, Platonic, Pythagorean thought which combine in this remarkable passage. But such an inquiry would be beyond our present seope, and must in any case rest largely upon conjecture, for Virgil, who seems to have been working upon this exposition till the last,<sup>2</sup> and who meant, as we know, to devote to philosophy the rest of his life after the completion of the Æneid, has given us no indication of the process by which he reached these results—results singular as contrasting so widely with the official religion of which he was in some sort the representative, yet which may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. vi. 724-755.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See A. vi. 743-7, as indicating that the arrangement of this passage is incomplete.

surprise us less when we consider their close coincidence with the independent conclusions of many thinkers of ancient and modern times. A brief description of the passage referred to will fitly conclude the present essay.

Æneas, warned of Anchises in a vision, has penetrated the underworld to consult his father's He finds Anchises surrounded by an innumerable multitude of souls, who congregate on His father tells him that these souls Lethe's shore. are drinking the waters of oblivion, and will then return to live again on earth. Æneas is astonished at this, and the form of the question which he asks 1 is in itself highly significant. Compared, for example, with the famous contrast which the Homeric Achilles draws between even the poorest life on happy earth and the forlorn kingship of the shades, it indicates that a change has taken place which of all speculative changes is perhaps the most important, that the ideal has been shifted from the visible to the invisible, from the material to the spiritual world—

"O father, must I deem that souls can pray
Hence to turn backward to the worldly day?
Change for that weight of flesh these forms more fair,
For that sun's sheen this paradisal air?"

The speech of Anchises in answer is in a certain sense the most Virgilian passage in Virgil. All his characteristics appear in it in their highest intensity; the pregnant allusiveness, the oracular concentration, the profound complexity, and through them all that unearthly march of song, that "Elysian beauty, melancholy grace," which made him the one fit master for that other soul whom he "mise dentro alle segrete cose," to whom in face of purgatory's fiercest fire 1 he promised the reward of constancy, and spoke of the redemptions of love.

The translator may well hesitate before such a passage as this. But as a knowledge of the Theodicy here unfolded is absolutely necessary to the English reader who would understand Virgil aright, some version shall be given here—

"One Life through all the immense creation runs,
One Spirit is the moon's, the sea's, the sun's;
All forms in the air that fly, on the earth that creep,
And the unknown nameless monsters of the deep,—
Each breathing thing obeys one Mind's control,
And in all substance is a single Soul.
First to each seed a fiery force is given;
And every creature was begot in heaven;
Only their flight must hateful flesh delay
And gross limbs moribund and cumbering clay.
So from that hindering prison and night forlorn
Thy hopes and fears, thy joys and woes are born,
Who only seest, till death dispart thy gloom,
The true world glow through crannies of a tomb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Purg. xxvii. 20

Nor all at once thine ancient ills decay,

Nor quite with death thy plagues are purged away;
In wondrous wise hath the iron entered in,
And through and through thee is a stain of sin;
Which yet again in wondrous wise must be
Cleansed of the fire, abolished in the sea;
Ay, thro' and thro' that soul unclothed must go
Such spirit-winds as where they list will blow;
O hovering many an age! for ages bare,
Void in the void and impotent in air!

Then, since his sins unshriven the sinner wait,
And to each soul that soul herself is Fate,
Few to heaven's many mansions straight are sped
(Past without blame that Judgment of the dead),
The most shall monrn till tarrying Time hath wrought
The extreme deliverance of the airy thought,—
Hath left unsoiled by fear or foul desire
The spirit's self, the elemental fire.

And last to Lethe's stream on the ordered day
These all God summoneth in great array;
Who from that draught reborn, no more shall know
Memory of past or dread of destined woe,
But all shall there the ancient pain forgive,
Forget their life, and will again to live."

The shade of Anchises is silent here. But let us add some lines from the Georgics, in which Virgil carries these souls yet farther, and to the term of their wondrous way—

"Then since from God those lesser lives began, And the eager spirits entered into man,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. iv. 223.

To God again the enfranchised soul must tend, He is her home, her Author is her End; No death is hers; when earthly eyes grow dim Starlike she soars and Godlike melts in Him."

But why must we recur to an earlier poem for the consummation which was most of all needed here? and why, at the end of the sixth book, has the poet struck that last strange note of doubt and discord, dismissing Æneas from the shades by the deluding Ivory Gate, proclaiming, as it were, like Plato, his Theodicy as "neither false nor true," as a dream among dreams that wander and "visions unbelievable and fair?" We turn, like Dante, in hope of the wise guide's reply. But he has left us at last alone. He has led us to the region "where of himself he can see no more;"2 we must expect from him no longer "either word or sign." He parts from us in the "antelucan splendour," and at the gate of heaven, at the very moment when a hundred angels sing aloud with fuller meaning his own words of solemn welcome and unforgetful love.3 To Dante all the glory of paradise could not avail to keep his eyes from scorching tears at his "sweetest father's" sad withdrawal and uncompleted way:we too, perhaps, may feel mournfully the lot of man as we think of him on whose yearning spirit all revelation that nature, or that science, or that faith

Purg. xxx. 49.
 Purg. xxvii. 129, 139.
 Purg. xxx. 21.

could show, fell only as day's last glory on the fading vision of the Carthaginian queen 1—

"For thrice she turned, and thrice had fain dispread
Her dying arms to lift her dying head;
Thrice in high heaven, with dimmed eyes wandering
wide,

She sought the light, and found the light, and sighed."

So was it with those who by themselves should not be made perfect; they differed from the saints of Christendom not so much in the emotion which they offered as in the emotion with which they were repaid; it was elevation but it was not ecstasy; it came to them not as hope but as calm. What touch of unattainable holiness was lacking for their reception into Dante's Paradisal Rose? what ardonr of love was still unknown to them which should have been their foretaste and their pledge of heaven? "Dark night enwraps their heads with hovering gloom," and from this man, their solitary rearguard, and on the very confines of the day, we can part only in words of such sad reverence as salute in his own song that last and most divinely glorified of the inhabitants of the underworld 2\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>quot;Give, give me lilies; thick the flowers be laid To greet that mighty, melancholy shade; With such poor gifts let me his praise maintain, And mourn with useless tears, and crown in vain."

## MARCUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS.

"Αγου δέ μ', & Ζεῦ, καὶ σύγ' ἡ Πεπρωμένη, ὅποι ποθ' ὑμῖν ἐιμὶ διατεταγμένος 
ὡς ἔψομαί γ' ἄοκνος ἢν δὲ μὴ θέλω, 
κακὸς γενόμενος ὀυδὲν ἦττον ἔψομαι.

CLEANTHES.

Some apology may seem to be due from one who ventures to treat once again of Marcus Aurelius Antoninus. Few characters in history have been oftener or more ably discussed during the present age, an age whose high aims and uncertain creed have found at once impulse and sympathy in the meditations of the crowned philosopher. And, finally, the most subtle and attractive of living historians has closed his strange portrait-gallery with this majestic figure, accounting that the sun of Christianity was not fully risen till it had seen the paling of the old world's last and purest star.

The subject has lost; no doubt, its literary freshness, but its moral and philosophical significance is still unexhausted. Even an increased interest, indeed, may be felt at the present time in considering

VOL. I.

either to ancient or to modern religious thought. For he has been made, as it were, the saint and exemplar of Agnosticism, the type of all such virtue and wisdom as modern criticism can allow to be sound or permanent. It will be the object of the following essay to suggest some reflections on the position thus assigned to him, dwelling only incidentally, and as briefly as may be consistent with clearness, on the more familiar aspects of his opinions and his career.

Character and circumstances, rather than talent or originality, give to the thoughts of Marcus Aurelius their especial value and charm. And although the scanty notices of his life which have come down to us have now been often repeated, it seems necessary to allude to some of the more characteristic of them if we would understand the spiritual outlook of one who is not a closet-philosopher moralising in vacuo, but the son of Pius, the father of Commodus, the master of a declining world.

The earliest statue which we know of Marcus represents him as a youth offering sacrifice. The earliest story of him, before his adoption into the Imperial family, is of his initiation, at eight years old, as a Salian priest of Mars, when the crowns flung by the other priests fell here and there around the recumbent statue, but the crown which young Marcus threw to him lit and rested on the war-

god's head. The boy-pricst, we are told, could soon conduct all the ceremonies of the Salian cult without the usual prompter, for he served in all its offices, and knew all its hymns by heart. And it well became him thus to begin by exhibiting the characteristic piety of a child;—who passes in his growing years through the forms of worship, as of thought, which have satisfied his remote forefathers, and ripens himself for his adult philosophies with the consecrated tradition of the past.

Our next glimpse is of the boy growing into manhood in the household of his adopted father, Antoninus Pius, whom he is already destined to succeed on the Imperial throne. One of the lessons for which Marcus afterwards revered his father's memory was the lesson of simplicity maintained in the palace of princes, "far removed from the habits of the rich." The correspondence between the Imperial boy and his tutor, Fronto, shows us how pronounced this simplicity was, and casts a curious side-light on the power of the Roman Emperor, who can impress his own individuality with so uncompromising a hand not only on the affairs of the empire, but on the personal habits of his court and entourage. In the modern world the more absolute a monarch is in one way, the more is he in another way fettered and constrained; for his absolutism relies on an artificial prestige which can dispense with no means of impressing the vulgar mind. And

in freer countries there is always a set of necessary persons, an habitual tone of manners, which the sovereign cannot afford to ignore. A George III. may lead a frugal family life, but he is forced to conciliate and consort with social leaders of habits quite opposite to his own. A William IV. who fails to do this adequately is pronounced to be "not in society." Antoninus Pius might certainly have been said to be "out of society," but that there was no society for him to be in except his own. The "optimates," whose opinion Cicero treats as the acknowledged standard a group of notables enjoying social as well as official pre-eminence—had practically eeased to exist. Even the Senate, whose dignity the Antonines so sedulously cherished, consisted mainly of new and lowborn men. Everything depended on the individual tastes of the ruler. Play-actors were at the head of society under Nero, spies under Domitian, philosophers under the Antonines.

The letters of the young Mareus to Fronto are very much such letters as might be written at the present day by the home-taught son of an English squire to a private tutor to whom he was much attached. They are, however, more effusive than an English style allows, and although Marcus in his youth was a suecessful athlete, they seldom refer to games or hunting. I translate one of them as a specimen of the rest:—

"I slept late this morning on account of my cold, but it is better. From five in the morning till

nine I partly read Cato on Agriculture, and partly wrote, not quite such rubbish as yesterday. Then I greeted my father, and then soothed my throat with honey-water without absolutely gargling. Then I attended my father as he offered sacrifice. Then to breakfast. What do you think I ate? only a little bread, though I saw the others devouring beans, onions, and sardines! Then we went out to the vintage, and got hot and merry, but left a few grapes still hanging, as the old poet says, 'atop on the topmost bough.' At noon we got home again; I worked a little, but it was not much good. Then I chatted a long time with my mother as she sat on her bed. My conversation consisted of, 'What do you suppose my Fronto is doing at this moment?' to which she answered, 'And my Gratia, what is she doing?' and then I, 'And our little birdie, Gratia the less?' And while we were talking and quarrelling as to which of us loved all of you the best, the gong sounded, which meant that my father had gone across to the bath. So we bathed and dined in the oilpress room. I don't mean that we bathed in the pressroom; but we bathed and then dined, and amused ourselves with listening to the peasants' banter. And now that I am in my own room again, before I roll over and snore, I am fulfilling my promise and giving an account of my day to my dear tutor; and if I could love him better than I do I would consent to miss him even more than I miss him now. Take care of yourself, my best and dearest Fronto, wherever you are. The fact is that I love you, and you are far away."

Among the few hints which the correspondence contains of the pupil's rank is one curiously characteristic of his times and his destiny. Tutor and pupil, it seems, were in the habit of sending to each other "hypotheses," or imaginary cases, for the sake of practice in dealing with embarrassing circumstances as they arose. Marcus puts to Fronto the following "hard case": "A Roman consul at the public games changes his consular dress for a gladiator's, and kills a lion in the amphitheatre before the assembled people. What is to be done to him?" The puzzled Fronto contents himself with replying that such a thing could not possibly happen. But the boy's prevision was true. A generation later this very thing was done by a man who was not only a Roman consul, but a Roman Emperor, and the son of Marcus himself.

These were Marcus' happiest days. The companionship of Pius was a school of all the virtues. His domestic life with Faustina, if we are to believe contemporary letters rather than the scandal of the next century, was, at first at any rate, a model of happiness and peace. Marcus was already forty years old when Pius died. The nineteen years which remained to him were mainly occupied in driving back Germanic peoples from the northern frontiers of the empire. This labour was interrupted in A.D. 175 by the revolt of Avidius Cassius, an event which Marcus employed as a great occasion for magnanimity. The story is one which some dramatist might well seize upon, and show, with a

183

truer groundwork than Corneille in Cinna, how impossible is resentment to the philosophic soul. But the moment in these latter years which may be selected as most characteristic was perhaps that of the departure of Marcus to Germany in A.D. 178 for his last and sternest war. That great irruption of the Marcomanni was compared by subsequent historians to the invasion of Hannibal. It was in fact, and it was dimly felt to be, the beginning of the end. The terrified Romans resorted to every expedient which could attract the favour of heaven or fortify the spirit of man. The Emperor threw a blood-stained spear from the temple of Mars towards the unknown North, invoking thus for the last time in antique fashion the tutelary divinity of Rome. The images of all the gods were laid on couches in the sight of men, and that holy banquet was set before them which constituted their worshippers' most solemn appeal. But no sacrifices henceforth were to be for long effectual, nor omens favourable again; they could only show the "Roman peace" no longer sacred, the "Roman world" no longer stretching "past the sun's year-long way," but Janus' temple-doors for ever open, and Terminus receding upon Rome. Many new rites were also performed, many foreign gods were approached with strange expiations. But the strangest feature in this religious revival lay in an act of the Emperor himself. He was entreated, says Vulcatius, to give

a parting address to his subjects before he set out into the wilderness of the north; and for three days he expounded his philosophy to the people of Rome. The anecdote is a strange one, but hardly in itself improbable. It accords so well with Marcus' trust in the power of reason, his belief in the duty of laying the truth before men! One can imagine the sincere gaze, such as his coins show to us; the hand, as in the great equestrian statue of the Capitol, uplifted, as though to bless; the countenance controlled, as his biographers tell us, to exhibit neither joy nor pain; the voice and diction, not loud nor striking, but grave and clear, as he bade his hearers "reverence the dæmon within them," and "pass from one unselfish action to another, with memory of God." Like the fabled Arthur, he was, as it were, the conscience amid the warring passions of his knights; like Arthur, he was himself going forth to meet "death, or he knew not what mysterious doom."

For indeed his last years are lost in darkness. A few anecdotes tell of his failing body and resolute will; a few bas-reliefs give in fragments a confused story of the wilderness and of war. We see marshes and forests, bridges and battles, captive Sarmatians brought to judgment, and Marcus still with his hand uplifted as though bestowing pardon or grace.

The region in which these last years were spent is to this day one of the most melancholy in Europe. The forces of nature run to waste without use or beauty. The great Danube spreads himself languidly between uncertain shores. As it was in the days of Marcus so is it now; the traveller from Vienna eastward still sees the white mist cling to the desolate river-terraces, the clouds of wild-fowl swoop and settle among the reedy islands, and along the bays and promontories of the brimming stream.

But over these years hung a shadow darker than could be cast by any visible foe. Plague had become endemic in the Roman world. The pestilence brought from Asia by Verus in A.D. 166 had not yet abated; it had destroyed already (as it would seem) half the population of the Empire; it was achieving its right to be considered by careful historians as the most terrible calamity which has ever fallen upon men. Destined, as it were, to sever race from race and era from era, the plague struck its last blow against the Roman people upon the person of the Emperor himself. He died in the camp, alone. "Why weep for me," were his last words of stern self-suppression, "and not think rather of the pestilence, and of the death of all?"

When the news of his death reached Rome few tears, we are told, were shed. For it seemed to the people that Marcus, like Marcellus, had been but lent to the Roman race; it was natural that he should pass back again from the wilderness to his celestial home. Before the official honours had been

paid to him the Senate and people by acclamation at his funeral saluted him as "The Propitious God." No one, says the chronicler, thought of him as Emperor any more; but the young men called on "Marcus, my father," the men of middle age on "Marcus, my brother," the old men on "Marcus, my son." Homo homini deus est, si suum officium sciut—and it may well be that those who thus honoured and thus lamented him had never known a truer son or brother, father or god.

It does not fall within the scope of this essay to enumerate in detail the measures by which Marcus had earned the gratitude of the Empire. But it is important to remember that neither war nor philosophy had impaired his activity as an administrator. Politically his reign, like that of Pius, was remarkable for his respectful treatment of the senatorial order. Instead of regarding senators as the natural objects of imperial jealousy, or prey of imperial avarice, he endeavoured by all means to raise their dignity and consideration. Some of them he employed as a kind of privy council, others as governors When at Rome he attended every meeting of the Senate; and even when absent in Campania he would travel back expressly to be present at any important debate; nor did he ever leave the councilhall till the sitting was adjourned.

While Marens thus attempted to revive a responsible upper class, he was far from neglecting the

interests of the poor. He developed the scheme of state nurture and education for needy free-born children which the Flavian emperors had begun. He reformed the local government of Italy, and made more careful provision against the recurring danger of scarcity. He instituted the "tutelary prætorship" which was to watch over the rights of orphans — a class often unjustly treated at Rome. And he fostered and supervised that great development of civil and criminal law which, under the Antonines, was steadily giving protection to the minor, justice to the woman, rights to the slave, and transforming the stern maxims of Roman procedure into a fit basis for the jurisprudence of the modern world.

But indeed the true life and influence of Marcus had scarcely yet begun. In his case, as in many others, it was not the main occupation, the ostensible business of his life, which proved to have the most enduring value. His most effective hours were not those spent in his long adjudications, his ceaseless battles, his strenuous ordering of the concerns of the Roman world. Rather they were the hours of solitude and sadness, when, "among the Quadi," "on the Granua," "at Carnuntum," he consoled his lonely spirit by jotting down in fragmentary sentences the principles which were his guide through life. The little volume was preserved by some fortunate accident. For many centuries it was accounted

as a kind of curiosity of literature—as heading the brief list of the writings of kings. From time to time some earnest spirit discovered that the help given by the little book was of surer quality than he could find in many a volume which promised One and another student was moved to translate it-from old Gataker of Rotherhithe, completing the work in his seventy-eighth year, as his best preparation for death, to "Cardinal Francis Barberini the elder, who dedicated the translation to his soul, in order to make it redder than his purple at the sight of the virtues of this Gentile." 1 But the complete success of the book was reserved for the present century. I will quote one passage only as showing the position which it has taken among some schools of modern thought—a passage in which a writer celebrated for his nice distinctions and balanced praise has spoken of the Meditations in terms of more unmixed eulogy than he has ever bestowed elsewhere:

"Véritable Evangile éternel," says M. Renan, "le livre des Pensées ne vieillira jamais, ear il n'affirme aucun dogme. L'Evangile a vieilli en certaines parties; la science ne permet plus d'admettre la naïve conception du surnaturel qui en fait la base. Le surnaturel n'est dans les Pensées qu'une petite tache insignifiante, qui n'atteint pas la merveilleuse beauté du fond. La

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the preface to Mr. Long's admirable translation. The quotations from the *Meditations* in this essay are given partly in Mr. Long's words.

science pourrait détruire Dieu et l'âme, que le livre des Pensées resterait jeune encore de vie et de vérité. La religion de Marc-Aurèle, comme le fut par moments celle de Jésus, est la religion absolue, celle qui résulte du simple fait d'une haute conscience morale placée en face de l'univers. Elle n'est ni d'une race ni d'un pays. Aucune révolution, aucun progrès, aucune découverte ne pourront la changer."

What then, we may ask, and how attained to, was the wisdom which is thus highly praised? How came it that a man of little original power, in an age of rhetoric and commonplace, was able to rise to the height of so great an argument, and to make of his most secret ponderings the religious manual of a far-distant world? This question can scarcely be answered without a few preliminary reflections on the historical development of religion at Rome.

Among all the civilised religions of antiquity the Roman might well seem the least congenial either to the beliefs or to the emotions of modern times. From the very first it bears all the marks of a political origin. When the antiquarian Varro treats first of the state and then of the gods, "because in order that gods may be established states must first exist," he is but retracing faithfully the real genesis of the cult of Rome. Composed of elements borrowed from various quarters, it dealt with all in a legal, external, unimaginative spirit.

The divination and ghost-religion, which it drew from the Etruscans and other primitive sources, survived in the state-augury and in the domestic worship of the Lares, only in a formal and halfhearted way. The nature-religion, which came from the Aryan forefathers of Rome, grew frigid indeed when it was imprisoned in the Indigitamenta, or Official Handy-book of the Gods. It is not to Rome, though it may often be to Italy, that the anthropologist must look for instances of those quaint rites which form in many countries the oldest existing links between eivilised and primitive conceptions of the operations of an unseen Power. It is not from Rome that the poet must hope for fresh developments of those exquisite and unconscious allegories, which even in their most hackneyed reproduction still breathe on us the glory of the early world. The most enthusiastic of pagans or neo-pagans could searcely reverence with much emotion the botanical accuracy of Nodotus, the god of Nodes, and Volutina, the goddess of Petioles, nor tremble before the terrors of Spiniensis and Robigus, the austere Powers of Blight and Brambles, nor eagerly implore the favour of Stercutius and Sterquilinus, the beneficent deities of Manure.1

This shadowy system of divinities is a mere

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Of some of these Powers it is hard to say whether they are to be considered as celestial or the reverse. Such are Carnea, the Goddess of Embonpoint, and Genius Portorii Publici, the Angel of Indirect Taxation.

elaboration of the primitive notion that religion consists in getting whatever can be got from the gods, and that this must be done by asking the right personages in the proper terms. The boast of historian or poet that the old Romans were "most religious mortals," or that they "surpassed in piety the gods themselves," refers entirely to punctuality of outward observance, considered as a definite quid pro quo for the good things desired. It is not hard to be "more pious than the gods" if piety on our part consists in asking decorously for what we want, and piety on their part in immediately granting it.

It is plain that it was not in this direction that the Romans found a vent for the reverence and the self-devotion in which their character was assuredly not deficient. Their true worship, their true picty, were reserved for a more concrete, though still a vast ideal. As has been often said, the religion of the Romans was Rome. Her true saints were her patriots, Curtius and Scavola, Horatius, Regulus, Cato. Her "heaven-descended maxim" was not  $\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\theta\iota$   $\sigma\epsilon a\upsilon\tau\hat{\delta}\nu$ , but Delenda est Carthago. But a concrete idea must necessarily lose in fixedness what it gains in actuality. As Rome became the Roman Empire the temper of her religion must needs change with the fortunes of its object. While the fates of the city yet hung in the balance the very thought of her had been

enough to make *Roman* for all ages a synonym for heroic virtue. But when a heterogeneous world-wide empire seemed to derive its unity from the Emperor's personality alone, men felt that the object of so many deeds of piety had disappeared through their very success. Devotion to Rome was transformed into the worship of Cæsar, and the one strain of vital religion which had run through the Commonwealth was stiffened like all the rest into a dead official routine.

Something better than this was needed for cultivated and serious men. To take one instance only, what was the Emperor himself to worship? might be very well for obsequious provinces to erect statues to the Indulgentia Casaris. But Casar himself could hardly be expected to adore his own Good-humour. In epochs like these, when a national religion has lost its validity in thoughtful minds, and the nation is pausing, as it were, for further light, there is a fair field for all comers. There is an opportunity for those who wish either to eliminate the religious instinct, or to distort it, or to rationalise it, or to vivify; for the secularist and the charlatan, for the philosopher and the prophet. In Rome there was assuredly no lack of negation and indifference, of superstition and its inseparable fraud. But two streams of higher tendency rushed into the spiritual vacuum, two currents which represented, broadly speaking, the

main religious and the main ethical tradition of mankind. The first of these, which we must pass by for the present, had its origin in the legendary Pythagoras and the remoter East. The second took the form of a generalised and simplified Stoicism.

Stoicism, of course, was no new thing in Rome. It had come in with Greck culture at the time of the Punic wars; it had commended itself by its proud precision to Roman habits of thought and life; it had been welcomed as a support for the state religion, a method of allegorising Olympus which yet might be accounted orthodox. names of Cato and Brutus maintained the Stoic tradition through the death-throes of the Republic. But the stern independence of the Porch was not invoked to aid in the ceremonial revival with which Augustus would fain have renewed the old Roman virtue. It is among the horrors of Nero's reign that we find Stoicism taking its place as a main spiritual support of men. But as it becomes more efficacious it becomes also less distinctive. In Seneca, in Epictetus, most of all in Marcus himself, we see it gradually discarding its paradoxes, its controversies, its character as a specialised philosophical sect. We hear less of its logic, its cosmogony, its portrait of the ideal Sage. It insists rather on what may be termed the catholic verities of all philosophers, on the sole importance of virtue, the spiritual oneness of the universe, the brotherhood of men. From every point of view this latter Stoicism afforded unusual advantages to the soul which aimed at wisdom and virtue. It was a philosophy; but by dint of time and trial it had run itself clear of the extravagance and unreality of the schools. It was a reform; but its attitude towards the established religion was at once friendly and independent, so that it was neither cramped by deference nor embittered by reaction. Its doctrines were old and true; yet it had about it a certain freshness as being in fact the first free and meditative outlook on the universe to which the Roman people had attained. And, more than all, it had ready to its hand a large remainder of the most famous store of self-devotedness that the world has seen. Stoicism was the heir of the old Roman virtue; happy is the philosophy which can support its own larger creed on the instincts of duty inherited from many a generation of narrow uprightness, of unquestioned law.

But the opportunity for the very flower of Stoic excellence was due to the caprice of a great amateur. Hadrian admired both beauty and virtue; his choice of Antinous and of Marcus gave to the future world the standard of the sculptor and the standard of the moralist; the completest types of physical and moral perfection which Roman history has handed down. And yet among the names of his bene-

factors with which the scrupulous gratitude of Marcus has opened his self-communings, the name *Hadrianus* does not occur. The boy thus raised to empire has passed by Hadrian, who gave him all the kingdoms of the world and the glory of them, for Severus, who taught him to disdain them all.

Among all the *Meditations* none is at once more simple and more original than this exordium of thanksgiving. It is the single-hearted utterance of a soul which knows neither desire nor pride, which considers nothing as gain in her life's journey except the love of those souls who have loved her,—the memory of those who have fortified her by the spectacle and communication of virtue.

The thoughts that follow on this prelude are by no means of an exclusively Stoic type. They are both more emotional and more agnostic than would have satisfied Chrysippus or Zeno. They are not conceived in that tone of certainty and conviction in which men lecture or preach, but with those sad reserves, those varying moods of hope and despondency, which are natural to a man's secret ponderings on the riddle of the world. Even the fundamental Stoic belief in God and Providence is not beyond question in Marcus' eyes. The passages where he repeats the alternative "either gods or atoms" are too strongly expressed to allow us to think that the antithesis is only a trick of style.

"Either confusion and entanglement and scattering again: or unity, order, providence. If the first case be, why do I wish to live amid the clashings of chance and chaos? or care for aught else but to become earth myself at last? and why am I disturbed, since this dispersion will come whatever I do? but if the latter case be the true one, I reverence and stand firm, and trust in him who rules.

"Thus wags the world, up and down, from age to age. And either the universal mind determines each event; and if so, accept then that which it determines; or it has ordered once for all, and the rest follows in sequence; or indivisible elements are the origin of all things. In a word, if there be a god, then all is well; if all things go at random, act not at random thou."

And along with this speculative openness, so much more sympathetic to the modern reader than the rhetoric of Seneca or even the lofty dogmatism of Epictetus, there is a total absence of the Stoic pride. His self-reverence is of that truest kind which is based on a man's conception not of what he is, but of what he ought to be.

"Men cannot admire the sharpness of thy wits. Be it so; but many other things there are of which then canst not say, I was not formed for them. Show those things which are wholly in thy power to show: sincerity, dignity, laboriousness, self-denial, contentment, frugality, kindliness, frankness, simplicity, scriousness, magnanimity. Seest thou not how many things there are in which, with no excuse of natural incapacity, thou voluntarily fallest short? or art thou compelled by defect of nature

to murmur and be stingy and flatter and complain of thy poor body, and cajole and boast, and disquiet thyself in vain? No, by the gods! but of all these things thou mightest have been rid long ago. Nay, if indeed thou be somewhat slow and dull of comprehension, thou must exert thyself about this too, and not neglect it nor be contented with thy dulness."

Words like these, perhaps, exalt human nature in our eyes quite as highly as if we had heard Marcus insisting, like some others of his school, that "the sage is as useful to Zeus as Zeus to him," or that "courage is more creditable to sages than it is to gods, since gods have it by nature, but sages by practice."

And having thus overheard his self-communings, with what a sense of soundness and reality do we turn to the steady fervour of his constantly repeated

ideal!

"Let the god within thee be the guardian of a living being, masculine, adult, political, and a Roman, and a ruler; who has taken up his post in life as one that awaits with readiness the signal that shall summon him away. . . . And such a man, who delays no longer to strive to be in the number of the best, is as a priest and servant of the gods, obeying that god who is in himself enshrined, who renders him unsoiled of pleasure, unharmed by any pain, untouched by insult, feeling no wrong, a wrestler in the noblest struggle, which is, that by no passion he may be overthrown; dyed to the depth in justice, and with his whole heart welcoming whatsoever cometh to him and is ordained."

The ideal is sketched on Stoic lines, but the writer's temperament is not cast in the old Stoic mould. He reminds us rather of modern sensitiveness, in his shrinking from the presence of coarse and selfish persons, and in his desire, obvious enough but constantly checked, for the sympathy and approbation of those with whom he lived. The self-sufficing aspect of Stoicism has in him lost all its exclusiveness; it is represented only by the resolute recurrence to conscience as the one support against the buffets of the world.

"I do my duty; other things trouble me not; for either they are things without life, or things without reason, or things that have wandered and know not the way."

And thus, while all the dealings of Marcus with his fellow-men are summed up in the two endeavours—to imitate their virtues, and to amend, or at least patiently to endure, their defects—it is pretty plain which of these two efforts was most frequently needed. His fragmentary thoughts present us with a long series of struggles to rise from the mood of disgust and depression into the mood of serene benevolence, by dwelling strongly on a few guiding lines of self-admonition.

"Begin the morning by saying to thyself: I shall meet with the busybody, the ungrateful, arrogant, deceitful, envious, unsocial. All these things happen to them by reason of their ignorance of what is good and evil. But I who have seen the nature of the good that it is

beautiful, and of the bad that it is ugly, and the nature of him who sins, that it is akin to mine, and participates in the same divinity, I can neither be injured by any of them, for no man can fix a foulness on me; nor can I be angry nor hate my brother."

There is reason, indeed, to fear that Marcus loved his enemies too well; that he was too much given to blessing those that cursed him. It is to him, rather than to any Christian potentate, that we must look for an example of the dangers of applying the gospel maxims too unreservedly to the business of the turbid world. For indeed the practical danger lies not in the overt adoption of those counsels of an ideal mildness and mercy, but even in the mere attainment of a temper so calm and lofty that the promptings of vanity or anger are felt no more. The task of curbing and punishing other men, of humiliating their arrogance, exposing their falsity, upbraiding their sloth, is in itself so distasteful, when there is no personal rivalry or resentment to prompt it, that it is sure to be performed too gently, or neglected for more congenial duties. Avidius Cassius, burning his disorderly soldiers alive to gain himself a reputation for vigour, was more comprehensible to the mass of men, more immediately efficacious, than Marcus representing to the selfish and wayward Commodus "that even bees did not act in such a manner, nor any of those creatures which live in troops."

But the very incongruity between the duties which Marcus was called on to perform and the spirit which he brought to their performance, the fate which made him by nature a sage and a saint, by profession a ruler and a warrior, all this gave to his character a dignity and a completeness which it could scarcely otherwise have attained. The master of the world more than other men might feel himself bound to "live as on a mountain;" he whose look was life or death to millions might best set the example of the single-heartedness which need hide the thought of no waking moment from any one's knowledge,-till a man's eyes should reveal all that passed within him, "even as there is no veil upon a star." The Stoic philosophy which required that the sage should be indifferent to worldly goods found its crowning exemplar in a sage who possessed them all.

And, indeed, in the case of Marcus the difficulty was not to disdain the things of earth, but to care for them enough. The touch of Cynic crudity with which he analyses such things as men desire, reminds us sometimes of those scornful pictures of secular life which have been penned in the cloister. For that indifference to transitory things which has often made the religious fanatic the worst of citizens is not the danger of the fanatic alone. It is a part also of the melancholy of the magnanimous; of the mood when the "joy and gladness" which the Stoics promised to their sage die down in the midst of

"such darkness and dirt," as Marcus calls it, "that it is hard to imagine what there is which is worthy to be prized highly, or seriously pursued."

Nay, it seems to him that even if, in Plato's phrase, he could become "the spectator of all time and of all existence," there would be nothing in the sight to stir the exultation, to change the solitude of the sage. The universe is full of living creatures, but there is none of them whose existence is so glorious and blessed that by itself it can justify all other Being; the worlds are destroyed and re-created with an endless renewal, but they are tending to no world more pure than themselves; they are not even, as in Hindoo myth, ripening in a secular expectancy till Buddha come; they are but repeating the same littlenesses from the depth to the height of heaven, and reiterating throughout all eternity the fears and follies of a day.

"If thou wert lifted on high and didst behold the manifold fates of men; and didst discern at once all creatures that dwell round about him, in the ether and the air; then howso oft thou thus wert raised on high, these same things thou shouldst ever see, all things alike, and all things perishing. And where is, then, the glory?"

Men who look out on the world with a gaze thus disenchanted are apt to wrap themselves in a cynical indifference or in a pessimistic despair. But character is stronger than creed; and Marcus carries

into the midst of the saddest surroundings his nature's imperious craving to reverence and to love. He feels, indeed, that the one joy which could have attached him to the world is wholly wanting to him.

"This is the only thing, if anything there be, which could have drawn thee backwards and held thee still in life, if it had been granted thee to live with men of like principles with thyself. But now thou seest how great a pain there is in the discordance of thy life with other men's, so that thou sayest: Come quick, O death! lest perchance I too should forget myself."

Nor can he take comfort from any steadfast hope of future fellowship with kindred souls.

"How can it be that the gods, having ordered all things rightly and with good-will towards men, have overlooked this thing alone: that some men, virtuous indeed, who have as it were made many a covenant with heaven, and through holy deeds and worship have had closest communion with the divine, that these men, when once they are dead, should not live again, but be extinguished for ever? Yet if this be so, be sure that if it ought to have been otherwise the gods would have done it. For were it just, it would also be possible; were it according to nature, nature would have had it so."

For thus he believes without proof and without argument that all is for the best; that everything which happens is for the advantage of every constituent life in nature, since everything is for the advantage of the whole. He will not entertain the idea that the Powers above him may be not all-

powerful; or the Wisdom which rules the universe less than all-wise. And this optimism comes from no natural buoyancy of temper. There is scarcely a trace in the *Meditations* of any mood of careless joy. He never rises beyond the august contentment of the man who accepts his fate.

"All things are harmonious to me which are harmonious to thee, O Universe. Nothing for me is too early nor too late which is in due time for thee. All is fruit to me which thy seasons, O Nature, bear. From thee are all things, and in thee all, and all return to thee. The poet says, 'Dear city of Cecrops;' shall I not say, 'Dear city of God?'"

There have been many who, with no more belief than Marcus in a personal immortality, have striven, like him, to accept willingly the world in which they found themselves placed. But sometimes they have marred the dignity of their position by attempting too eagerly to find a reason for gladness; they have dwelt with exultation upon a terrene future for our race from which Marcus would still have turned and asked, "Where, then, is the glory?" It would have seemed to him that a triumphant tone like this can only come from the soilure of philosophy with something of the modern spirit of industrial materialism and facile enjoyment; he would have preferred that his own sereneness should be less near to complacency than to resignation; he would still have chosen the temper of that saintly Stoic, whose rude, strong verses break in with so stern a piety among the fragments of philosophic Greece:—

"Lead, lead Cleanthes, Zeus and holy Fate, Where'er ye place my post, to serve or wait: Willing I follow; were it not my will, A baffled rebel I must follow still."

These, however, are differences only of tone and temper overlying what forms in reality a vast body of practical agreement. For the scheme of thought and belief which has thus been briefly sketched is not only in itself a noble and a just one. It is a kind of common creed of wise men, from which all other views may well seem mere deflections on the side of an unwarranted eredulity or of an exaggerated despair. Here, it may be not unreasonably urged, is the moral backbone of all universal religions; and as civilisation has advanced, the practical creed of all parties, whatever their speculative pretensions, has approximated ever more nearly to these plain principles and uncertain hopes.

This view of the tendency of religions progress is undoubtedly the simplest and most plausible which history presents to the philosopher who is not himself pledged to the defence of any one form of what is termed supernatural belief. But it has to contend with grave difficulties of historical fact; and among these difficulties the age of the Antonines presents one of the most considerable. Never had the ground

been cleared on so large a scale for pure philosophy; never was there so little external pressure exerted in favour of any traditional faith. The persecutions of the Christians were undertaken on political and moral, rather than on theological grounds; they were the expression of the feeling with which a modern State might regard a set of men who were at once Mormons and Nihilists—refusing the legal tokens of respect to constituted authorities, while suspected of indulging in low immorality at the bidding of an ignorant superstition. And yet the result of this age of tolerance and enlightenment was the gradual recrudescence, among the cultivated as well as the ignorant, of the belief in a perceptible interaction of the seen and the unseen world, culminating at last in the very form of that belief which had shown itself most resolute, most thoroughgoing, and most intractable.

For the triumph of Christianity in the Roman Empire must not be looked upon as an anomalous or an isolated phenomenon. It was rather the triumph along the whole line, though (as is usual in great triumphs) in an unlooked-for fashion, of a current of tendency which had coexisted obscurely with State-religion, patriotism, and philosophy, almost from the first beginnings of the city. The anomaly, if there were one, consisted in the fact that the hints and elements of this new power, which was destined to be the second life of Rome, were to be found, not

in the time-honoured ordinances of her Senate, or the sober wisdom of her schools, but in the fanatieism of ignorant enthusiasts, in the dreams of a mystic poet, in the alleged, but derided, experiences of a few eccentric philosophers. The introduction of Christianity at Rome was the work not only of Peter and Paul, but of Virgil and Varro.

For amidst the various creeds and philosophies, by aid of which men have ordered their life on earth. the most persistent and fundamental line of division is surely this: - The question whether that life is to be ordered by rules drawn from its own experience alone, or whether there are indications which may justly modify our conduct or expectations by some influx of inspiration, or some phenomena testifying to the existence of an unseen world, or to our continued life after the body's decay? The instincts which prompt to this latter view found, as has been already implied, but little sustenance in the established cult of Rome. They were forced to satisfy themselves in a fitful and irregular fashion by Greek and Oriental modes of religious excitement. What sense of elevation or reality may have been present to the partakers in these alien enthusiasms we are not now able to say. The worships of Bacchus and Cybele have been described to us by historians of the same conservative temper as those who afterwards made "an execrable superstition" of the worship of Christ.

Some seattered indications seem to imply a sub-

stratum of religious emotion, or of theurgic experiment, more extensive than the ordinary authorities have cared to record. The proud and gay Catullus rises to his masterpiece in the description of that alternation of reckless fanaticism and sick recoil which formed throughout the so-called Ages of Faith the standing tragedy of the cloister. More startling still is the story which shows us a group of the greatest personages of Rome in the last century before Christ, Nigidius Figulus, Appius Claudius, Publius Vatinius, Marcus Varro, subjected to police supervision on account of their alleged practice of summoning into visible presence the spirits of the "The whole system," says Professor Mommsen, "obtained its consecration—political, religious, and national—from the name of Pythagoras, the ultra-conscrvative statesman, whose supreme principle was 'to promote order and to check disorder,' the miracle-worker and necromancer, the primeval sage who was a native of Italy, who was interwoven even with the legendary history of Rome, and whose statue was to be seen in the Roman Forum." This story might seem an isolated one but for one remarkable literary parallel. In Virgil—perhaps the only Roman writer who possessed what would now be termed religious originality—we observe the coexistence of three separate lines of religious thought. There is the conservatism which loses no opportunity of enforcing the traditional worships of Rome, in

accordance at once with the poct's own temper of mind, and with the plan of Augustus' ethical reforms. There is the new fusion of the worship of Rome with the worship of the Emperor—the only symbol of spiritual unity between remote provincials and the imperial city. But finally, in the central passage of his greatest poem, we come on a Pythagorean creed, expressed, indeed, with some confusion and hesitancy, but with earnest conviction and power, and forming, as the well-known fragment of correspondence plainly implies, the dominant pre-occupation of the poet's later life.

Such a scheme, indeed, as the Pythagorean, with its insistence on a personal immortality, and its moral retribution adjusted by means of successive existences with a greater nicety than has been employed by any other creed-such a scheme, if once established, might have satisfied the spiritual needs of the Roman world more profoundly and permanently than either the worship of Jove or the worship of Cæsar. But it was not established. The reasoning. or the evidence, which had impressed Virgil, or the group of philosophers, was not set forth before the mass of men; those instincts which we should now term specifically religious remained unguided; and during the next three centuries we observe the love of the marvellous and the supernatural dissociating itself more and more from any ethical dogma. There are, no doubt, remarkable instances in these centuries of an almost modern spirit of piety associated (as for instance in Apuleius) with the most bizarre religious vagaries. But on the whole the two worships which, until the triumph of Christianity, seemed most likely to overrun the civilised world were the worship of Mithra and the worship of Serapis. Now the name of Mithra can hardly be connected with moral conceptions of any kind. And the nearest that we can get to the character of Serapis is the fact that he was by many persons considered to be identical either with the principle of good or with the principle of evil.

Among these confused and one-sided faiths Christianity had an unique superiority. It was the only formulated and intelligible creed which united the two elements most necessary for a widely-received religion, namely, a lofty moral code, and the attestation of some actual intercourse between the visible and the invisible worlds.

It was not the morality of the Gospels alone which exercised the attractive force. Still less was it the speculations of Pauline theology, the high conceptions which a later age hardened into so immutable a system. It was the fact that this lofty teaching was based on beliefs which almost all men held already; that exhortations, nobler than those of Plutarch or Marcus, were supported by marvels better attested than those of Alexander of Abonoteichos, or Apollonius of Tyana. In a thousand ways, and by a thousand channels, the old faiths

melted into the new. It was not only that such apologists as Justin and Minucius Felix were fond of showing that Christianity was, as it were, the crown of philosophy, the consummation of Platonic truth. More important was the fact that the rank and file of Christian converts looked on the universe with the same eyes as the heathens around them. All that they asked of these was to believe that the dimly-realised deities, whom the heathens regarded rather with fear than love, were in reality powers of evil; while above the Oriental additions so often made to their Pantheon was to be superposed one ultimate divinity, alone beneficent, and alone to be adored.

The hierarchy of an unseen universe must needs be a somewhat shadowy and arbitrary thing. To those, indeed, whose imagination is already exercised on such matters a new scheme of the celestial powers may come with an acceptable sense of increasing insight into the deep things of God. But in one who, like Marcus, has learnt to believe that in such matters the truest wisdom is to recognise that we cannot know, in him a scheme like the Christian is apt to inspire incredulity by its very promise of completeness,—suspicion by the very nature of the evidence which is alleged in its support.

Neither the Stoic school in general, indeed, nor Marcus himself, were clear of all superstitious tendency. The early masters of the sect had pushed their doctrine of the solidarity of all things to the point of anticipating that the liver of a particular bullock, itself selected from among its fellows by some mysterious fitness of things, might reasonably give an indication of the result of an impending battle. When it was urged that on this principle everything might be expected to be indicative of everything else, the Stoics answered that so it was, but that only when such indications lay in the liver could we understand them aright. When asked how we came to understand them when thus located, the Stoic doctors seem to have made no sufficient reply. We need not suppose that Marcus participated in absurdities like these. He himself makes no assertion of this hazardous kind, except only that remedies for his ailments "have been shown to him in dreams." And this is not insisted on in detail; it rather forms part of that habitual feeling or impression which, if indeed it be superstitious, is yet a superstition from which no devout mind, perhaps, was ever wholly free; namely, that he is the object of a special care and benevolence proceeding from some holy power. Such a feeling implies no belief either in merit or in privilege beyond that of other men; but just as the man who is strongly willing, though it be proved to him that his choice is determined by his antecedents, must yet feel assured that he can deflect its issue this way or that, even so a man, the habit of whose soul is worship, cannot but see at least a reflection of his own virtue

in the arch of heaven, and bathe his spirit in the mirage projected from the well-spring of its own love.

For such an instinct, for all the highest instincts of his heart, Marcus would no doubt have found in Christianity a new and full satisfaction. The question, however, whether he ought to have become a Christian is not worth serious discussion. then state of belief in the Roman world it would have been as impossible for a Roman Emperor to become a Christian as it would be at the present day for a Czar of Russia to become a Buddhist. Some Christian apologists complain that Marcus was not converted by the miracle of the "Thundering Legion." They forget that though some obscure persons may have ascribed that happy occurrence to Christian prayers, the Emperor was assured on much higher authority that he had performed the miracle himself. Marcus, indeed, would assuredly not have insisted on his own divinity. He would not have been deterred by any Stoic exclusiveness from incorporating in his scheme of belief, already infiltrated with Platonic thought, such elements as those apologists who start from St. Paul's speech at Athens would have urged him to introduce. But an acceptance of the new faith involved much more than this. It involved tenets which might well seem to be a mere reversion to the world-old superstitions and sorceries of barbarous tribes. Such alleged phonomena as those of possession, inspiration, healing by

III.]

imposition of hands, luminous appearances, modification and movement of material objects, formed, not, as some later apologists would have it, a mere accidental admixture, but an essential and loudlyasserted element in the new religion. The apparition of its Founder after death was its very raison d'être and triumphant demonstration. The Christian advocate may say indeed with reason, that phenomena such as these, however suspicious the associations which they might invoke, however primitive the stratum of belief to which they might seem at first to degrade the disciple, should nevertheless have been examined afresh on their own evidence, and would have been found to be supported by a consensus of testimony which has since then overcome the world. Addressed to an age in which Reason was supreme, such arguments might have carried convincing weight. But mankind had certainly not reached a point in the age of the Antonines,—if indeed we have reached it yet,—at which the recollections of barbarism were cast into so remote a background that the leaders of civilised thought could lightly reopen questions the closing of which might seem to have marked a clear advance along the path of enlightenment. It is true, indeed, that the path of enlightenment is not a royal road but a labyrinth; and that those who have marched too unhesitatingly in one direction have generally been obliged to retrace their steps, to unravel some forgotten clue, to explore some turning which they had already passed by. But the practical rulers of men must not take the paths which seem to point backwards until they hear in front of them the eall of those who have chosen that less inviting way.

An emperor who had "learnt from Diognetus not to give eredit to what is said by miracle-workers and jugglers about incantations and the driving away of demons and such things," might well feel that so much as to inquire into the Gospel stories would be a blasphemy against his philosophic creed. Even the heroism of Christian martyrdom left him cold. In words which have beeome proverbial as a wise man's mistake, he stigmatises the Christian contempt of death as "sheer party spirit." And yet—it is an old thought, but it is impossible not to recur to it once more—what might he not have learnt from these despised sectaries! the melancholy Emperor from Potheinus and Blandina, smiling on the rack!

Of the Christian virtues, it was not faith which was lacking to him. His faith indeed was not that bastard faith of theologians, which is nothing more than a willingness to assent to historical propositions on insufficient evidence. But it was faith such as Christ demanded of His disciples, the steadfastness of the soul in clinging, spite of doubts, of difficulties, even of despair, to whatever she has known of best; the resolution to stand or fall by the noblest hypothesis. To Mareus the alternative of "gods or

atoms"—of a universe ruled either by blind chance or by an intelligent Providence—was ever present and ever unsolved; but in action he ignored that dark possibility, and lived as a member of a sacred cosmos, and co-operant with ordering gods.

Again, it might seem unjust to say that he was wanting in love. No one has expressed with more conviction the interdependence and kinship of men.

"We are made to work together, like feet, like hands, like eyelids, like the rows of the upper and lower teeth." "It is peculiar to man to love even those who do wrong; and thou wilt love them if, when they err, thou bethink thee that they are to thee near akin." "Men exist for the sake of one another; teach them then, or bear with them." "When men blame thee, or liate thee, or revile thee, pass inward to their souls; see what they are. Thou wilt see that thou needst not trouble thyself as to what such men think of thee. And thou must be kindly affectioned to them; for by nature they are friends; and the gods, too, help and answer them in many ways." "Love men, and love them from the heart." "'Earth loves the shower,' and 'saered æther loves;' and the whole universe loves the making of that which is to be. I say then to the universe: Even I, too, love as thou."

And yet about the love of a John, a Paul, a Peter, there is the ring of a note which is missing here. Stoic love is but an injunction of reason and a means to virtue; Christian love is the open secret of the universe, and in itself the end of all. In all that wisdom can teach herein, Stoic and Christian

are at one. They both know that if a man would save his life he must lose it; that the disappearance of all selfish aims or pleasures in the universal life is the only pathway to peace. All religions that are worth the name have felt the need of this inward change; the difference lies rather in the light under which they regard it. To the Stoic in the West, as to the Buddhist in the East, it presented itself as a renunciation which became a deliverance, a tranquillity which passed into an annihilation. The Christian, too, recognised in the renunciation of the world a deliverance from its evil. But his spirit in those early days was occupied less with what he was resigning than with what he gained; the love of Christ constrained him; he died to self to find, even here on earth, that he had passed not into nothingness, but into heaven. In his eyes the Stoic doctrine was not false, but partly rudimentary and partly needless. His only objection, if objection it could be called, to the Stoic manner of facing the reality of the universe, was that the reality of the universe was so infinitely better than the Stoic supposed.

If, then, the Stoic love beside the Christian was "as moonlight unto sunlight, and as water unto wine," it was not only because the Stoic philosophy prescribed the curbing and checking of those natural emotions which Christianity at once guided and intensified by her new ideal. It was because the love

of Christ which the Christian felt was not a laborious duty, but a self-renewing, self-intensifying force; a feeling offered as to one who for ever responded to it, as to one whose triumphant immortality had brought his disciples' immortality to light.

So completely had the appearance of Jesus to the faithful after his apparent death altered in their eyes the aspect of the world. So decisive was the settlement of the old alternative, "Either Providence or atoms," which was effected by the firm conviction of a single spirit's beneficent return along that silent and shadowy way. So powerful a reinforcement to Faith and Love was afforded by the third of the Christian trinity of virtues—by the grace of Hope.

But we are treading here on controverted ground. It is not only that this great prospect has not yet taken its place among admitted certainties; that the hope and resurrection of the dead are still called in question. Much more than this; the most advanced school of modern moralists tends rather to deny that "a sure and certain hope" in this matter is to be desired at all. Virtue, it is alleged, must needs lose her disinterestedness if the solution of the great problem were opened to her gaze.

"Pour nous," says M. Renan, who draws this moral especially from the noble disinterestedness of Marcus himself: "pour nous, on nous annoncerait un argument péremptoire en ce genre, que nous ferions comme Saint

Louis, quand on lui parla de l'hostie miraculeuse; nous refuserions d'aller voir. Qu'avons nous besoin de ces preuves brutales, qui n'ont d'application que dans l'ordre grossier des faits, et qui gêneraient notre liberté?"

This seems a strong argument; and if it be accepted it is practically decisive of the question at issue,—I do not say only between Stoicism and Christianity, but between all those systems which do not seek, and those which do seek, a spiritual communion for man external to his own soul, a spiritual continuance external to his own body. If a proof of a beneficent Providence or of a future life be a thing to be deprecated, it will be indiscreet, or even immoral, to inquire whether such proof has been, or can be, obtained. The world must stand with Marcus; and there will be no extravagance in M. Renan's estimate of the Stoic morality as a sounder and more permanent system than that of Jesus Himself.

But generalisations like this demand a close examination. Is the antithesis between interested and disinterested virtue a clear and fundamental one for all stages of spiritual progress? Or may we not find that the conditions of the experiment vary, as it were, as virtue passes through different temperatures; that our formula gives a positive result at one point, a negative at another, and becomes altogether unmeaning at a third?

It will be allowed, in the first place, that for an

indefinite time to come, and until the mass of mankind has advanced much higher above the savage level than is as yet the case, it will be premature to be too fastidious as to the beliefs which prompt them to virtue. The first object is to give them habits of self-restraint and well-doing, and we may be well content if their crude notions of an unseen Power are such as to reinforce the somewhat obscure. indications which life on earth at present affords that honesty and truth and mercy bring a real reward to men. But let us pass on to the extreme hypothesis on which the repudiation of any spiritual help for man outside himself must ultimately rest. Let us suppose that man's impulses have become harmonised with his environment; that his tendency to anger has been minimised by long-standing gentleness; his tendency to covetousness by diffused well-being; his tendency to sensuality by the increased preponderance of his intellectual nature. How will the test of his disinterestedness operate then? Why, it will be no more possible then for a sane man to be deliberately wicked than it is possible now for a civilised man to be deliberately filthy in his personal habits. We do not wish now that it were uncertain whether filth were unhealthy in order that we might be the more meritorious in preferring to be clean. And whether our remote descendants have become convinced of the reality of a future life or no, it will assuredly never occur to them that, without it, there might be a question whether virtue was a remunerative object of pursuit. Lapses from virtue there may still be in plenty; but inherited instinct will have made it inconceivable that a man should voluntarily be what Marcus calls a "boil or imposthume upon the universe," an island of selfishness in the mid-sea of sympathetic joy.

It is true, indeed, that in the present age, and for certain individuals, that choice of which M. Renan speaks has a terrible, a priceless reality. Many a living memory records some crisis when one who had rejected as unproved the traditional sanctions was forced to face the question whether his virtue had any sanction which still could stand; some night when the foundations of the soul's deep were broken up, and she asked herself why she still should cleave to the law of other men rather than to some kindlier monition of her own:—

"Doch alles was dazu mich trieb, Gott, war so gut! ach, war so lieb!"

To be the conqueror in such a contest is the characteristic privilege of a time of transition like our own. But it is not the only, nor even the highest conceivable, form of virtue. It is an incident in the moral life of the individual; its possibility may be but an incident in the moral life of the race. It is but driving the enemy off the ground

on which we wish to build our temple; there may be far greater trials of strength, endurance, courage, before we have raised its dome in air.

For after all it is only in the lower stages of ethical progress that to see the right is easy and to decide on doing it is hard. The time comes when it is not so much conviction of the desirability of virtue that is needed, as enlightenment to perceive where virtue's upward pathway lies; not so much the direction of the will which needs to be controlled, as its force and energy which need to be ever vivified and renewed. It is then that the moralist must needs welcome any influence, if such there be, which can pour into man's narrow vessel some overflowing of an infinite Power. It is then, too, that he will learn to perceive that the promise of a future existence might well be a source of potent stimulus rather than of enervating peace. For if we are to judge of the reward of virtue hereafter by the rewards which we see her achieving here, it is manifest that the only reward which always attends her is herself; that the only prize which is infallibly gained by performing one duty well is the power of performing yet another; the only recompense for an exalted self-forgetfulness is that a man forgets himself always more. Or rather, the only other reward is one whose sweetness also is scarcely realisable till it is attained; it is the love of kindred souls; but a love which recedes ever farther from the flatteries and indulgences which most men desire, and tends rather to become the intimate comradeship of spirits that strive towards the same goal.

Why then should those who would imagine an eternal reward for virtue imagine her as eternally rewarded in any other way? And what need there be in a spiritual law like this to relax any soul's exertion, to encourage any low content? By an unfailing physical law we know that the athlete attains through painful effort that alacrity and soundness which are the health of the body. And if there were an unfailing spiritual law by which the philosopher might attain, and ever attain increasingly, through strenuous virtue, that energy and self-devotedness which are the health of the soul, would there be anything in the one law or in the other to encourage either the physical or the spiritual voluptuary—the self-indulgence either of the banquet-hall or of the cloister? There would be no need to test men by throwing an artificial uncertainty round the operation of such laws as these; it would be enough if they could desire what was offered to them; the ideal would become the probation.

To some minds reflections like these, rather than like M. Renan's, will be suggested by the story of Marcus, of his almost unmingled sadness, his almost stainless virtue. All will join, indeed, in admira-

tion for a life so free from every unworthy, every dubious incitement to well-doing. But on comparing this life with the lives of men for whom the great French critic's sympathy is so much lesssuch men, for instance, as St. Paul-wee may surely feel that if the universe be in reality so much better than Marcus supposed, it would have done him good, not harm, to have known it; that it, would have kindled his wisdom to a fervent glow, such as the world can hardly hope to see, till, if ever it be so, the dicta of science and the promises of religion are at one; till saints are necessarily philosophers, and philosophers saints. And yet, whatever inspiring secrets the future may hold, the lover of humanity can never regret that Marcus knew but what he knew. Whatever winds of the spirit may sweep over the sea of souls, the life of Marcus will remain for ever as the normal highwater mark of the unassisted virtue of man. No one has shown more simply or more completely what man at any rate must do and be. No onc has ever earned the right to say to himself with a more tranquil assurance—in the words which close the Meditations—" Depart thou then contented, for he that releaseth thee is content."



# WORKS BY FREDERIC W. H. MYERS

## The Renewal of Youth and other Poems. Crown

8vo. 7s. 6d.

DAILY NEWS.—"No recent volume of verse merits, or is likely to receive, so kind a reception as Mr. F. W. H. Myers's Renewal of Youth. One cannot read a page of his work without recognising that 'poet' is the name he deserves when he is at his best. Among the many echoes and the few voices of the time he is one of the voices."

ATHENEUM.—"Mr. Myers uses skilfully and well, for the purpose of crystallising his thoughts, experiences, and reflections, the instrument of poetry. What he says will he read with attention and often with delight."

#### St. Paul. A Poem. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

FORTNIGHTLY REVIEW.—" It breathes throughout the spirit of St. Paul, and with a singular stately melody of verse."

ATHENÆUM .- "What is done is well done."

### Science and a Future Life, and other Essays.

Globe 8vo. 4s. net.

[Eversley Series.

ACADEMY.—"Mr. Myers always writes well and gracefully, and with full knowledge of his subjects."

#### Essays. 2 Vols. I. Classical. II. Modern. Globe 8vo. Eversley Series. 4s. net each.

SATURDAY REVIEW.—"Mr. Myers's Essays were worth printing and reprinting, worth reading and reading again. . . Of Mr. Myers's reading and erudition we can hardly speak too highly."

BRITISH QUARTERLY REVIEW.—"These two volumes form a valuable contribution to literature."

ACADEMY.—"On the whole, we know of no other modern essayist who would so worthily cover so wide a field or stand the test of being re-read in his entirety."

#### Wordsworth. Crown 8vo. Library Edition, 2s. net; Popular Edition, 1s. 6d.; sewed, 1s.

[English Men of Letters Series.

SPECTATOR.—"The exquisite little sketch which Mr. Myers has given of Wordsworth, in Mr. John Morley's series of Mcn of Letters... as a piece of English at least, the gem, we venture to say, of the whole series."

ACADEMY. - "Mr. Myers gives us a picture of the man and an estimate of his work, which is certainly not inferior to anything that has preceded it..., Possibly the best chapter in the book—every chapter of which is excellent—is that on Natural Religion."

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

# The Eversley Series.

Globe 8vo. Cloth. 4s. net per volume.

The Works of Matthew Arnold. 8 vols. ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. First Series. ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. Second Series. EARLY AND NARRATIVE POEMS. LYRIC AND ELEGIAC POEMS. DRAMATIC AND LATER POEMS. AMERICAN DISCOURSES. LETTERS. Edited by G. W. E.

A Memoir of Jane Austen. By her Nephew, J. E. Austen Leigh. To which is added "Lady Susan," and Fragments of two other Unfinished Tales by Miss Austen.

RUSSELL. 2 Vols.

The Holy Bible. Arranged in paragraphs, with an Introduction by J. W. Mackail, M.A. Vol. 2. Deurero-Nomy—2 Samuel. Vol. 3. 1 Kings— Esther. Vol. 4. Job—Song of Solomon. Vol. 5. Isaiah—Lamentations. Vol. 6. EZEKIEL—MALACHI. Vol. 7. MATTHEW—JOHN. Vol. 8. ACTS— REVELATION.

Essays by George Brimley. Third Edition.

Chaucer's Canterbury Tales. Edited by A. W. Pollard. 2 Vols.

Miscellaneous Writings of Dean Church. Collected Edition. 9 Vols. MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS. DANTE: and other Essays.

St. Anselm. | Spenser. | Bacon. The Oxford Movement. Twelve Twelve

Years, 1833-1845.

THE BEGINNING OF THE MIDDLE AGES. (Included in this Series by permission of Messrs. Longmans & Co.)

Occasional Papers. Selected from The Guardian, The Times, and The Saturday Review, 1846-1890. 2 Vols.

Life and Letters of Doan Church. Edited by his Daughter, MARY C. CHURCH.

Lectures and Essays by W. K. Clifford, F.R.S. Edited by LESLIE STEPHEN and Sir F. Pollock. New Edition. 2 Vols.

Collected Works of Emerson. 6 Vols. With Introduction by JOHN MORLEY. MISCELLANIES. | ESSAYS. | POEMS. ENGLISH TRAITS AND REPRESENTA-TIVE MEN.

THE CONDUCT OF LIFE, AND SOCIETY AND SOLITUDE.

LETTERS AND SOCIAL AIMS.

Letters of Edward FitzGerald. Edited by W. A. WRIGHT. 2 Vols.

More Letters of Edward FitzGerald. Eight Dramas of Calderon. Translated by EDWARD FITZGERALD.

Letters of Edward FitzGerald to Fanny Kemble, 1871-1883. Edited by W. A. WRIGHT.

Pausanias and other Greek Sketches. By J. G. FRAZER, M.A.

Goethe's Maxims and Reflections. Translated, with Introduction, hy T. B. SAUNDERS.

\*\*\* The Scientific and Artistic Maxims were selected by Professor Huxley and Lord Leighton respectively.

Collected Works of Thomas Gray in Prose and Verse. 4 Vols. Edited hy EDMUND GOSSE. Vol. 1. Poems, Jour-nals, and Essays. Vols. 2 and 3. Letters. Vol. 4. Notes on Aristophanes and Plato.

Works by John Richard Green. 16Vols. HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH PEOPLE.

8 Vols.

THE MAKING OF ENGLAND. With Maps. In 2 Vols. THE CONQUEST OF ENGLAND. With

Maps. In 2 Vols.

STRAY STUDIES FROM ENGLAND AND ITALY.

STRAY STUDIES. Second Series. OXFORD STUDIES.

HISTORICAL STUDIES.

Guesses at Truth. By Two BROTHERS. The Choice of Books, and other Literary Pieces. By Frederic Harrison.
The Meaning of History, and other
Historical Pieces. By Frederic

HARRISON. Earthwork out of Tuscany. The Edition. By MAURICE HEWLETT.

Poems of Thomas Hood. Edited, with Prefatory Memoir, by Canon AINGER. In 2 Vols. Vol. 1. SERIOUS POEMS. Vol. 2. POEMS OF WIT AND HUMOUR. With Vignettes and Portraits.

Collected Essays of R.H. Hutton. 7 Vols. LITERARY ESSAYS.

Essays on Some of the Modern Guides of English Thought in MATTERS OF FAITH.

THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS.

Criticisms CONTEMPORARY ON THOUGHT AND THINKERS. 2 Vols. ASPECTS OF RELIGIOUS AND SCIEN-TIFIC THOUGHT. Selected from The Spectator, and Edited by his Niece.

E. M. ROSCOE. With Portrait. Brief Literary Criticisms. Selected from The Spectator, and Edited by his Niece, ELIZABETH M. ROSCOE.

### The Eversley Series—Continued.

Globe 8vo. Cloth.

Life and Works of Thomas Henry Huxley. 12 Vols. Vol. 1. METHOD AND HUX10y. 12 vols. vol. 1. RESULTS. Vol. 2. DARWINIANA, Vol. 3. RESULTS. Vol. 4. EDUCATION. Vol. 4. SCIENCE AND EDUCATION. Vol. 4.
SCIENCE AND HEBREW TRADITION.
Vol. 5. SCIENCE AND CHRISTIAN
TRADITION, Vol.6. HUME. With Helps
to the Study of Berkeley. Vol. 7. MAN'S PLACE IN NATURE; and other Anthropological Essays. Vol. 8. Discourses, Biological and Geologi-Vol. 9. EVOLUTION AND ETHICS, AND OTHER ESSAYS. Vols. 10, 11, and 12. LIFE AND LETTERS OF T. H. HUXLEY. By LEONARD HUXLEY.

French Poets and Novelists.

HENRY JAMES.

Partial Portraits. By HENRY JAMES. Modern Greece. Two Lectures. By Sir RICHARD JEBB.

Letters of John Keats to his Family and Friends. Edited by SIDNEY COLVIN.

Epic and Romance. By Prof. W. P. KER. The Works of Charles Kingsley. 13 Vols. WESTWARD HO! 2 Vols. HYPATIA. 2 Vols. YEAST. 1 Vol. ALTON LOCKE. 2 Vols. Two YEARS AGO. 2 Vols. HERE-WARD THE WAKE. 2Vols. POEMS. 2Vols. The Works of Charles Lamb.

with Introduction and Notes, by Canon Ainger. 6 Vols. THE ESSAYS OF ELIA.

POEMS, PLAYS, AND MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS.

MRS. LEICESTER'S SCHOOL, and other Writings.

TALES FROM SHAKESPEARE.

CHARLES and MARY LAMB. THE LETTERS OF CHARLES LAMB. Newly arranged, with additions (1904). 2Vols.

Life of Charles Lamb. By Canon AINGER. Historical Essays. By J. B. LIGHTFOOT, D.D.

The Poetical Works of John Milton, Edited, with Memoir, Introduction, and Notes, by DAVID MASSON, M.A. 3 Vols. Vol. 1. THE MINOR POEMS. Vol. 2. PARADISE LOST. Vol. 3. PARADISE REGAINED, AND SAMSON AGONISTES.

Collected Works of John Morley. 14 Vols. VOLTAIRE. 1 Vol. ROUSSEAU. 2 Vols. DIDEROT AND THE ENCYCLOPÆDISTS.

2 Vols.

On Compromise. 1 Vol. Miscellanies. 3 Vols. | Burke. 1 Vol. STUDIES IN LITERATURE. 1 Vol. OLIVER CROMWELL. 1 Vol. THE LIFE OF RICHARD COBDEN. 2 Vols.

Essays by F. W. H. Myers. 3 Vols. SCIENCE AND A FUTURE LIFE, AND OTHER ESSAYS.

CLASSICAL ESSAYS. | MODERN ESSAYS.

4s. net per volume.

Records of Tennyson, Ruskin, and By ANNE THACKERAY Browning. RITCHIE

Works of Sir John R. Seeley, K.C.M.G., Litt.D. 5 Vols.

THE EXPANSION OF ENGLAND. Two Courses of Lectures.

LECTURES AND ESSAYS.

ECCE HOMO. A Survey of the Life and

Work of Jesus Christ. NATURAL RELIGION.

LECTURES ON POLITICAL SCIENCE.
Tho Works of Shakespeare. 10 Vols.
With short Introductions and Footnotes
by Professor C. H. HERFORD. Vol. 1.
LOYE'S LABOUR'S LOST—COMEDY OF ERRORS-TWO GENTLEMEN OF VERONA MIDSUMMER-NIGHT'S DREAM. Vol.2. TAMING OF THE SHREW-MERCHANT VENICE - MERRY WIVES WINDSOR-TWELFTH NIGHT-As YOU WINDSOR—I WELFTH NIGHT—AS YOU LIKE IT. VOI. 3. MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING—ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL—MEASURE FOR MEASURE—TROILUS AND CRESSIDA. VOI. 4. PERICLES—CYMBELINE—THE WINTER'S TALE—THE TEMPEST. VOI. 5. HENRY VI. 5. TO AND THE PROPERTY TALE—IHE IEMPEST. VOI. 5. HENRY VI.: First Part—HENRY VI.: Second Part—HENRY VI.: Third Part—RICHARD III. Vol. 6. KING JOHN—RICHARDII.—HENRY IV.: First Part— HENRY IV .: Second Part. Vol.7. HENRY V.-HENRYVIII.-TITUS ANDRONICUS —Romeo and Juliet. Vol. 8. Julius Cæsar—Hamlet—Othello. Vol. 9. KING LEAR - MACBETH - ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA. Vol. 10. CORIOLANUS TIMON OF ATHENS-POEMS.

The Works of James Smetham. LETTERS. With an Introductory Memoir. Edited by SARAII SMETHAM and WILLIAM DAVIES. With a Portrait. LITERARY WORKS. Edited by WILLIAM

DAYIES. The Works of Alfrod, Lord Tennyson. Annotated by the Author. Edited by HALLAM, Lord TENNYSON. Vol. 1. Poems. 2. Poems. 3. Enoch Arden and In Memoriam. 4. The Princess and MAUD. 5. IDYLLS OF THE KING. 6. BALLADS AND OTHER POEMS. DEMETER AND OTHER POEMS. OUEEN MARY and HAROLD. 9. BECKET AND OTHER PLAYS.

(In Monthly vols. from Dec. 1907). Selections from the Writings Edited by H. S. SALT.

Thoreau. Essays in the History of Religious Thought in the Wost. By Bishop Westcott, D.D.

The Works of William Wordsworth. Edited by Professor KNIGHT. POETICAL WORKS. 8 Vols.

The Journals of Dorotby Wordsworth, 2 Vols.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

## COMPLETE EDITIONS OF THE POETS.

Uniform Edition. In Green Cloth.

THE COMPLETE WORKS OF ALFRED, LORD TENNYSON.

With a Portrait engraved on Steel by G. J. STODART.

Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
THE POETICAL WORKS OF MATTHEW ARNOLD.

With a Portrait engraved on Steel by G. J. STODART. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE POETICAL WORKS OF JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL.

With Introduction by THOMAS HUGHES, and a Portrait. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE POETICAL WORKS OF PERCY BYSSHE SHELLEY.

Edited by Professor Dowden. With a Portrait. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE POETICAL WORKS OF SAMUEL TAYLOR COLERIDGE.

Edited, with a Biographical Introduction, by J. DYKES CAMPBELL. Portrait as Frontispiece. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. THE POETICAL WORKS OF WILLIAM WORDS-

WORTH.

With Introduction by JOHN MORLEY, and a Portrait. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS OF T. E. BROWN.

With a Portrait; and an Introduction by W. E. HENLEY. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS OF CHRISTINA ROSSETTI.

With Introduction, Memoir, and Notes, by W. M. ROSSETTI. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE BAB BALLADS, with which are included Songs of a Savoyard.

By Sir W. S. GILBERT. Sixth Edition. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE INGOLDSBY LEGENDS.

With 20 Illustrations on Steel by CRUIKSHANK, LEECH, and BARHAM. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.



#### DATE DUE DATE DE RETOUR

1 31 -1 11290	FEB 1 3 5003

TRENT UNIVERSITY
0 1164 0372333 5

